

EFFECT OF NATURAL PRESERVATIVES (LEMON GRASS AND BAY LEAF MARINADE) ON THE MICROBIAL LOAD AND SHELF LIFE OF SMOKE-DRIED *Clarias gariepinus*

7 **Abstract**

8 This study was carried out to determine the effect of lemon grass marinade (sample A), bay
9 leaf marinade (sample B) and a combination of both spices (sample C) on the microbial load
10 of stored smoked-dried *Clarias gariepinus*. Comparative analysis of the microbial load of
11 each treated fish samples during ambient storage was done biweekly for six (6) weeks and
12 then samples of each treatment were taken to the laboratory periodically. The fish samples
13 were analysed using Potato Dextrose Agar and Nutrient Agar for fungi and bacteria
14 respectively. The initial microbial load before storage showed that the highest number of
15 bacteria counts occurred in smoke-dried *Clarias gariepinus* treated with bay leaf marinade
16 (4.7×10^3) while the lowest was in smoke-dried *C. gariepinus* treated with lemon grass
17 marinade (1.3×10^3). The highest fungi count was observed in smoke-dried *C. gariepinus*
18 treated with the combination of both spices (9.5×10^2) while the least fungi count was in fresh
19 fish sample (1.4×10^3), although the fresh fish sample had the highest bacteria mean count
20 (5.1×10^3) when compared with the treated samples. After 6 weeks of ambient storage, the
21 result of smoke-dried *C. gariepinus* treated with bay leaf marinade was also significantly
22 different from the mean population of smoke-dried *C. gariepinus* treated with lemon grass
23 marinade and smoke-dried *C. gariepinus* treated with the combination of both spices. Smoke-
24 dried *C. gariepinus* treated with bay leaf marinade again had the highest bacteria and fungi
25 mean count of 1.7×10^5 and 2.3×10^4 respectively. Smoke-dried *C. gariepinus* treated with
26 lemon grass marinade and smoke-dried *C. gariepinus* treated with the combination of both
27 spices had similar bacteria mean count of 1.5×10^5 while smoke-dried *C. gariepinus* treated
28 with lemon grass marinade had the lowest fungi mean count of 1.6×10^4 . The study revealed
29 that all three smoked-dried fish sample treatment had a relatively low bacterial and fungal
30 count below the 5×10^5 cfu/g recommended by the International Commission of Microbial
31 Specification for Food and Food Products (ICMS, 2002).

32 **Keywords**

33 **natural preservatives, lemon grass, bayleaf marinade, shelf life**

34 Food spoilage is a change in the nutritional and sensory characteristics of food which makes
35 it unacceptable to consumers [1]. Despite the inability of local fish production to meet the
36 corresponding demand, large quantities of locally produced fish are lost to post-harvest losses
37 ranging from bacterial and autolytic spoilage to other factors. These factors cause fish to lose
38 its organoleptic qualities, and become generally unacceptable for human consumption. Thus
39 it becomes imperative to employ various preservation methods such as drying, smoking,
40 freezing, chilling and brining to conserve fish resources. With the ever growing world
41 population and the need to store and transport the food from one place to another where it is
42 needed, food preservation becomes necessary; in order to increase its shelf life and maintain
43 its nutritional quality, texture and flavour. Therefore, good food preservation techniques must
44 prevent microbial spoilage of food without affecting its quality and nutritional value [2]. Fish
45 smoking is one of the traditional methods of preservation of fish in Africa. Smoke curing, as
46 applied to fish, is a method of preservation effected by combination of drying and the

47 deposition of naturally produced chemicals, resulting from the thermal breakdown of wood
48 [3]. The reasons for fish smoking are varied but in Nigeria, the process has proven relevant to
49 prolonging shelf-life, enhancing flavour, storing for lean season and increasing protein
50 availability of people throughout the year [4].

51 Generally, natural preservation techniques and methods are often abandoned for the synthetic
52 methods like the application of pesticides which have been discovered to have adverse effects
53 on the health of the final consumers of such fish, such as cancer, lung problems among others
54 [5]. Lemon grass (*Cymbopogon citratus*) is a rich source of citral, which is used in
55 perfumery, pharmaceutical industries, and bioactive compounds (flavonoids and vitamin C).
56 The natural flavonoids are also attracting more and more attention not only due to their
57 antioxidant properties, but also as anti-carcinogenic and anti-inflammatory agents because of
58 their lipid anti-peroxidation effects [6]. Bay laurel (*Laurus nobilis*) is a plant of industrial
59 importance, used in foods, drugs, and cosmetics. The dried leaves and essential oils are used
60 extensively in the food industry for seasoning of meat products, soups and fishes. Chemically
61 it has been found to contain sesquiterpene lactones such as 10-epigazaniolide, Gazaniolide,
62 spirafolide, costunolide, Reynosin, santamarine, flavonoidglycosides, essential oil. It has
63 been reported to possess wound healing, neuroprotective, antioxidant, antiulcerogenic,
64 anticonvulsant, antimutagenic, antiviral, anticholinergic, antibacterial, antifungal activities
65 [7]. [8] reported that due to the introduction of Bay leaf (*Laurus nobilis* L.). There was an
66 increased amount of crude protein and crude lipid in rainbow trout. This study was therefore
67 carried out to determine the effects of lemon grass marinade (*Cymbopogon citratus*) and bay
68 leaf marinade (*Laurus nobilis*) on the shelf life of African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*).

