

A brief Review: Lectins, Protease Inhibitors and Saponins in Cereals and Legumes

Abstract

Cereals and legumes are substantial in the human diet of tropical and sub-tropical regions. Anti-nutrient factors in cereals and legumes are secondary metabolites which can interfere with nutrient digestion and absorption after ingestion. This review will focus on the different content factors found in cereals and legumes including lectins, protease inhibitors, and saponins. It is important to show the treatments which are used to reduce the anti-nutrient factors in cereals and legumes. Therefore, this review sought to summarize the available literature on different techniques that have been used to reduce the concentration of anti-nutrient factors in foods.

Keywords: Anti-nutrient contents, Cereals, Legumes

Introduction

In Asian dietaries, cereals and legumes are very important major staple foods (Oghbaei and Prakash, 2016). They are significant sources of nutrients especially protein, dietary fibre, vitamins, minerals, and phytochemicals (Pereira *et al.*, 2002). It is important to know that various anti-nutritional substances are present in foods which could be reduced/removed by different techniques (EI- Hady and Habiba, 2003).

Anti-nutrient factors are considered as secondary metabolites of cereals and legumes. Some of them are produced by the plants to protect themselves against attacks by herbivores, insects, and pathogens or to survive adverse weather conditions such as droughts (Bora, 2014). However, they can interfere with digestion and absorption of nutrients in the digestive tract after ingestion (Nadeem *et al.*, 2010). Therefore, the majority of these compounds may be labelled as anti-nutrients in the human diet.

Anti-nutrient factors in cereals and legumes include phytic acid, saponins, polyphenols, lathrogens, α -galactosides, protease inhibitors, α - amylase inhibitors and lectins. Different methods are widely employed to reduce or remove anti-nutritional factors from cereals and legumes. These methods include soaking, cooking, germination, fermentation, selective

31 extraction, irradiation and enzymatic treatment (EI- Hady and Habiba, 2003). Moreover, a
32 combination of different techniques has been proven more effective compared with single
33 techniques. However, complete removal is impossible (Khokhar and Apenten, 2003).

34 This article focused on phytic acid, saponins, protease inhibitors, and lectins which are found
35 almost in all grains and forage legumes. This article also investigated some techniques that could
36 be used to inactivate the activities of these anti-nutrients before consumption of the constituent
37 grains.

38 Lectins

39 Lectins are proteins or glycoproteins which are commonly found in beans. They are known to
40 have erythroagglutinating and leucoagglutinating factors erythroagglutinating and
41 leucoagglutinating (Lioi *et al.*, 2003). Most lectins have the ability to agglutinate erythrocytes
42 (Puztai, 1991). Besides, they can bind with glycoproteins on the epithelial surface of the
43 small intestine, interfering with nutrient absorption (Sgarbieri, 1982). It has been proven *in*
44 *vitro* that isolated lectins can induce enlargement of the small intestine and cause damage to the
45 epithelium (Zucoloto, 1991). Although legume lectins can be harmful to humans, there is no
46 evidence/indication of the anti-nutritional effect of cereal lectins (Jansman *et al.*, 1998, Buul *et al.*,
47 2014). However, some lectins can be easily disintegrated (Mubarak, 2005).

48 Lectin contents had been reported to be higher in Kidney beans (*Phaseolus Vulgaris*),
49 soybeans (*Glycine max*), cowpeas (*Vigna unguiculata*), and lupin seeds (*Lupinus*
50 *augustifolius*) (Grant *et al.*, 1995).

51 Germination can be used to reduce the concentration of lectins in legumes before
52 consumption. The reduction is due to proteolytic action of different enzymes (Savelkoul *et*
53 *al.*, 1992 (Lajolo and Genovese, 2002).

54

55 Protease Inhibitors

56 It is an agent that blocks a protease ability to hydrolyze proteins. They are typically applied in the
57 pharmaceutical industry as antiviral drugs to treat HIV/AIDS. Protease inhibitors can interfere with
58 the action of proteolytic enzymes in the digestive tract especially with pancreatic trypsin and
59 chymotrypsin (Birk, 1989). There are two types of protease inhibitors, namely Kunitz and
60 Bowman-Birk. Kunitz type especially acts on trypsin, while Bowman-Birk type inhibits both

61 trypsin and chymotrypsin (Lajolo *et al.*, 1991). However, protease inhibitors are known to be
62 effective in **suppressing** carcinogenesis in many different **in vivo** and **in vitro** assay systems,
63 but the mechanisms for the anti-carcinogenic activity of protease inhibitors are unknown and
64 yet to be discovered (Ei Morsi, 2001).

