

^{The}
CIRCULAR ECONOMY: INCLUSION ~~OF SCRAP~~ OF
ALUMINIUM SCRAP IN THE INDIAN MATERIAL FLOW PROCESS

ABSTRACT

The rapid growth in industrialization and urbanization, as much as ^{it} contributing ^{es} to India's growth story, is also causing enormous negative environmental externalities. The sustainability of the industrial economy can be ensured only if the issues of resource constraints, energy inefficiency, and waste management are addressed. A circular economy model helps in mitigating the negative environmental externalities and also sustain^s the growth of the economy. This study ~~aims to~~ analyze^d the inclusion of aluminium scrap in the Indian material flow process as part of the transition of aluminium production towards ^a circular economy. The production forecasting of aluminium for the years 2010 to 2030 ^{was performed} ~~is undertaken~~ using a modified (IPAT) model, and ~~then~~ the total scrap ratio ^{from the} production for the same years ^{was} obtained. According to the forecasts, India's production of aluminium will increase from 1.3 million (MT) in 2010 to 5.6 million MT in 2020 and 6.6 million MT in 2030. ^{The} Scrap ratio of aluminium production ~~scrap ratio of aluminium production~~ ^{is projected to} increases from 0.34 in 2010, to 0.44 in 2020, but decreases to 0.37 in 2030. India relies heavily on imported scrap to meet its production requirements, using only domestic depreciated aluminium scrap ^{the} ^s calculation reduces the scrap ratio to 0.05 in 2010 and 0.06 in 2030.

1. INTRODUCTION

India is on a high ~~growth~~ growth trajectory. ^{as per} recent IMF estimates, it is one of the fastest growing major economies in the world (IMF, 2017). The rapid growth in industrialization and urbanization ~~is contributing~~ ^{es} contributing to India's growth ~~and~~ ^{and} also causing enormous negative environmental externalities. Mining and metal industry is the beginning of ^{the} value chain of major industrial processes. For resource rich countries like India, ^{the} mining and metal industry ~~is the main~~ ^{are used} resources to meet domestic requirements and also international demands. India is the third largest producer of iron and steel, ^{and} fifth largest producer in bauxite. The environmental impacts of mining activities seem inevitable, ~~starting~~ ^{until} from the exploration process ~~until~~ after mine closure. The impacts vary depending on the scale and techniques used, such as open cast mining, which ~~even~~ ^{and} affects air quality, land-use ~~sustainability~~ ^{and} causing groundwater and surface water contamination, noise pollution. (Kitula AGN, 2006; Azcue, 2012). There is also a huge amount of waste generated in the entire value chain and ~~also~~ ^{the} at the end of life of the finished products. The energy consumption of ^{the} industrial sector alone accounts for 37% of the total primary energy consumption (Energy Balance Statistics, 2012). The emissions from this sector account for 80 % of India's total emissions. The sustainability of the industrial economy can be ensured only if the ~~the~~ issues of resource constraints, energy inefficiency, and waste management, are addressed. The current ~~linear~~ ^{linear} model, where resources are extracted, processed, used for production of finished products, which are eventually discarded after end use, has to transform to a circular model, where end of life products are brought back into the value chain by restoration and recycling in order to move towards sustainability.

1.1 CIRCULAR ECONOMY

A circular economy is an industrial system that is restorative and regenerative by design. It replaces the 'end of life' concept with restoration, shifts towards the use of renewable energy, eliminates the use of toxic chemicals, which impair reuse, and aims for the

LITERATURE REVIEW

elimination of waste through the superior design of materials, products, systems and business models (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2013).

There are several definitions and interpretations of ^{the} circular economy. The overall concept of ^{the} circular economy is to ensure that the resources are efficiently used and waste is completely eliminated. This can be done by using ~~the~~ primary resources, by promoting restoration and recycling and ^{the} use of renewable energy sources, maintaining a high value of material and products throughout their life cycle, by improving product design, ^{and} changing utilization patterns by shift in consumption patterns (Rizos et al., 2017).

The benefits of this model are not just in mitigating the negative environmental externalities, but also in sustaining the growth of the economy. A circular economy development path can create value of ~~40 lakh crore~~ ^{approximately \$6 million US dollars} in 2030, enabling cost savings ^{of} 11% of ^{the} current GDP in 2030 (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2016). ~~There~~ ^{of this approach} Although challenges remain in ^{education} knowledge and adoption there are huge opportunities for India to transition towards ^a circular economy.