69 **Materials and Methods**

70 **Collection of Fish Samples**

71 A total of thirty-six (36) freshly harvested African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) samples of
72 equal size, age and weight from the same stock was obtained from the Department of
73 Aquaculture and Fisheries Management, University of Benin, Benin city, Edo state Nigeria.
74 The fish samples for the study were collected using a plastic bowl with clean tap water sealed
75 with clean jute bags to prevent contamination. The fishes were then divided into three
76 batches, A, B and C with each batch containing a total of twelve (12) fish samples. Batch A
77 was treated with lemon grass, Batch B was treated with bay leaf while Batch C was treated
78 with both lemon grass and bay leaf.

79 **Collection of Plant Materials**

80 The plant materials used for the study were milled lemon grass (*Cymbopogon citratus*) and
81 bay leaf (*Laurus nobilis*). Bay leaf was purchased from Uselu market in Benin while lemon
82 grass was collected from the Senior Staff Quarters of the University of Benin Nigeria.

83 **Preparation of plant materials**

84 Having collected the plant materials, impurities were removed from them and were washed
85 properly. After which, they were air-dried before being oven-dried at 45°C for about 3-5
86 hours. After drying, the plant materials were ground into powder using milling machine. The
87 lemon grass and bay leaf powder were used for the experiment.

88

89 **Preparation of fish samples**

90 The fish samples were prepared through a series of procedures which include; gutting,
91 washing and salting. They were weighed using an electric weighing balance with each sample
92 weighing 0.7g. After gutting and washing thoroughly, they were placed in 15% brine solution
93 (common salt and water). According to [9] common salt retards the activities of bacteria,
94 enzymes and chemicals in fish and salting reduces the slime on the surface of the fish, which
95 also inactivates the surface bacteria. Spice treatment of 2% of fresh weight of fish was used
96 in this study as reported by [10]. Marinade was used in applying the treatments to the fish

97 samples. This is in accordance with the method of [11]. The three (3) different treatments
98 (marinade) were prepared in three (3) separate plastic bowls. For the first treatment, lemon
99 grass marinade was prepared by adding 40g of the powdered lemon grass to 1000ml of water,
100 forming a 4% marinade. Bay leaf marinade was also prepared using 40g of bay leaf powder
101 to 1000ml of water (4% marinade) for the second treatment. The third treatment was prepared
102 by adding 20g each of both lemon grass and bay leaf powders (40g in total) to 1000ml of water
103 to make the marinade (4% marinade) for the third treatment.

104 The bowls were then labelled according to the different treatments; Batch A (treated with
105 lemon grass marinade), Batch B (treated with bay leaf marinade) and Batch C (treated with
106 lemon grass and bay leaf marinade). The fish samples were placed in their respective
107 treatments (12 fish samples for each treatment). They were allowed to stay in the mixture for
108 20 minutes, followed by draining, according to [12]

109 **The smoking process**

110 The fish samples treated with the spices were placed for drying in the Magbon-Alade
111 smoking kiln. After smoking, the fish samples were removed from the smoking kiln, weighed
112 and recorded.

113 **Storage**

114 After smoking, the smoke-dried fish samples were removed from the smoking kiln and
115 allowed to cool at room temperature. They were then wrapped in brown paper and stored in
116 cartons. The carton was sealed in order to reduce microbial proliferation and moisture
117 absorption from the environment and then stored at room temperature.

118 **Processed fish sample collection and analyses**

119 Fresh and treated smoke-dried *C.gariiepinus* were taken to the Laboratory and analyzed to
120 check for microbial load. Bacterial and fungal analyses were carried out on the samples. The
121 stored fish was subjected to bi-weekly analysis of bacterial and fungal load for a period of six
122 weeks.

123 **Microbial Analysis**

124 For the microbial analysis of the samples, the method that was adopted was that described by
125 [13]. Bacteria and fungi were isolated for confirmation, thus Nutrient agar and Potato
126 dextrose agar were then prepared using the manufacturer's instructions.