65 **It has been reported that germination did not have a significant effect in reducing protein**
66 **inhibitors in grains (Shimelis and Rakshit, 2017).**

67 **Phytic Acid**

68 Phytic acid is generally regarded as the major storage form of phosphorous in cereals which
69 occur mainly in the form of phytates (Wu *et al.*, 2009). Phytic acid content of cereals vary
70 from 0.5% - 2.0%. Besides, legumes have been reported to contain more phytic acids than
71 grains as (Hidvegi and Lasztity, 2002). Phytic acid contents in **some** cereals and legumes are
72 indicated in Table 1.

73 Phytic acid has a strong ability to form complexes with multivalent metal ions, especially
74 zinc, calcium, and iron. These complexes which are insoluble salts (Weaver and Kanna,
75 2002) subsequently **reduce** the bioavailability of minerals in **such foods** (Lesteinne *et al.*,
76 2005).

77 Germination has been an effective treatment to reduce phytates. During germination, phytates
78 are hydrolysed by phytase to release phosphate groups (Pawar and Ingle, 1988).

79 Table 1: Phytic acid content in cereals and legumes

Cereal/Legume	Average phytic acid content (g/100 g)
Wheat (MV-4)	0.85
Wheat (Besostaya-19)	0.93
Wheat (durum, GK Basa)	0.72
Maize (yellow dent)	1.02
Maize (flint)	0.90
Maize (sweet)	0.85
Barley	0.97
Oats	1.01

Soybean	1.43
Cowpea	0.42
Common bean	0.55
Peas	1.02

80 (Hidvegi and Lasztity, 2002).

81 Saponins

82 Saponins are widely distributed in all cells of leguminous plants. They have ability inserted
83 form stable, soap-like foams in aqueous solutions (Bora, 2014).

84 Moreover, saponins can bind to cholesterol and therefore reduce inserted absorption (Sidhu
85 and Oakenfull. 1986). However, saponins are not destroyed during cooking or processing
86 (Birk, 1980). Fermentation had been reported to reduce their level inserted. Tempeh, a
87 fermented soy product had been found to contain half the saponin contents present in the
88 unfermented soybean seeds (Potter et al., 1980).

89 Table 2: Saponin content in legumes

Source	Saponin content (% dry weight)
Soybeans (<i>Glycine max</i>)	5.6
Chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.)	3.6
Lucerne (<i>Medicago sativa</i>)	2.5
Lupine (<i>Lupinus angustifolius</i>)	1.5

90 (Khokhar and Apenten, 2003)

91 Future research needs

92 Even though anti-nutrient factors reported to have adverse effects, *in vivo* studies related to
93 those factors are very few. Furthermore, it is vital to carry out studies related to the
94 effectiveness of different techniques such as soaking, fermentation, germination and heat
95 treatment to find out the best methods to reduce the concentration of inserted anti-nutrient
96 factors in cereals and legumes. In addition, the positive impact of these anti-nutrient factors
97 resulting from their anti-cancer, anti-diabetic and anti-cholesterolemic effects should be
98 investigated using *in vivo* studies.

99 **Ethical approval: NA**

100 **Consent: NA**

101

102 **References**

103 El-Hady, E. A., & Habiba, R. A. (2003). Effect of soaking and extrusion conditions on
104 antinutrients and protein digestibility of legume seeds. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*,
105 36(3), 285-293. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0023-6438\(02\)00217-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0023-6438(02)00217-7)

106 **Birk** Y. (1989) Protein protease inhibitors of plant origin and their significance in nutrition.
107 In: Recent advances of research in anti-nutritional factors in legume seeds: J Huisman, AFB
108 Van der Poel, IE Liener (Eds), PUDOC, Wageningen, The Netherlands, pp.83-94

109 **Birk** Y. (1980) Saponins. In: Liener IE (ed.) Toxic Constituents of Plant Foodstuffs, 2nd edn.
110 pp. 169-211. New York: Academic Press

111 **Bora**, P., 2014. Anti-Nutritional Factors in Foods and their Effects. , 3(6). **incomplete**

112 **El-Morsi** Abou El-Fotoh El-Morsi (2001) Legume seed protease inhibitors: their functions,
113 actions and characteristics, Proceedings of the First International Conference (Egyptian
114 British Biological Society, EBB Soc) *Egyptian Journal of Biology*, 3, pp. 164-173

115 **Grant**, G.; Dorward, P. M., Buchan, W. C., Armour, J. C., Pusztai, A. (1995) Consumption of
116 diets containing soya beans (*Glycine max*), kidney beans (*Phaseolus Vulgaris*), cowpeas
117 (*Vigna unguiculata*) or lupin seeds (*Lupinus augustifolius*) by rats for up to 700 days:
118 effects on body composition and organ weights. *British Journal of Nutrition* 73, 17-29.