1.2 OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

Aluminium, an infinitely recyclable material due to its unique physical and chemical properties, provides more ^{opportunity} ~~scope~~ for the move from 'take-make-dispose' linear model to a circular economy model. ~~Where~~ ^{of this approach} This study aims to analyze the inclusion of aluminium scrap in the Indian material flow process as part of the transition of aluminium production towards ^a circular economy.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

Chen et al., 2015, in their study, analyzed ~~the~~ copper flows in United States ^{from 1975 to 2012} ~~from 1975 to 2012~~, using a four-stage substance flow analysis. Substance flow analysis is an assessment of the anthropogenic metal cycle of a substance. The four stage framework

(add reference) → add to references!

includes transformational flows, trade flows, recycling flows, and loss flows. This analysis helped the authors find out the scrap utilization scenario in production, scrap trade over the years.

Refined copper includes primary copper and secondary copper. Primary copper is extracted from the ore, secondary copper is obtained from manufacturing and fabrication stages of copper and old scrap from used copper products. Output of secondary refined copper fell 90% from 1986 to 2012, while primary remained stable ratio decreased from 27.33% to 3.94% in 2012. Reasons for the decrease are environmental pressure, market interests, government regulations on hazardous waste, and others, which make primary product cheaper. Refined copper dominates imports and scrap dominates exports.

Amount of exported old scrap increased drastically. In 1975, the total exported old scrap was only 130.829 Gg. In 2012, the amount of exported old scrap increased 8.13 times compared to that of 1975. Due to environmental pressure and increased regulations for secondary processing, it is cheaper for US with primary product. The study highlighted the reduced usage of old copper scrap for production in United States.

The recycling rate of copper has increased 40 percent from 1965 to 2012. This scrap is mostly exported. The reasons for this reduced usage were environmental pressure and cheaper refined copper available as imports.

This paper closely follows Xuan and Yue (2016). In that paper, the authors forecasted the production of steel for the years 2010 to 2030, using a modified IPAT model, and calculated the scrap ratio for the same years, in order to estimate the availability of steel scrap for production. The results show that production would increase to 901 million tons, and scrap ratio would also increase to 0.366.

Xuan et al. (2016) investigated the influence of imported steel scrap used for steel production on future resource and energy consumption and emissions using a subsystem input output model and scenario analysis. Three scenarios were assumed for energy consumption and emissions. The baseline scenario is the production of steel, based only on domestic depreciated scrap. The second scenario is production of steel, based on low imported scrap usage, the third scenario is high imported scrap usage for production of steel.

add space

add reference
→ add to references!

Convert to Kilograms or tons

add space

Metric

(Pico Joules/sec) $(1.0082 \times 10^{-8} \text{ Watts})$

The results show that third scenario has ^ahigher energy saving potential of ^{approximately} ~~about~~ 10082 PJ ^{the} compared to ^{the} other two scenarios. There is also resource efficiency with the third scenario, since China imports 78.5 percent of ^{its} ~~the~~ domestic iron ore demand. The emissions are reduced ^{by} 60% with the third scenario. ~~hence~~ The shift from iron ore based steel to scrap based steel is essential. Seignie, Jtoiz et al, (2014) studied the environmental consequences of recycling old scrap in a global market using dynamic Material Flow Analysis ^{being} and consequential Life Cycle Assessment. The study was carried out for Spain as ^{being} representative of Europe. The dynamic MFA of aluminium flows was done for ~~the years~~ 1995 to 2010. The analysis showed that as old scrap was collected and exported, the export flows contributed to more reduction of greenhouse gas emissions.

(add reference)
add to references

(MFA)

3.0 METHODOLOGY

The methodology of this paper closely follows Xuan and Yue (2016).
The production forecast ~~of~~ of aluminium for ~~the years~~ 2010 to 2030 ~~is considered~~ and ~~the~~ the total scrap ratio for the same years ^{was} obtained in order to understand the scrap utilization scenario in ^{the} Indian aluminium industry.

3.1 Method

a) Aluminium Production forecasting for 2010 to 2030

The production of aluminium in India ^{was} estimated and forecasted using a modified IPAT model.

