



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_45008
Title of the Manuscript:	Regulatory impact of ownership concentration on the relationship between directorate performance and auditing fee.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>a) References The authors must follow the journal's guidelines for citations and references list, besides informing the source of reviewed literature (e.g. whether they are public papers).</p> <p>b) Proof Review Perhaps the software made some errors when recording the paper and therefore it seems recommendable a proof review in the manuscript.</p> <p>c) Sample The authors have informed the sample contains Tehran Stock Market's companies. However, it is necessary to inform how many companies and the period their data have been analysed.</p> <p>d) Theoretical framework The authors have cited Fama & Jensen (1983) as the paper's theoretical framework. However, it seems the seminal paper on this research field is Jensen & Meckling (1976), which contains the optimal capital ownership structure theory.</p> <p>e) Discussion of results All the results have to be compared against the reviewed literature, highlighting the most important contribution of the research.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:



SDI Review Form 1.6

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alexandre Ripamonti
Department, University & Country	University of Sao Paulo, Brazil