



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_50278
Title of the Manuscript:	EXTRACTIVISM OF THE UMBUZEIRO IN THE PERCEPTION OF THE FARMERS OF THE COMMUNITY RIACHO DA SERRA, IN SÃO JOSÉ DO SABUGI-PB
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In abstract there are a few unclear and vague sentences: - In first sentence it is not explained what kind of diagnosis is being done; - Sentence "Incomplete first grade (47%), followed by high school (40%)." is unclear.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Borislav Kolaric
Department, University & Country	University Union - Nikola Tesla, Serbia