



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49829
Title of the Manuscript:	Sugarcane Biochar for Agricultural Use Produced in Different Conditions of Pyrolysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The test description must always be such that a test can be independently repeated for control. The oxidizing conditions are not well enough described to repeat a test by someone else.</p> <p>The temperatures are extremely low for Pyrolysis, but the carbon content just fits the definition of biochar. The stability in the field, however, is known to be dependent on the temperature of biochar production. The claim that biochar is stable is not compatible with the temperature of the tests. So other claims for the use of biochar must be made, but not the carbon storage and field stability. It is contrary to earlier proof.</p> <p>The conclusions are very short and leave you with a question mark So? What have we learnt from this work? How can the knowledge be applied? What new questions does it raiseetc</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Rianne Visser</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>The Netherlands</i>