



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_45501
Title of the Manuscript:	URBAN SUSTAINABILITY INDEX:MEASURING PERFORMANCE OF 15 METROPOLITAN CITIES OF INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Citation needs to comply with South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics. 2. There is no brief conclusion in the abstract. From line 17 to 19, "The outcome of the study will contribute..." is not relating to any of your findings. 3. "Environment" is a general term and should not be one of the keywords. (Line 20) 4. From line 35 to 49, these outlines are not understandable. 5. From line 136 to 142 and line 166 to 177, these statistical numbers are irrelative to the discussion of sustainability. Literature review should emphasize on the relationships between indicators and sustainability, especially the method applied by other studies. 6. The content from line 236 to 308 should be part of the literature review. 7. From line 281 to 308, the framework is not closely related to the result. Although table 6 (from line 364 to 365) shows the benchmarks, readers are unlikely to understand how the numbers are associated with sustainability of the selected 15 cities. 8. From line 274 to 280, the selected 15 cities need to be stated more about their current conditions. 9. The tables from line 357 to 365 need to be explained in details by words. 10. Without the discussion of the underlying linkages between indicators, the indicators of urban sustainability themselves are meaningless. Literature review should include more recent articles relating to sustainable urban form, sustainable transport, and green economic development. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Te-I A. Tsai</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Chinese Culture University, Taiwan</i>