



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_49666
Title of the Manuscript:	Prediction and Optimization of Production Product
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The title of paper unsuitable 2. The abstract too short and needs to describe the results in good way. 3. The introduction has just one paragraph, why? 4. The title of paragraph No. 2, where? 5. Figures are not clear. 6. This paper has not enough references 7. The presentation of paper is not good 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Fadhil Naser
Department, University & Country	Al-Furat Al-Awsat Technical University, Iraq