



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_45008
Title of the Manuscript:	Regulatory impact of ownership concentration on the relationship between directorate performance and auditing fee.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. language need to improve. For example. The first paragraph of summary, failed to give a clear summary of the study. Authors are required to split the sentence into two or three simple sentences rather than trying to explain everything in one single sentence</p> <p>2. The aim of this study is to investigate the impact of ownership concentration on the relationship among institutional owners to the directorate independence and audit fee accepted companies – what does it mean? As per the title it is impact of ownership concentration on the relationship between directorate performance and auditing fee.</p> <p>3. ownership concentration moderates the relationship between the directorate and audit fee of listed companies – is it directorate performance?</p> <p>4. Essentially, studies about corporate government – is it corporate governance?</p> <p>5. sample of 122 companies were accepted from listed companies in Tehran stock market during the period from 1390 to 1395 – Why such an outdated period for a new research???? (rationale for using this period is not mentioned)</p> <p>6. rajabi and partners 1394, not found in reference</p> <p>7. [Dargahi and Pashanejad 1391] – which citation style is this??? APA, MLA or what</p> <p>8. the paper can be accepted only if its writing style is completely modified</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Minimol M C</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Rajagiri college of social sciences(Autonomous), India</i>