# PERCEPTION ABOUT ENVIRONMENTAL EDUCATION IN THE UFAL AGRONOMY COURSE

Formatted: Right: 1.31"

#### **ABSTRACT**

Environmental education is an essential instrument for the formation of a critical conscience in society as a whole. The formation of the agronomy course must be intrinsically linked to environmental issues, since the activities developed by the future professional have direct consequences to nature, so the decisions must have as pillars, the understanding of environmental issues and the promotion of the sustainability in agroescosystems. The present research had as aim to perform a diagnosis on the environmental perception of students and professors of the agronomy undergraduate course of the Agricultural Sciences Center of the Federal University of Alagoas (CECA – UFAL). This work was carried out through a qualitative research, where the data collection was based on a questionnaire, with a sample of 95 students and 15 teachers. Through the analyzed data it was verified that the environmental issue is treated in the background, where the rigidity in the approach and the shortage of subjects in the course make among the interviewees a lack of technical capacity to deal with future problems or even even the search for sustainable alternatives. Therefore, a deficient and inconsistent approach regarding environmental education in the agronomy course of the CECA-UFAL

Comment [CE1]: remove

**Comment [CE2]:** What are your majors findings? What is the paper recommending?

Keywords: Education, Environment, Environmental issues.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Man, since his emergence, enters history as being able totransform nature to satisfy his need s. It is currently aconsensus that environmental problems are the result of social, economic and cultural factors, which makes timpossible to be predicted or resolved simply by the use ofte chnology. Linked to this, the theme environmentaleducation approached in the classroom helps to verify themain environmental problems caused to the environment.

To minimize the impacts caused to the environment, environmental Education (EA) is sanctioned as a national policy as a law of No. 9,975 on April 27, 1999. Thus, environmental education is understood by the processes through which the individual and the collectivity construct social values, knowledge, skills, attitudes and competencies focused on the conservation of the environment, well of common use of the people, Essential to the sound quality of life and its sustainability "national policy on environmental education-Law no. 9795/1999, ART 10°.

Comment [CE3]: To transform

Comment [CE4]: Of social

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [CE5]: What is the source?

At the academic level, the university emerges as a space for discussion and formation of new ideas. Assuming that, its structure is built on an in dissociable tripod between education, research and extension, according to article 207of the Constitution of 1998. In this context, academic debates enable the comprehension of the social reality, with the university, through its members, the function of spreading the knowledge acquired in this environment (BRAZIL, 1996).

It is noteworthy that the EA aims to encourage socialparticipation in the processes of political decisions, buildingit through criticism of the capitalist forms of production thatseek to expose the contradictions of the system, and seek touse it as a tool for to support the construction of the proaddialogue for the dispute of these corporate projects (TRAIN,2008). On the other hand, Zahar (1978) argues that theconcepts of environmental education change through the experiences of each individual, leading to a plurality of definitions regarding the theme.

Based on the premise that the EA is an important tool for the understanding and awareness of the subjects involved in the discussions on environmental issues, and that the university should have in their training bases the inclusion of disciplines that promote the EA of Integrated way, enabling the training of professionals who meet the most varied social demands in the area. And that through the study of environmental perception it is possible to analyze certain groups, starting from the reality experienced by them, and how these individuals perceive the environment in which they live and their dissatisfactions and satisfactions (PHAGGIANATO, 2007).

In this context, this work seeks to understand a little about the understanding and vision of prof essors and students of The Bachelor's degree in agronomy on the issues pertinent to the environment, emphasizing the environmentaleducation and posture of the professional on Of theproblems of their activities, with the premise of their portance of these agents in the modification of natural environments, in a rational or indiscriminated way.

# 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Characterization of the research site

The study was carried out at the Agrarian Sciences Center of the Federal University of Alagoas (ECSC-UFAL), Campus Delza Gitaí, located in the municipality of Rio Largo-AL, metropolitan area of Maceió-AL (Figure 1). The ECSC was created in (DATA) through the resolution 05/75 of the University Council, having its initial operation in the city of Viçosa – AL, going to operate in Rio Largo only in 1996.



