

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_50145
Title of the Manuscript:	Calculation of Relative Uncertainty when Measuring Physical Constants: CODATA Technique vs Information Method
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The way of comparison of the models and analysing the results are good. Paper may be accepted for the publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed
		highlight that part in the manus
		his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pasupuleti Venkata Siva Kumar
Department, University & Country	Vallurupalli Nageswara Rao Vignana Jyothi Institute of Engineering & Technology, India

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and suscript. It is mandatory that authors should write