



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_49721
Title of the Manuscript:	MOLECULAR DETECTION ctx-M, TEM and VIM in ESBL-producing E. coli strains isolated from pregnant women in Osogbo
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The introduction did not provide the necessary background information. 2. Result and discussion section were mixed. They should be revised. Result was written twice. Which one is true?? 3. Discussion section should be written again. It was not critically written. 4. References were not new dated. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The introduction did not provide the necessary background information. 2. Result and discussion section were mixed. They should be revised. Result was written twice. Which one is true?? 3. Discussion section should be written again. It was not critically written. 4. References were not new dated. 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Pinar Sanlibaba</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Ankara University, Turkey</i>