Figure 1. City where the questionnaire was applied, Rio Largo-AL Source: Authors (2019)

The CECA is the main training center for professionals in the agrarian environment of the state of Alagoas, counting in 2019years with six undergraduate courses: Bachelor in

Comment [CE6]: Not in reference

Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight

Comment [CE7]: Not in reference

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [CE8]: Not in reference

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [CE9]: Not in Reference

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

**Comment [CE10]:** What is thw source? The information on the study area is not detailed

Comment [CE11]: Not readable

agronomy, Zootecnia, agroecology, surveying engineering, renew ableenergy Engineering and Forestry engineering.

#### 2.2 Methodological aspects of the research

The methodology employed is aimed at a qualitative approach, so that the systematic used is based on descriptive and exploratory research. The descriptive study describes a social phenomenon that involves structure, activities and changes in environmental education, being even more relevant when presenting information about poorly studied subjects, usually develop a database for possible comparative studies and theory creation (Godoli et al., 2010).

Exploratory research allows greater familiarity with theproblem, making it more explicit beside s objectify theimprovement of ideas and discovery of intuitions. In manycases, it involves the b ibliographical survey, interviews withpeople who had experience with the research problem an dthe analysis of examples that stimulate comprehension (GIL,2011).

The research was divided into two phases. In the first stage, data were collected, which occurred in the period from November to December 2018, where 114 semi-structured questionnaires and discursive questions were applied to students and professors of the Bachelor's degree in agronomy (Chart 1). It is worth noting that, during the research period, the course of agronomy had 361 students regularly enrolled, and with the staff of composite students with 52 professionals, according to the document requested in the direction of the center.

Chart 1. Questionnaire Model applied.

## QUESTIONNAIRE MODEL APPLIED TO STUDENTS

- 1. Do you feel prepared against problems related to theenvironmental issue?
- ( ) Yes ( ) No
- 2. Do you think it is important to approach this topic?
- 3. How do you see the attitude of the agronomist/forward theenvironmental issue?
- 4. What you understand about environmental education?

# QUESTIONNAIRE MODEL APPLIED TO PROFESORS

- 1. What is your academic background?
- Do you find it important to address environmental issuesand rational use of natural res ources in agriculture?
- 3. How do you see the agronomist/the front environmental issue?
- 4. What are the main environmental problems encountered in the agricultural production?

5. Do you address this topic as students? How they are addressed?

The qualitative data collected were subjected to contentanalysis, following the Bardin method ology (2006), withadaptations when necessary. Three phases are necessary fordata processing, which are:

1. Pre-analysis, moment of organization of the questionnaires, through a superficial reading, systoling asideas contained therein;

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [CE12]: Source

Comment [CE13]: What is data collection

What is data analysis method' what tools were used? How was the sample size taken?

Comment [CE14]: Titke case

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [CE15]: What sampling method and

with

Formatted: Highlight

- Exploration of the material: at this stage the material was coded through affinity categories, and a single response perhaps contained in more than one category
- 3. Treatment and Interpretation: the categories created in the previous phase are synthesized according to the necessity and affinity, for later tabulation and interpretation.

For the students, semistructured questionnaires wereapplied, containing two objective questions and twosubjective questions, addressing the topics: importance andunderstanding of environmental issues, preparation and posture of the agronomy professional for the Facingenvironmental problems. The distribution of the questionnaires was made to cover equally all the periods, being possible to reach 96 students, totaling 27% of the student.

When the questionnaires were applied to the professors, the unstructured model was used, containing five dissertative questions, having as topics addressed: academic training, understanding and importance of environmental education, forms of approaches to E. A in the classroom and main impacts caused by agriculture to the environment. Data from 18 professors were obtained, totaling 35% of the teachers 'staff, some were not found in the institution during the collection period or did not return the questionnaires.

The data tabulation occurred through spreadsheets in theexcel software (2007), where the discursive responses were separated into categories, according to the similarity between them. This categorization was performed so that are sponse was inserted into one or more categories, according to the scope of the objects presented in the answers. The classes were created collectively, allowing the answers to be analyzed on the different points of view of the three searchers involved. Regarding the closed questions, the counting was performed and the percentage of the samewas later calculated.