The IPAT model, also known as Kaya ^{identity} ~~equation~~ equation, ^{was} used to estimate carbon emissions as product of various factors. Here we use a modified IPAT model to forecast aluminium production, since it takes into consideration the growth, environment, social and policy factors in its estimation process.

The modified IPAT model is as follows:

$$Q = P \left(\frac{G}{P} \right) \left(\frac{E}{G} \right) \left(\frac{C}{E} \right) \cdot k \cdot w = P \cdot A \cdot e \cdot k \cdot w \quad (3.1a)$$

where Q represents total aluminium production in the year t , P represents population in the year t , $\left(\frac{G}{P} \right)$ represents GDP per capita for the year t , $\left(\frac{E}{G} \right)$ represents energy intensity per unit of GDP (e), $\left(\frac{C}{E} \right)$ represents carbon emissions per

add line space

Bold ext

add space
was determined

unit of energy consumed (c), k represents ^{the} technological progress coefficient, w represents ^{the} share of carbon emissions of aluminium production in ^{the} total national emissions, A represents definition of A .

b) Scrap ratio calculation for ~~the years~~ 2010 to 2030

Scrap ratio is the depreciated aluminium scrap recycled in aluminium industry for use in aluminium production. It includes domestic scrap and imported scrap.

The scrap ratio for the year t is calculated as follows:

$$S_t = \rho_t + e_t = \frac{m_t M_{t-\Delta t} + E_t}{P_t} \quad (3.1b)$$

where S_t is total scrap ratio for the year t , ρ_t is domestic depreciated scrap ratio, e_t is imported scrap ratio for the year t .

Δt is ^{the} average lifespan of aluminium products, m_t is recycling rate of domestic depreciated scrap, $M_{t-\Delta t}$ is ^{the} aluminium consumption in ^a particular region Δt years ago, E_t is amount of imported scrap for the year t , P_t is total aluminium ^{production for the year t} .

The domestic depreciated scrap ratio for the year t is calculated by multiplying the recycling rate of domestic depreciated scrap and aluminium consumption in the year $t - \Delta t$ and dividing by the total aluminium production in ^{the} year t . Similarly, imported scrap ratio of aluminium production is calculated by dividing ^{the} amount of imported aluminium scrap in the year t by ^{the} total aluminium production in ^{the} year t .

3.2 Data source

The data for the variables used in this study is taken from secondary sources. The population, GDP per capita, energy intensity per unit of GDP, carbon emissions per unit of energy consumed, ~~data~~ for ~~the years~~ 2010 to 2015 ^{is} taken from ^{the} World Bank database of World Development Indicators. The world technological progress coefficient is ^{obtained} ~~assumed~~ from the paper ^{of} Xuan and Yue (2016). The share of carbon emissions of aluminium production in ^{the} total national emissions is taken from ^{the} India Greenhouse Gas Emissions Report, 2007.

The recycling rate of domestic depreciated scrap for India is taken from ^{the} India Mineral Yearbook, ^{year}.

(add reference)

include in references!

The aluminium consumption for the years is ~~sources~~ ^{obtained} from NITI Report on Metals

Industry, India. The amount of imported scrap for the years 2010 to 2015 is taken from ~~the~~

Export Import Databank of Ministry of Commerce, India. The total aluminium

production for the years 2010 to 2030 are the values obtained ^{from the} ~~using~~ forecasting.

(add reference)

include in references!

4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 ALUMINIUM PRODUCTION FORECASTING

The production of aluminium for the years 2010 to 2030 ~~has been~~ ^{was} calculated and forecasted using the modified IPAT model given in Equation 3.1a.

The calculated and predicted values are ~~depicted~~ ^{shown} in Table 1.

According to forecast results, Indian aluminium production increased ^s from 1.3 million tons in 2010, to 6.6 million tons in 2030. Compared with ^{the} statistical data of aluminium production, the errors are 0.19 % for the years 2010 to 2015 respectively.

Table 1. Aluminium Production forecasting for 2010 to 2030

Y E A R	POPULATI ON	GDP PER CAPIT A	ENERGY INTENSIT Y PER UNIT OF GDP	CO2 EMISSIO NS PER UNIT OF ENERGY CONSU MED	k TECHNO LOGICAL PROGRE SS	w SHARE OF CO2 EMISSIO NS OF AL PN IN TOTAL	ALUMINIU M PRODUCTIO N (MT)
		(IN THOUS AND DOLLA RS PER PERSO N)	Tcoe				
2010	123098450 4	1.3457	0.5627	1.4	1	0.001	1304985
2011	124744601 1	1.4614	0.5627	1.5	0.991	0.001	1524869
2012	126358963	1.4475	0.5743	1.6	0.982	0.001	1650421

Format to
Make these
Column
Headings
readable!

