



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_48576
Title of the Manuscript:	ADSORPTION OF CYANIDE FROM CASSAVA WASTEWATER USING CALCINED AND ACTIVATED OYSTER SHELL ASH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Figure 2 corresponds to increase in dosage. With that how do the author conclude the optimum dosage value. Why do the author fail to carry isotherm and kinetics?	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Consider stepwise revision for acceptance of this research work.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Introduction can be included with novelty of the manuscript	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aravindj</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, India</b>