



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_49989
Title of the Manuscript:	Fosfomycin an Alternative for the Treatment of Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>General Rev Methodologies used are already published elsewhere, so this paper can be accepted as a case study, not an original article. Fosfomycin sensitivity data against MRSA were published elsewhere.</p> <p>Citation is insufficient.</p> <p>Individual A total of 147 were ----pus and tissues and were identified---. Antimicrobial sensitivity was----- . Cite this.</p> <p>Page 2 first appeared MRSA is together with full spelling like methicillin resistance staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), and thereafter only MRSA. In this paper too many full spelling.</p> <p>Name of bacteria is in italic.</p> <p>Cite Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method.</p> <p>TABLE 4 P-value to total, 76.9% to 76.8%</p> <p>Owe study showed 85% of --- . In other countries --- Cite these.</p> <p>Community acquired show susceptible results ----. Cite this.</p> <p>Our study ---68.7% ----- . Cite this.</p> <p>One of the ----diarrhea. Cite this.</p> <p>Ciprofloxacin ----MRSA. Cite this.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hideharu Shintani.
Department, University & Country	University of Chuo, Japan