



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_49771
Title of the Manuscript:	QUANTIFICATION OF THE CONTRIBUTION OF RURAL WOMEN IN SOCIOECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Please, go through the comments in the abstract section. There are too many unnecessary statements that overload the abstract. The abstract should be reduced to 250 words. In the abstract, select the important findings (one or two). -The introduction section lacks essential ingredients. Importantly, the statement of the problem was not clearly stated. No gap was established. -The methodology is too loaded with unnecessary information. -The results' interpretation should be revisited. The comment in the full paper should be addressed. -The conclusion aspect of the paper lacks good flow. Check the correction. -No recommendation was made. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nafiu, Akeem Tunde
Department, University & Country	Kogi State University, Nigeria