In the second stage, the bibliographical study was carriedout, which aimed to effect the results of the research, beingdeveloped based on material already elaborated, consistingmainly of b ooks, scientific articles, monographs and sites.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analyzing the questionnaires of the students, it is clear that there is an understanding of the pupils about the importance of the approach of the EA theme in the academic field, considering that 96% affirmed positively about the relevance, and only 3% responded not Be important, another 1%.

However, when questioned if they were technically trained to work with problems of environmental issues, 55% reported negatively, compared to 43% who stated they were prepared, another 2% (Figure 2).

Comment [CE16]: Recast

Comment [CE17]: Restructue: just tell us what you are doing and why

**Comment [CE18]:** Result should be clearly discussed to allow a read to understand the paper

Comment [CE19]: The result reveals that 96% of the students affirmed positively about the understanding the importance of EA and only 3% are of of same view while 1% of the students are undecided.

Comment [CE20]: What of the 2%?

Comment [CE21]: The result also shows that 55% os the students reported negatively, compared to 43% who stated they were technically trained to work with problems of environmental issues while, another 2%, (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Technical capacity for environmental problem solutions.

It is perceived that although the majority consider theimportant theme for professional training, only a part of thestudents consider themselves apt to act in the area. Thisperception of stude nts with little technical preparation maybe linked to utilitarian academic training to serve the la bormarket. Without a profound criticism and reflection of thesubject (SOUZA, 2011). It is worth noting that the teaching of agronomy in Brazil is based on the study of Science and technology, which most often disregards social andenvironmental issues, which makes it visible the need for amore attenuating basic formation in the environments of Training, to change the behavior of future Professionals (BAZZO, 2011).

A similar research conducted by Soares, Pimentel and Cavalcante (2008) in the agrarian courses at the Federal Rural University of Rio de Janeiro (UFRRJ), found that there is a shallow approach of the EA theme during graduation, being many of the Times Confounded with conservationist, which may explain the cause of little professional know ledge.

This perception of little technical preparation may be linkedto the responses obtained in the internal Self-assessment questionnaire of UFAL, held in 2018, where the students of agronomy when asked whether the course provides a articulation of theoretical knowledge with practical, 63%responded that sometimes or never. When approached if the practical classes were sufficient, the answers were identical, 63% stated that sometimes or never.

Regarding the posture of the agronomist in the face of environmental problems, the students present sever alpoints of view, which can be grouped into seven categories: 1-Fundamental agent of preservation 26%; 2- mediator between production and conservation 26%; 3-technically prepared 10%; 4-No technical preparation 13%; 5-responsibility attributed to each professional 33%; 6-oblivious to environmental issues 26%; 7-Other 2% (Figure 3).

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0.5"

Comment [CE22]: What number or %?

Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight

**Comment [CE23]:** This is literature review, please a section for that

Comment [CE24]: Not in reference

Comment [CE25]: Literature review

Comment [CE26]: Literature review

Formatted: Highlight

- Responsibility according to the professional
- Fundamental importance
- Mediator between production and conservation
- Foreign to environmental issues
- Without technical preparation
- With technical preparation
- ■Did not respond



Figure 3. Agronomist's performance with environmental issues.

It was possible to observe the most varied opinions about how the professional graduated in the Agronomy course should be positioned in the face of environmental issues, from his technical preparation and responsibility, until there conciliation of productive interests environmental conservation. It is understood from the approach that there sponsibility of each professional, as the choice of the agronomist (a) in relation to its theoretical-ideological, conservationist, developmentalist or unrelated to environmental issues and concerned only with Production.

A similar questionnaire conducted by Malafaia et al. (2011) with students from eight higher education courses at the Federal Institute of Goiás, observes that among the 20 most serious and worrying problems related by the students, all are cited by ECSC students, highlighting the inadequate use of water resources and soil pollution. Confirming the great impact potential of the agronomist's performance in the field.

When asked about what they understood about AE, theyreported answers that were included in six categories: 1-awareness tool 50%; 2-Preservation and sustainabilityactions 30%; 3-respect and notions of preservation 14%; 4-environmental study 13%; 5-recognition of belonging tonature 5%; 6-did not know 6% (Figure 4).

