



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_49846
Title of the Manuscript:	Structural control of the São Francisco River Delta from the aeromagnetic data, Brazil.
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript contains important mistakes in compilation and academic writing:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript contains important references to Figures 20, 21, 22, but such Figures are not in the manuscript. If there are references to Figure 8, Figure 20, Figure 21 and Figure 22, the manuscript should include Figures 9 to 22 as well.</li> <li>2. The Figures must be placed and numbered in the order in which the manuscript is referenced, that is to say, first refer to Figure 1, then Figure 2, and so on. However, in the manuscript, references to the Figures are in the very wrong order – Figure 1; Figure 5; Figure 6; Figure 2; Figure 3 etc..</li> <li>3. The reference list contains items [2] that is not referred in the article.</li> <li>4. Line 420 contains reference in another style " according to Ponte (1969)" not found in Reference list.</li> <li>5. Launching a sentence with a numerical reference is a great mistake in academic writing. The correct academic style requires the author of the work to be referred to before the numerical reference. Very wrong is - "[12], in a work on the Ganges-Brahmaputra" or "[5] wrote about the", etc, etc. The sentence could be started like this "Research by Carminati, Martinelli and Severi [5] shows that ..."</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The references to Figures should be used one style – used "Figure 1", "Fig. 4", "Figures 4 e 5". ???.</li> <li>2. There is no space between words: line 236 "Signal[16]", line 499 "127p.", line 502 "theGanges-Brahmaputra", line 528 ":807".</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Agu Eensaar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Estonia</b>