



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_49459
Title of the Manuscript:	Biofilm, Antimicrobial & Reductive ability of London rocket S. irio
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>General Revision</p> <p>Individual In Abstract API in full spelling.</p> <p>The best absorbance was MeOH > H₂O > EtOH. The best extract was MeOH> EtOH > H₂O. Why the both differ?</p> <p>In introduction Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus pyrogens are in italic.</p> <p>Table 2 is unnecessary, thus delete.</p> <p>Table 3 is unnecessary, thus delete because the above sentences were described about Table 3 content.</p> <p>The best absorbance was MeOH > H₂O > EtOH. The best extract was MeOH> EtOH > H₂O. Why these differ? Please discuss.</p> <p>Your paper has insufficient result and no discussion. For the original research article, sufficient discussion is indispensable.</p> <p>The order of MeOH> H₂O>EtOH is difficult to comprehend because hydrophilicity order is H₂O> MeOH > EtOH and hydrophobicity order is EtOH> MeOH > H₂O, thus no order of MeOH > H₂O> EtOH or MeOH> EtOH > H₂O, so it is indispensable to discuss why these are not the order of hydrophilicity or hydrophobicity. Please.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hideharu Shintani
Department, University & Country	Chuo University, Japan