SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49882
Title of the Manuscript:	Spatial - temporal analysis of the use and soil cover in the Rio da Cruz microbasin of the semi-arid region of Paraíba, using remote sensing
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	On introduction epigraph, authors have to describe something about Remote Sensing (SR) techniques that they used on this paper, at least their names as a introduction.	
	What reference is Anjos (2018)? It is not on references epigraph. Could be this one: http://docplayer.com.br/116911605-Universidade-federal-de-campina-grande-centro- de-saude-e-tecnologia-rural-programa-de-pos-graduacao-em-ciencias-florestais-	
	campus-de-patos-pb.html? Take care with this kind of things on JCR journals . Resolution of Fig. 2. 3, and 4 have to be improved. Some caption are not readable.	
	On the text, Fig.4 is mentioned before Fig. 3.	
	Table 2 is not cited on the text	
	Fig 8 is mentioned but it is not on the text!	
Minor REVISION comments	I suggest changing key words; they are not suitable or not specific at all (ex. geotechnology).	
	In my opinion, when the authors want to cite one work of others, I would start: "For the Ministry of the Environment". And I would put the reference number on the end of the sentence. This is mandatory on 3 ^{ed} paragraph of page 2.	
	Athos shall standardize the references [x] not [x]	
	Translate the projection and source of fig.1	
Optional/General comments	Authors summarized another bigger paper, however there are some mistakes that have to be resolved.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Germán Sanz Lobón
Department, University & Country	University of Córdoba, Spain

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)