119 **Hidvegi, M. and Laszity,** R. (2002). Phytic acid content of cereals and legumes and
120 interaction with proteins. Periodica Polytechnica Series in Chemical Engineering, 46, pp.59–
121 64. **Publishers**

122 **Jansman**, A.J., Hill, G.D., Huisman, J. and Vander Poel, A.F. (1998) Recent advances of
123 research in anti-nutritional factors in legumes seeds. Wageningen. The Netherlands:
124 Wageningen Pers, pp.76.

125 **Khokhar** S. and Apenten, R.K.O. (2003) Anti-nutritional Factors in Food Legumes and
126 Effects of processing, The role of food, agriculture, forestry and fisheries in human nutrition,
127 Encyclopedia of Life support systems, Publishers CO Ltd, Oxford, UK

128

129 Lajolo, F. M., Finardi-Filho, F., Menezes, E. W. (1995) Amylase inhibitors in Phaseolus
130 Vulgaris beans. *Food Technol.* 45, pp.119-121.

131 Lajolo, F.M. and Genovese, M.S. (2002) Nutritional Significance of Lectins and Enzyme
132 Inhibitors from Legumes, *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry.* 50, pp.6592–6598

133 Lestienne, I., Icard-Vernière, C., Mouquet, C., Picq, C., & Trèche, S. (2005). Effects of
134 soaking whole cereal and legume seeds on iron, zinc and phytate contents. *Food chemistry,*
135 *89(3), 421-425.*

136 Lioi L., Sparvoli F., Galasso I., Lanave C., Bollini R. (2003). Lectin-related resistance factors
137 against bruchids evolved through a number of duplication events. *Theoretical and Applied*
138 *Genetics.* 107, 814–822. 10.1007/s00122-003-1343-8

139 Mubarak, A. E. (2005). Nutritional composition and anti-nutritional factors of mung bean
140 seeds (*Phaseolus aureus*) as affected by some home traditional processes. *Food Chemistry*
141 89: pp.489-495.

142 Oghbaei & Prakash, *Cogent Food & Agriculture* (2016), Effect of primary processing of
143 cereals and legumes on its nutritional quality: A comprehensive review, *Cogent Food &*
144 *Agriculture* 2: 1136015, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2015.1136015>

145 Pawar, V.D. and U.M. Ingle. (1988) Investigations on phytate protein mineral complexes in
146 whey fractions of moth bean (*Phaseolus aconitifolius Jacq*) flour. *Journal of Food Science*
147 *Technology.* 25 pp.190-195.

148 Pereira, M.A., D.R. Jacobs, J.J. Pins, S.K. Ratz, M.D.Gross, J.L. Slavin and E.R. Seaquist.
149 2002. Effect of whole grains on insulin sensitivity in overweight hyper insulinemic adults.
150 *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 7: 848-855

151 Potter J.D., Illman R.J., Calvert G.D., Oakenfull D.G. and Topping D.L. (1980) Soya
152 saponins, plasma lipids, lipoproteins and fecal bile acids: a double blind cross-over study.
153 *Nutrition reports international* 22: pp.521-528

154 Pusztai, A., Watt, W. B., Stewart, J. C. (1991) A comprehensive scheme for the isolation of
155 trypsin inhibitors and the agglutinin from soybean seeds. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*
156 *Chemistry* 39, pp.862-866

- 157 **Savelkoul**, F., H., M., G., Van der poel, A., F., B. and Tamminga S. (1992) The presence
158 and inactivation of trypsin inhibitors, tannins, lectins and amylase inhibitors in legume seeds
159 during germination. A review *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, 42, pp. 71-85,
- 160 **Sgarbieri**, V. C. and Whitaker, J. R. (1982) Physical, chemical, and nutritional properties of
161 common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris L.*) proteins. *Advances in Food Research.*, 28, pp. 93-166
162
- 163 **Shimelis**, E.A. and Rakshit, S.K. (2017) Effect of processing on antinutrients and in vitro
164 protein digestibility of kidney bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris L.*) varieties grown in East Africa,
165 *Food Chemistry*, 103, pp.161–172
- 166 **Sidhu**, G. S. & Oekenfull, D. G. (1986). A mechanism for the hypocholesterolaemic activity
167 of saponins. *British Journal of Nutrition*. 55: pp. 643- 649.
- 168 **Weaver**, C.M. and S. Kanna. (2002) Phytate and mineral bioavailability In: N.R. Reddy and
169 S.K. Sathe, editors food phytates. CRC press boca raton. pp. 211-224.
- 170 **Wu**,P., Tian, J.C., Walker, C.E. & Wang, F.C. (2009) Review article Determination of phytic
171 acid in cereals – a brief review *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, pp.
172 44, 1671–1676
- 173 **Zucoloto**, S., Scaramello, A. C., Lajolo, F. M., Muccillo, G. (1991) Effect of oral
174 hytohemagglutinin intake on cell adaptation in the epithelium of the small intestine of the rat.
175 *International Journal of Experimental Pathology* 72 , pp. 41-45.