Use consistent formatting for values in table cells,
(creatively on bottom cells)!

PEER REVIEW

→ Use Consistent Formatting for values in table cells (neatly on bottom cells)!

	9						
2013	127949887 4	1.4562	0.5951	1.6	0.973	0.001	1726170
2014	129529154 3	1.5768	0.6061	1.7	0.965	0.001	2030788
2015	131105052 7	1.5983	0.61594	1.77	0.956	0.001	2183973
2016	132728777 8	1.6427 7	0.62786	1.84	0.947	0.001	2385466
2017	134328137 6	1.6889	0.63978	1.91	0.939	0.001	2603310
2018	135927497 5	1.7352	0.6517	1.98	0.93	0.001	2830472
2019	137526857 3	1.7814	0.66362	2.05	0.922	0.001	3073020
2020	139126217 1	1.8276	0.67554	2.12	0.914	0.001	3328444
2021	140725577 0	1.873	0.68746	2.19	0.905	0.001	3593023
2022	142324936 8	1.9201	0.69938	2.26	0.897	0.001	3874584
2023	143924296 7	1.9663	0.7113	2.33	0.889	0.001	4169713
2024	145523656 5	2.0125	0.72322	2.4	0.881	0.001	4478619
2025	147123016 4	2.0588	0.73514	2.47	0.873	0.001	4801501
2026	148722376 2	2.1050	0.74706	2.54	0.865	0.001	5138543
2027	150321736 1	2.1512	0.75898	2.61	0.858	0.001	5496320
2028	151921095 9	2.1974	0.7709	2.68	0.85	0.001	5862666
2029	153520455 8	2.2437	0.78282	2.75	0.842	0.001	6243659

use consistent formatting for values in the table cells (mostly in bottom cells)!

add to references!

2030	155119815	2.2899	0.79474	2.82	0.835	0.001	6647384
	6						

add to references!

4.2 SCRAP RATIO: 2010 – 2030

The scrap ratio of aluminium production is estimated and forecasted for the years 2010 to 2030 using ~~the~~ Equation 3.15. The calculated and predicted values are ~~depicted~~ in Table 2. India's recycling rate of domestic depreciated scrap is very low, about 20 percent (India Bureau of Mines). The average lifespan of aluminium products is 20 years (KK Chatterjee, 2007). India relies heavily on import of aluminium scrap to meet its production requirements. India was the second highest importer of aluminium scrap in the year 2015 after China (Institute of Scrap Recycling Industries). The scrap ratio calculated ~~is~~ ^{was} 0.340 or 34 percent for ~~the year~~ 2010, and fluctuates ^{ing} around ~~the~~ ^{the} 0.4 or 40 percent for ~~the year~~ 2030. So the amount of aluminium scrap used for production of 1.6 million MT in 2010 is 0.55 million MT.

Bold text

shown

add reference year?!

add space

add to references!

Amount to Make these Column headings readable!

Table 2. Scrap ratio of aluminium production for 2010 to 2030

Year	m-RECYCLING RATE OF DOMESTIC SCRAP %	M-ALUMINIUM CONSUMPTION Δt YEARS AGO	E-AMOUNT OF SCRAP IMPORTED FOR THE YEAR t	P-TOTAL ALUMINIUM PRODUCTION FORECASTED FOR THE YEAR t (MT)	SCRAP RATIO	TOTAL AMOUNT OF SCRAP USED IN PRODUCTION (Mt)
2010	0.2	423000	466386.25	1619455	0.340	550614.7
2011	0.2	457000	622988.69	1667634	0.428	713747.4
2012	0.2	400000	726535.44	1757319	0.458	804852.1
2013	0.2	424000	718942.25	1723100	0.466	802964.6
2014	0.2	455000	866431.5	2031835	0.471	956994.3
2015	0.25	461000	866734.5	2183973	0.449	980603.9
2016	0.25	478000	983784.0873	2385466	0.462	1102085
2017	0.25	481000	1061626.273	2603310	0.453	1179299
2018	0.25	488000	1139468.458	2830472	0.445	1259560

