



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_50209
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of polyethylene glycol powder and polyethylene glycol 40% syrup in treatment of chronic idiopathic constipation in pediatrics
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Apply statistics for observations and do comparative studies more precisely already Human ethical committee approves the protocol as per guidelines and parents also gave their consent letter.	
Minor REVISION comments	Write conclusion in mechanistic way	
Optional/General comments	Research	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N. L. Dashputre
Department, University & Country	Institute of Pharamcy, Savitribai Phule Pune University, India