



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_44399
Title of the Manuscript:	Social Protection in The Informal Sector; Evidence from Ghana's Informal Economy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author should remove the statistics from the abstract. The motivation of the paper is not clear.</p> <p>Furthermore, a deductive research should have a theoretical (theory) foundation. There is no theory underpinning the paper.</p> <p>Re-write the research objectives using to examine or to analyse.</p> <p>The use of 5-Point Likert scale ranging from 1= strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree does not allow you to use some inferential statistics including regression, correlation etc. It is inappropriate to use binomial analysis, and exploratory factor analysis for a categorical data. For such data, you could only use descriptive statistics except means.</p> <p>The literature review only defined concepts and did not critique, analyse and look for gaps in previous studies.</p> <p>The combination of probabilistic and non-probabilistic sampling procedures when there is no definition of population is problematic. The whole methodology section of the paper must be re-written. It is inappropriate.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	There is the need to read to improve the English language.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Daniel Agyapong</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Cape Coast, Ghana</i>