format these to ensure that they rest on the bottom line for each row

2019	0.25	494500	1217310.644	3073020	0.436	1339837
2020	0.25	505250	1295152.829	3328444	0.427	1421246
2021	0.25	570320	1372995.014	3593023	0.421	1512663
2022	0.25	579120	1450837.2	3874584	0.411	1592454
2023	0.25	542680	1528679.385	4169713	0.399	1663715
2024	0.25	681995	1606521.571	4478619	0.396	1773533
2025	0.25	1000127	1684363.756	4801501	0.402	1930203
2026	0.25	1118621	1762205.942	5138543	0.397	2040002
2027	0.25	1273531	1840048.127	5496320	0.392	2154557
2028	0.25	1301730	1917890.312	5862666	0.380	2227813
2029	0.25	1532239	1995732.498	6243659	0.382	2385078
2030	0.25	1707831	2073574.683	6647384	0.376	2499416

4.3 SCENARIO ANALYSIS

4.3.1 Domestic scrap only ~~many~~ action

When there is no import of aluminium scrap allowed, and only domestic aluminium scrap exists, the scrap ratio of aluminium production is found to be very low.

Table 3. Scenario : Domestic scrap only

YEAR	SCRAP RATIO WITH DOMESTIC SCRAP ONLY	SCRAP RATIO WITH IMPORTED SCRAP
2010	0.05	0.340
2011	0.05	0.428
2012	0.04	0.458
2013	0.04	0.466
2014	0.04	0.471
2015	0.05	0.449
2016	0.05	0.462
2017	0.04	0.453
2018	0.04	0.445
2019	0.04	0.436

format these to

ensure they rest on the table row like the fifth column

format these to ensure they rest on the table row like the left column of the table

add new line to properly space this

2020	0.03	0.427
2021	0.03	0.421
2022	0.037	0.411
2023	0.03	0.399
2024	0.03	0.396
2025	0.05	0.402
2026	0.05	0.397
2027	0.05	0.392
2028	0.05	0.380
2029	0.06	0.382
2030	0.06	0.376

CONCLUSION

In this study, we analyzed the scenario of Indian aluminium production and inclusion of scrap in production by forecasting for the years 2010 to 2030. The forecast results show that India's production of aluminium will increase from 1.3 million MT in 2010, to 5.6 million MT in 2020, and 6.6 million MT in 2030. The increasing growth in aluminium demand can be attributed as a reason. The scrap ratio was obtained by calculating for the years 2010 to 2015, and predicting the years 2016 to 2030. The results show that the scrap ratio of aluminium production increases from 0.34 in 2010, to 0.44 in 2020, but decreases to 0.37 in 2030. The scenario analysis shows that using only domestic scrap reduces the scrap ratio to 0.05 in 2010, and 0.06 in 2030. ~~As~~ India relies heavily on imported scrap to meet its production requirements. The utilization of scrap in production is one of the circular economy processes, and India has to increase its recycling rate and enable transition to a sustainable circular economy model.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to their ~~parent~~ ^{research} institute, which provided them the infrastructure ^{necessary to} ~~benefit~~ of conducting the research ~~work~~.

REFERENCES

Add a new line to space properly

Dutta et al., 2010, An outlook into energy consumption in large scale industries in India,

: The cases of steel, aluminium and cement

Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2013, Towards Circular Economy

Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2016, Circular economy in India: Rethinking growth for long term prosperity

Ernst and Young 2015, India Mining and Metals Industry Report

NITI AYOGE, 2016, Metals in World Economy – Case of Aluminium Industry in India

Nkanshah et al., 2015, Scrap metals role in circular economy in Ghana, using Sunyani as case study

Rizos et al., 2017, The Circular Economy: A review of definitions, processes and impacts

Singh et al., 2016, Resource recovery for post consumer waste: important lessons for Circular economy

Sevigne-Jtoiz et al., 2014, Environmental consequences of recycling aluminium scrap in a global market

Wu Chen et al., 2016, Analysis of copper flows in United States, 1975 – 2012

Xuan and Yue, 2016, Scenario analysis of resource and environmental benefits of imported steel scrap for China's steel industry

Xuan et al., 2016, Forecast of steel demand and the availability of depreciated steel scrap in China

add
one
line
to
improve
spacing
and
readability!

add space

old