



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_50145
Title of the Manuscript:	Calculation of Relative Uncertainty when Measuring Physical Constants: CODATA Technique vs Information Method
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	No major revisions requested.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	No minor revisions requested.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper analyses the mathematical consistency of the measurement of Physical constants based both on the dependence on the model and on the measuring apparatus. The references proposed are interesting and updated.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Orchidea Maria Lecian</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Sapienza University of Rome, Italy</i>