SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEMT_49766**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Impact of Non-Financial Determinants on Indian Banking Stocks – An Empirical Analysis**
Type of the Article	**Empirical study**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. **Statistical analysis is very simple** 2. **The value added to the knowledge does not exist** 3. **Literature are weak**	
Minor REVISION comments	1. **Formatting is not good (see Table No. 2.1)** 2. **There is confusion between discussion, results and conclusion**	
Optional/General comments	**The study did not take into account the effect of the characteristics of banks in determining the independent variables**	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Osama Wagdi***
Department, University & Country	***Modern University for Technology and Information (MTI), Egypt***