SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_49904
Title of the Manuscript:	Hydrocarbon degradation potential of heterotrophic bacteria isolated from oil polluted sites in sakpenwa community in rivers state
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The aim of the study is not clearly defined. Methodologies are poor and the experimentation is incompletely described! The paper need to be reorganised!	
Minor REVISION comments	line 31 : South-South ??? line 44 : what is the aim of the present study ???? line 50 : "soil sampler" ??? line 64 : "nutrient agar plate" some details concerning this media could be useful! line 89 : "to check whether negative" this could be deleted. In fact all the chapter gram staining could be deleted!!!! line 172 : delete "diversity" line 180 - 294 : All this part (including table 4 and fig 1-6) describe results corresponding to a degradation experiment which is not described in the Materials and method section!!!!	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer,
		correct the manuscript and highlight that part in
		the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors
		should write his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>issues here in details)</u>	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript:		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daniel Delille
Department, University & Country	Sorbonne University Pierre and Marie Curie Campus, France

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)