



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JPRI_50210</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>The comparison of the effect of repetitive doses of succinylcholine and thiopental sodium on the duration and severity of seizure in Electro convulsive therapy: Randomized clinical trial</b> |
| Type of the Article      |   |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Strange design and weird study. Succinylcholine and thiopental sodium are totally different medications. They work differently and have different mechanism, different effects. Should have compared and studied either propofol with thiopental or succinylcholine with rocuronium. There is no comparison between succinylcholine and thiopental sodium. It is like compare apple and orange. There is no comparison at all!</li> <li>2. There are lots of grammar errors or wrong wording. For example, age not range in the criteria section.</li> <li>3. In Table 1, there are wrong P values.</li> </ol> |  |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      |  |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |  |  |

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                       |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Joe Liu Anesthesiology</b>         |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Michigan State University, USA</b> |