127 **Experimental Design**

128 The experimental is made up of two main factors, namely;

129 i) Plant sources (lemon grass and bay leaf) and

130 ii) Storage time (2 weeks, 4 weeks and 6 weeks)

131 The experimental design was therefore a two plant sources (bay leaf and lemon grass) × 3
132 storage times (2, 4 and 6 weeks) factorial in a complete randomized design (CRD).

133 Experimental trials were conducted in triplicate.

134 **Statistical Analysis**

135 Data analysis was done using GenStat software version 12.1. All analysis were carried out in
136 triplicate using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) where $P < 0.05$ was applied to study
137 the difference between the means.

138

139 **Result**

140 **Results of the Initial Microbial load of fresh and smoke-dried *Clarias gariepinus***

141 Results of the initial microbial load of fresh and smoke-dried fish samples can be found in
142 tables 1 and 2. Although the results show that the means for bacteria and fungi population
143 were not significantly different ($p < 0.5$) but however the fresh fish sample had the highest
144 bacteria mean population count ($5.1 \times 10^3 \pm 46.00$) when compared with the treated samples, A
145 ($1.3 \times 10^3 \pm 12.00$), B ($4.7 \times 10^3 \pm 33.67$) and C ($3.3 \times 10^3 \pm 24.00$). The lowest mean count of fungi
146 population was seen in sample A ($8.8 \times 10^2 \pm 7.00$) while the highest was sample B

147 (2.1×10³±12.67) when compared with the fresh fish sample (1.4×10³±14.67) and sample C
 148 (9.5×10²±8.33). Bacteria isolates from the fresh fish samples were *Escherichia coli*,
 149 *Enterobacter aerogenes* and *Pseudomonas aruginosa*.

150 Immediately after smoke drying, bacteria isolates from sample A were *Bacillus subtilis*,
 151 *Escherichia coli*, *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Pseudomonas aruginosa* and *Micrococcus sp*,
 152 sample B were *Bacillus subtilis*, *Streptococcus lactis*, *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Proteus sp.*,
 153 and *Micrococcus sp* and sample C were *Bacillus subtilis*, *Streptococcus lactis*, *Enterobacter*
 154 *aerogenes*, *Pseudomonas aruginosa* and *Micrococcus sp*.

155 The total bacteria frequency of occurrence and percentage before storage for fresh fish
 156 sample was 11 and 78.56%, sample A was 7 and 58.33%, sample B was 23 and 71.89% and
 157 sample C was 15 and 68.18% respectively. Fungi isolates from fresh fish sample were
 158 *Aspergillus sp*, *Penicillium sp* and *Mucor sp*. Fungi isolates from sample A were *Aspergillus*
 159 *sp*, *Penicillium sp*, *Fusarium sp*, and *Mucor sp*, sample B were *Aspergillus sp*, *Penicillium sp*,
 160 *Fusarium sp*, *Mucor sp* and *Candida sp* and sample C were also *Aspergillus sp*, *Penicillium*
 161 *sp*, *Fusarium sp*, *Mucor sp* and *Candida sp*. The total fungi frequency of occurrence and
 162 percentage before storage of the fresh fish sample were 3 and 23.45%, sample A was 5 and
 163 41.67%, sample B was 9 and 28.11% and sample C was 7 and 31.82% (Table 7).

164 **Table 1: Effect of treatment on the bacteria load of fresh and smoke-dried C.**

165 *gariepinus*

Dilution factor	Fresh	A	B	C
10 ⁻¹	92	26	67	49
10 ⁻²	35	07	23	15
10 ⁻³	11	03	11	08
Mean count	5.1×10 ³ ±46.00a	1.3×10 ³ ±12.00a	4.7×10 ³ ±33.67a	3.3×10 ³ ±24.00a

166

167 **Table 2: Effect of treatment on the fungi load of smoke-dried C. gariepinus**

Dilution factor	Fresh	A	B	C
10 ⁻¹	31	14	24	16
10 ⁻²	10	05	09	07
10 ⁻³	03	02	05	02
Mean count	1.4×10 ³ ±14.67a	8.8×10 ² ±7.00a	2.1×10 ³ ±12.67a	9.5×10 ² ±8.33a

168

169

170 **Results of biweekly changes in the microbial load of smoke-dried *Clarias gariepinus***
 171 **during ambient storage.**

172
 173 After two (2) weeks of ambient storage, it was observed that there was no significant
 174 difference (p<0.5) among the mean population of the bacteria and fungi. Sample A increased
 175 from 1.3×10³±12.00 to 1.6×10⁴±56.00, sample B increased from 4.7×10³±33.67 to
 176 2.6×10⁴±79.33 and sample C increased from 3.3×10³±24.00 to 1.7×10⁴±60.67. For fungi
 177 load, sample A increased from 8.8×10²±7.00 to 2.2×10³±19.33, sample B increased from
 178 2.1×10³±12.67 to 4.7×10³±33.67 and sample C increased from 9.5×10²±8.33

179 to $3.1 \times 10^3 \pm 26.00$. Although sample B had the highest number of mean bacteria count
180 compared to Sample A with the lowest mean count and sample B also had the highest number
181 of fungi count when compared to Sample A (Tables 3 and 4).