Comment [CE27]: Not in reference

Comment [CE28]: Literature review

**Comment [CE29]:** Recast to mkae discussion clear



Figure 4. Definition of EA by students.

It is noted that a significant portion of the studentsunderstands the theme in their environment al aspect, as atool of awareness and sustainability, which reflects theinformation obtained in the academic environment, whichadvocates the environmental aspect. De Moura Carvalho(20 17) reports that EA mainly recalls the idea of nature, inwhich it is reaffirmed by television program, which act as acommon means of disseminating information, making itnecessary to broad en this knowledge to a thought Critical, inview of the various aspects that it expresses.

Analyzing thequestionnaires of the professors, when asked about theacademic education, it is noted that there is a dominance ofagronomists, where they total 83% of the interviewees, followed by Zootecnista, biologist and geologist with 5%, 6%,6% respectively, which can be see in Figure 5

■ Agronomist ■ Geologist ■ Biologist ■ Zootechist

Comment [CE30]: Literature review

Comment [CE31]: Make discussion clear




Figure 5. Professional training of teachers.

The course of agronomy is grounded in multiple areas of know ledge, permeate the biological and exact sciences, walking through the humanities, forming an interdisciplinary course with unique possibilities of analysis, interpretation and formation of solutions to the rural environment. According to Japiasú (1976), interdisciplinarity is necessary for intercommunication between disciplines, which results in modifications between them through comprehensible dialogues.

Comment [CE32]: Literature review

Thus, although agronomic professionals are trained for the most diverse situations, it is of para mountimportance to contact professionals from other areas within the faculty framework to fom entation theoretical and methodological bases of the course itself, as well Future professionals and their decision-making.

Differentiated responses were observed when asked about the agronomist's posture against the environmental question, these responses were grouped into six categories:1-prioritizes production 6%; 2-oblivious to environmental issues 11%; 3-responsibility attributed to each professional56%; 4-Mediator between production and conservation 28%;5-Little technical preparation 28%; 6-Other 6% (Figure 6).




Figure 6. Teachers ' understanding of the agronomist' sposture.

It is observed that most of the interviewees report that it is the responsibility of the agronomist to think about how to solve the environmental problems, however some professionals report on the lack of technical preparation in the face of these problems. This lack of preparation and knowledge related to as is common, due to the training of professionals in this area is mainly related to the demands of agribusiness (MILLEÓ, 2000).

Since the professionals trained to work in the agrarian sciences have a very technicist formation, focused solely on production, lacking them an integral formation, resulting in professionals with little knowledge of environmental issues (CAVALLET, 2000).

On the other hand, when questioned about the environmental problems associated with agricultural production, it was found that the use of agrochemicals was reported as one of the main causes of the problems, regarding the use of natural resources. Problems such assoil degradation, ecosystem degradation, irrational use of water resources, monocultives, excessive mechanization, transgenic and damage to human health were also reported (Figure 7).

Comment [CE33]: Literature review




Figure 7. Main problems pointed out in agricultural production.


It is observed that the use of pesticides is reported withexpressiveness (78%) Among the problems caused by theagriculture pointed out by the professors, being easy tounderstand, since Brazil has become the largest consumer inthe world in the last decade, while the global increase was90% in Brazil was 190% (ANVISA, 2012). It is noteworthy thatthe monoculture and the transgenics caused great demandfor agrochemicals, because they are more dependent onthese products (INCA, 2015), for example, soy, sugarcane, maize and cotton are responsible for the consumption of80% of these Products (ABRASCO, 2017).

The teachers 'understanding of the harm caused to humanhealth may be related to the large number of cases ofintoxication by different foods, since in Natura as processed, with associated cases of cancer, abortions and infertilities (INCA, 2015).

Completing the questionnaire, the teachers reported howthe problems mentioned in the agricu ltural production areapproached in their subject (s) in the classroom, havingthese answers gro uped into four categories, varying frommuch addressed 33, 4%, moderately Approached 17%, Littleapproached 28% and do not approach 2% (Figure 8).

