



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_50362
Title of the Manuscript:	Unripe rind and pulp of Ananas comosus accelerate wound healing in diabetic wistar rat
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Authors proposed the use of various pineapple extracts in wound healing, with very interesting results. The main feature of the manuscript is represented by in vivo studies. Nevertheless, considering that you use plant/food matrices you have to add a more specific chemical characterization. The simple table present in this manuscript did not help the future reading of the manuscript, because lecturers cannot have a useful understanding. You have to postulate how the molecules (polyphenols, for example) present in the extract can affect wound healing.	
Minor REVISION comments	In the introduction, the authors should add for example traditional therapies and recent research about the management of type 2 diabetes and also diabetic foot ulcer. For example: https://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/ben/cmc/pre-prints/content-30009700 https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3921/8/2/36 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960894X19303154 https://www.future-science.com/doi/abs/10.4155/fmc-2017-0113 https://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/23/1/105 https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/11263504.2018.1549611	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Francesca Aiello</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Calabria; Edificio Polifunzionale, Italy</i>