



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_46268
Title of the Manuscript:	SCHOOL TYPE AND PRINCIPAL LEADERSHIP STYLES AS CORRELATES OF SCIENCE TEACHERS' JOB PERFORMANCE IN RIVERS STATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author should re-write the manuscript again. There is an empirical data but it could not be presented in an order. Abstract: Should be summarized without giving too many statistical data. Introduction: It is like taken somewhere. There is not a logical order. And also no consideration about the research. Method: Should be simply identified. Findings: Should be explained in detail. Conclusion: Should be more understandable.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) The author should be more careful while writing the introduction part.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fulya Damla Kentli
Department, University & Country	Turkey