SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49851
Title of the Manuscript:	Plants mineral nutrition on the preference of Glycaspis brimblecombei (Hemiptera: Aphalaridae) in Eucalyptus sp.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It is a manuscript that seems to me to be well written overall with very few mistakes.	
	In the Material and Methods section, I find that the results of the soil analysis should be included in a table in the Results and Discussion section and commented on to see if the contribution of the minerals really had an impact on the soil itself without mineral input. This means that you must have a control in the experiments consisting of the soil as it is without mineral input.	
Minor REVISION comments	All references cited in the text can be found in the list of bibliographical references. Which is very commendable. However, there are scientific names of insect or plant species that are not italicized. My remarks are therefore made directly in the text with the "change tracking" mode.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seth Wolali Nyamador
Department, University & Country	University of Lomé, Togo

Approved by: CEO Created by: EA Checked by: ME Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)