



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_49987 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Evaluation of knowledge, attitude and performance regarding gestational diabetes mellitus among women |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>I have some advices.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract: Delete the first line because this is "common knowledge" (need not to write this textbook description), "Women's knowledge and attitudes about pregnancy can be effective in preventing and early detection, therefore, it seems that measuring the level of knowledge and attitude towards this issue and informing women more about its solutions." <p>One cannot understand if this "score" is high or low. Very shortly explain it; for example "with 30 being full mark" like manner. Delete "which reduces and eliminates harmful pregnancies, promote maternal health, and promote healthy and socially inclusive societies." : you did not show this. Need not state this.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Introduction. Completely extinguish the first paragraph. Readers are not high-school students but doctors. Do not write such a "fundamental" "common knowledge". With these description, many readers feel as if they are treated as students. I do not point out same things through the manuscript but there are many such parts in this manuscript. Please reduce the paper volume. Reconsider the paper structure. 3. State "country" in abstract. One does not know the name of the city/are that you write. 4. Did you describe IRB approval and IC of the patients within the text? 5. One sentence paragraph should not be used. 6. The last sentence should be deleted; you did not study this. "It is also recommended that a blood glucose screening test be conducted to detect and diagnose early gestational diabetes." 7. English should be edited by a native scientist. <p>Almost all references are Persian. Many readers cannot read Persian. Please replace these references by English papers. Only if there is ONLY PERSIAN paper regarding the corresponding issue, then you may cite Persian paper: this is because this paper is written in English and readers cannot check if references are adequately cited or not.</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Shigeki Matsubara |
| Department, University & Country | Jichi Medical University, Japan |