



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_50263
Title of the Manuscript:	CORRELATION BETWEEN SWELLING PRESSURE AND FREE SWELL OF GREATER CAIRO CITY EXPANSIVE SOILS – A CASE STUDY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The case study is interesting. However, you don't compare your results with other results in literature and in other areas. This should be added.</p> <p>Also, you don't provide information on the kind of soil you have in the different areas examined. Are they similar or not? How you did select them?</p> <p>Figure 1 is not visible at all. Please provide a better version of it.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carlo Santulli
Department, University & Country	Università di Camerino, Italy