



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49984
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Soil Preparation and Layers on the Weighted Mean Diameter and Geometric Mean Diameter of a Red Distroferric Latosol
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Abstract should be well written again considering the format below: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Brief introduction b. Methodology c. Main Results (with numbers or percentages) d. Conclusion 2. No results and discussion on the considered parameters on: (a): Table 1. Soil chemical analysis of the experimental area and (b): Table 2: Soil granulometric analysis of the experimental area to be related/linked to weighted average diameter (WMD) and geometric mean diameter (DMG). 3. There should be more elaboration on the results and discussion as it is too short and simple in its current write-ups. 4. Conclusion too short it should reflect to the abstract and the summary of the find of the study. 5. References should be written in line with the author guide 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Evans Asenso
Department, University & Country	College of Water Conservancy And Civil Engineering, South China Agricultural University, China