## SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_49911
Title of the Manuscript:	Dysmenorrhea and associated risk factors among adolescent girls in Junior High School of Upper East region, Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
	<ol> <li>To authors,</li> <li>I have some advice.</li> <li>Abstract: You state the same things in both Result and Conclusion. Make it short.</li> <li>Abstract: You did not study "how to deal with the situation" and thus you should refrain from stating "what to do" in Conclusion. State things solely based on the study. In my opinion, Conclusion last can have this meaning but abstract not.</li> <li>Methods: Please write if you had obtained IRB approval and IC from participants also within the manuscript itself.</li> <li>References: Many articles have "single page". If these are papers of single page, then write in "44-44" like manner.</li> </ol>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

## PART 2:

		<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Shigeki Matsubara Jichi
Department, University & Country	Medial University, Japan

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)