Comment [CE34]: Not in reference

Comment [CE35]: Literature review



**Figure 8.** How the problems of agricultural pr oduction are addressed in the classroom.

It is observed that 28% of the professors approach thetheme in the classroom little. This is a r eflection of thecurriculum of the agronomy course, this can be explained considering that in the course, only three disciplines emphasize the theme. This lack of information can form future pr of essionals without critical sense about the social and environmental impacts of their activities, as well as a realistic understanding of nature (LINSINGER, 2007).

It is also noted an effort by some professors to demonstrate in their disciplines more conservationist content, so that in the 17% who discuss the themes moderately, they express that in their disciplines there is no focus on the area, but in their classes they try to Include the themes. Thus, we can then confront the data of the students 'unpreparedness against the environmental problems 55% with the approach of 33% of teachers in the classroom as very good, the students express in the collected data and questionnaires that the subject exposed in the room of Class almost never has an environmentalist character, this partly explains its insecurity to formulate solutions to the detriment of any problems that may arise as future professionals.

According to cavallet (1999), university education should romote the student's critical sense and knowledge to act ina model of sustainable and efficient development. Thus, the approach of the subjects in the classroom should be interdisciplinary, with professionals from different areas, generating an eclectic knowledge in the students, which is not currently present (Bazzo, 2011).

#### 4. CONCLUSION

When analyzing the context of the ecsc and how theagronomic formation is constructed, we can expose a realitygrounded in the molds of the sugarcane agroindustry andthat this construct ion reflects in the modes of perception of environmental factors.

Although there are students and professors understoodabout the importance of the ea theme in the academicenvironment, it is possible to observe a deficient andinconsistent approach to environmental education in the agronomy course of the ceca-ufal.

Therefore, it isconcluded that it takes a change in the form and frequencyof the exposure of st udents and teachers withenvironmental issues in the classroom, so that in a criticalway they c an establish concrete relationships with regard toprofessional responsibility in actions and dec isions in the coherent management of agricultural systems.

**Comment [CE36]:** What is the recommendations based on the findings?

Comment [CE37]: Join into one

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Agencia Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária ANVISA. Relatório de atividades 2012: Agencia Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária. Brasília; 2013.
- [2] Barbieri JC. Gestão ambiental empresarial: conceitos, modelos e instrumentos. 1 ed São Paulo: Saraiva; 2014.

Comment [CE38]: Not used

- [3] Bardin L. Análise de conteúdo. ed. 70. Lisboa: Edições 70; 1977
- [4] Barros B. Há 40 anos, DDT precipitou restrições. São Paulo: Valor Econômico; 2010.
- [5] Brasil. Lei 9.795, de 27 de abril de 1999

Comment [CE39]: Not used

- [6] Bazzo WA. Ciência, Tecnologia e Sociedade and researcher Educción Tecnológica. 3. ed Florianópolis (SC): UFSC, 2011.
- [7] Carneiro FF, Rigotto RM, Augusto LGS, Friedrich K, Búrigo AC DOSIE ABRASCO: We have more information on the impact of the agrotoxics. Rio de Janeiro; Expressão Popular; 2015. 50p.
- [8] Carvalho ICM. Educação ambiental: A formação do sujeito ecológico 6. ed São Paulo Editora Cortez, 2012.
- [9] Castror ABC. Uso de agrotóxicos e o direasto e aquí an ecologic equilibrio 2017. Disponível em: https://www.maxwell.vrac.puc-rio.br/29589/29589.PDF Acesso em: 26/12/2018

Comment [CE40]: Not used

- [10] Cavallet VJ. Educação formal e treça: Confundir doutrinar e dominar Paraná: Dialogo educacional; 2000 p. 2 p.
- [11] De Moura Carvalho IC Educação ambiental: A formação do sujeito ecológico Cortez;

