



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_49727
Title of the Manuscript:	STATISTICAL EVALUATION OF THE IMPACT STRENGTH ON MILD STEEL CLADDING WELD METAL GEOMETRY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Page No. 1, line no. 10, there is two consecutive the words. "the the". One should be removed. 2. Abstract is needs to be rewritten. 3. In general, an introduction should contain adequate references wherever is appropriate since it gives information form already published articles only. Authors must give references to their contents given in introduction. 4. Experimental section should be given clearly. 5. Authors should give more care on preparation of the manuscript. I have a doubt whether the manuscript is prepared according to journal guideline or format. 6. Titles of sections should be modified more specifically. 7. Though, authors done experimental work in a good manner, they have failed to present them in clear form. Authors instructed to give clear insight of results. The present form of manuscript just looking like a progress report. 8. Since the journal is more valuable, the manuscript should contain more number of references. I could see very less number of references in the present manuscript. More number of references result more clear projection of manuscript. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Typo and grammatical errors should be rectified	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	C.Kamal
Department, University & Country	Mahendra Engineering College, India