



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JPRI_47980</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Effect of Honey Saffron Syrup on Labor Progression in Nulliparous Women</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p>	<p>The theme is important. I have some advice.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Introduction conclusion: "Saffron syrup with hone is effective in progression first, second and third stage of labor". "effective" is unclear. "Administration of saffron syrup with honey shortened the time of first, second and third stage of labor": you had better state "fact" itself. State the number studied here. Describe why saffron is used here. You wrote it in Introduction.</li> <li>2. Introduction: "Childbirth is one of the most important events in women's lives and is considered as one of the significant indicators of health in any country that is associated with profound psychological, social and emotional effects for the mother and her family(1)": This is common knowledge and thus delete this completely. "Embryo" should be "fetus". "maximum duration is 11.7 hours": what is "maximum"? Is this 95 percentile or normal upper limit? Then, cite references.  "relaxation tehniqe(14)": technique is right. Please perform spellcheck.  "Ali Akbar Sichani et al." is this right? Usually, state only family name here.</li> <li>3. Introduction is too long and tiring. What you should state here is only three: 1) labor time should be shortened, 2) saffron is traditionally considered to reduce the time of labor, and 3) honey may accelerate this effect. "And thus we attempted to determine if saffron + honey may shorten the labor time". That is all. Reduce the Introduction volume by 1/2. Do not write things not related to this study. This is not a textbook.</li> <li>4. Methods: "partogram" is right. "Before the intervention, the researcher controlled the uterus contractions,": what do you mean? Please rephrase this. "partogram" is right.</li> <li>5. Results: "duration was shorter" and not "lower".</li> <li>6. Discussion: "The conclusion of the study revealed" should be "This study showed that".  "The current study was accompanied by limitations such as individual and genetic differences and psychological status that are factors influencing the progress of labor, which were beyond the control of the researcher.": This was a double blind study, and then, is this still present as a study limitation? To eliminate these biases, we employ double blind study. Delete this limitation or explain your intention.  "One of the strengths of this study is the lack of similar studies on the use of saffron and honey combinations with a specific dose on labor progression.": this is not a strength but prerequisite of the original study. Move this sentence (meaning) to the Introduction last or Discussion first.</li> <li>7. References: "persion"; sorry but I do not understand this word. Is this "Persian"?</li> </ol> <p>To authors: The study is worthy. But I advise you that you had better check every detail of the manuscript. There are many wrong English and you may even not have done spellcheck. Doing spellcheck is a minimum courtesy to the journal and reviewers. You may say, "somebody will check such things" but somebody who? Paper writing is like a "writing</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	one's life itself". Be sincere to paper writing.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shigeki Matsubara</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Jichi Medical University, Japan</b>