[12] EMBRAPA - Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária. Compactação do solo: consequences of vegetation EMBRAPA Cerrado 1 ed Planaltina; 2005.	
[13] Faggionato S. Percepção ambiental Disponível em: http://educar.sc.usp.br/biologia/textos/m_a_txt4.html. Acesso em: 26 dez. 2018. v. 15, n. 4, p. 731-747, 2011.	
[14] Faggionato S. Percepção Ambiental Material e Textos 2011. Disponível em: http://educar.sc.usp.br/biologia/textos/m_a_txt4.html. Acesso: 13 dez 2018	
[15] Giannetti BF, Almeida CMVB, Bonilla SH. A ecologia industrial dentro do contexto empresarial. 2007. Disponivvel: www.banasqualidade.com.br Acesso: 17 dez. 2018	Comment [CE41]: Not used
[16] Gil AC. Como elaborator projetos de pesquisa 4. ed. São Paulo: Atlas, 2011.	
[17] Godoi CK, Mello R, Silva A. Pesquisa qualitativa em estudos organizacionais - paradigmas, estratégia e métodos. 2. ed. São Paulo: Saraiva, 2010.	
[18] Guerrante RDS. Transgênos: uma visão estratégica. Rio de Janeiro: Interciência; 2003.	
[19] Hanken P, Lovins A, Lovins L.H. Capitalismo natural: Criando a próxima revolução industrial 1 ed São Paulo: Cultrix; 2000.	Comment [CE42]: Not used
[20] INCA - Instituto Nacional do Câncer. Posicionamento do Instituto Nacional do Câncer Acerca dos Agrotóxicos. Rio de Janeiro; 2015. [Acesso em: 20 jan. 2019]. Disponível em: http://www1.inca.gov.br/inca/Arquivos/comunicacao/posicionamento_do_inca_sobre_os_agro toxicos_06_abr_15.pdf.	
[21] Japiassú H. Interdisciplinaridade e patologia do saber. Rio de Janeiro: Imago, 1976.	
[22] Linsingen IV. Perspectives educacional CTS: Attendance of the United States of America Latina Ciência & Ensino 2017. 8 p.	
[23] Guilherme et al If you want to learn more about how to apply for a federal organization, then you will be able to discuss the problem. Revista de Estudos Ambientais, v. 13, n. 1, p. 62-76, 2011.	Comment [CE43]: Not used
[24] Milleo MVR. O ensino reflexivo na formação agenheiro agrônomo, PR [tese de doutorado]. Curitiba: Universidade Federal do Paraná; 2000.	
[25] Mozzato AR, Grzybovski D. Análise contoúde como técnica de análise de qualitativos no campo da administrador: potencial e desafios Revista de Administração, v. 15, n. 4, p.731 -747, 2011.	
[26] Rosa AV Agricultura e meio ambiente 7 ed. São Paulo: Atual; 1998.	
[27] Soares AMD, Cavalcanti DK, Pimentel SS. Jovens e meio ambiente: The information that is related to the relações is not processed by the professorial. Ciências Humanas e Sociais in Revista, Seropédica: EDUR, v. 30, n. 2, p. 1-12, 2008.	Comment [CE44]: Not used
[28] Souza, MGG. Histórico da Educação Ambiental no Brasil 2011. 21f. TCC (Graduação) - Curso de Licenciatura in Biologia A Distância, Universidad de Goiás, Brasília, 2011. Disponível	Common [oz.17]. Not used

<a href="http://bdm.unb.br/bitstream/10483/1929/1/2011\_MariadasGracasGomesdeSouza.pdf">http://bdm.unb.br/bitstream/10483/1929/1/2011\_MariadasGracasGomesdeSouza.pdf</a>>. Acesso: 27 mar. 2015

- [29] Trein ES. A perspectiva crichtica e emancipatória da educação ambiental Salto para o Futuro, v. 1, p. 41-45, 2008.
- [30] Trevisan R. Lixo interessante. Nova Escola Sao Paulo: 2010.
- [31] UFAL Universidade Federal de Alagoas Questionerio Autoavaliação Interna Alagoas; 2017. Disponível em: https://ufal.br/cpa/avaliacao-institucional/resultado-questionarios/2016/estudantes/13193-agronomia-ceca. Acesso em: 03 fev 2019
- [32] Weis LH, Gottardi MF, Balbinot M. Tráfico de máquinas e suas resultências na lavoura. In 3 SIMPOSIO DE AGRONOMIA E TECNOLOGIA EM ALIMENTOS, 09, 2016, Itapiranga. Dispenível em: https://eventos.uceff.edu.br/eventosfai\_dados/artigos/agrotec2016/462.pdf. Acesso: 26 de dezembro de 2018

Comment [CE45]: Not used