

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_50072
Title of the Manuscript:	Control of phytopatogenic fungi using clove essential oil (Syzygium aromaticum L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	 The title of the article should be corrected to (Control of some phytopatogenic fungi using clove essential oil (Syzygium aromaticum L.)), because the article studied three types only of the phytopatogenic fungi not all the phytopatogenic fungi. The article has low innovation because the same idea was used before (ex: reference no. 39, 47, 48,) on the same fungus (<i>F. verticilloides</i>) and other fungi and with different oils All the article language should be editing reviewed. The Latin names of fungi and essential oils should be written in italic even at references Reference no. (4) not found. 	
Minor REVISION comments	 The reference no. 47 is not in English as it written it is in Portuguese. Some references could not be found even when using the given link like: no. 8, 11, 13. Reference no. 35 has not Vol. No., issues no. or pages no. Why the auother did not use the first program R Core Team 3.5.1 [32] or the second Past 3.12 program [33] in all the statistical analysis? There are too many programmes for Statistical analysis for example: *Microsoft Excel data analysis tool; it was used to calculate a significance of correlation (P-value). (ANOVA) *SPSS statistical software (SPSS for Windows v.11.5). * Mstate-c for Windows. 	
Optional/General comments	 Follow the correction in the attatched word. Is not 1 cm for disc is too much for 7.5 cm petridish. Why the auother used BOD inestead of the normal incubator? knowing that, BOD used to provide low temperature in range of 20-25°C for the growth of fungus, and the fungal grow here at 27±2°C. Some symbols on the figures should be clarify. Why write English at the reference? It could be wrote when the source is not English like, Spanish, French, but the normal at references is to be English 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed wit that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her





SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayah Mounir Tawfik Mohamed Mohamed El-Saghir
Department, University & Country	Al-Azhar University, Egypt