182 After two (2) weeks of ambient storage, bacteria isolates from sample A were *Bacillus*
183 *subtilis*, *Escherichia coli*, *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Pseudomonas aruginosa* and
184 *Micrococcus sp*, sample B were *Bacillus subtilis*, , *Streptococcus lactis*, *Enterobacter*
185 *aerogenes*, *Proteus sp.*, and *Micrococcus sp* and sample C were *Bacillus subtilis*,
186 *Streptococcus lactis*, *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Pseudomonas aruginosa* and *Micrococcus*
187 *sp*. The total bacteria frequency of occurrence and percentage after two weeks of storage of
188 sample A increased to 26 and 83.87%, sample B increased to 43 and 79.62% and sample C
189 increased to 28 and 80%. Fungi isolates from sample A were *Aspergillus sp*, *Penicillium sp*,
190 *Fusarium sp*, *Mucor sp* and *Candida sp*, sample B also had *Aspergillus sp*, *Penicillium sp*,
191 *Fusarium sp*, *Mucor sp* and *Candida sp* while sample C were *Aspergillus sp*, *Penicillium sp*,
192 *Mucor sp* and *Candida sp*.

193 The total fungi frequency of occurrence and percentage after two weeks of storage for sample
194 A was 5 and 16.15%, sample B was increased to 11 and 20.37% and sample C was reduced
195 to 7 and 20% (Table 4).

196 After four (4) weeks of ambient storage, results show that means of bacteria population were
197 significantly different as the results for sample B was significantly different for A and C.
198 Sample A increased from $1.6 \times 10^4 \pm 56.00$ to $9.9 \times 10^4 \pm 100.7$, sample B increased from
199 $2.6 \times 10^4 \pm 79.33$ to $1.1 \times 10^5 \pm 113.3$ and sample C increased from $1.7 \times 10^4 \pm 60.67$ to
200 $9.6 \times 10^4 \pm 96.0$. For fungi mean count, Sample A increased from $2.2 \times 10^3 \pm 19.33$ to
201 $7.9 \times 10^3 \pm 54.00$, sample B decreased from $4.7 \times 10^3 \pm 33.67$ to $1.1 \times 10^3 \pm 73.33$ and sample C
202 increased from $3.1 \times 10^3 \pm 26.00$ to $8.0 \times 10^3 \pm 58.33$. Sample B had the highest bacteria and fungi
203 mean count while sample C had the lowest bacteria count and sample A had the lowest fungi
204 count as shown in Tables 3 and 4.

205 After four (4) weeks of ambient storage, bacteria isolates from sample A were *Bacillus*
206 *subtilis*, *Escherichia coli*, *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Pseudomonas aruginosa* and
207 *Micrococcus sp*, sample B were *Bacillus subtilis*, , *Streptococcus lactis*, *Enterobacter*
208 *aerogenes*, *Proteus sp.*, and *Micrococcus sp* and sample C were *Bacillus subtilis*,
209 *Streptococcus lactis*, *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Pseudomonas aruginosa* and *Micrococcus*
210 *sp*.

211 The total bacteria frequency of occurrence and percentage after four (4) weeks of storage of
212 sample A increased to 99 and 84.6%, sample B increased to 112 and 81.17% and sample C
213 increased to 96 and 84.20%. Fungi isolates from sample A were *Aspergillus sp*, *Fusarium sp*,
214 *Mucor sp* and *Candida sp*, sample B also had *Aspergillus sp*, *Penicillium sp*, *Fusarium sp*,
215 *Mucor sp* and *Candida sp* while sample C were *Aspergillus sp*, *Penicillium sp*, *Mucor sp* and
216 *Candida sp*.

217 The total fungi frequency of occurrence and percentage after two weeks of storage for sample
218 A was reduced to 18 and 15.36% sample B was 26 and 18.84% and sample C was 18 and
219 15.825% (Table 3).

220 After six (6) weeks of ambient storage, the result of sample B was also significantly different
221 from the mean population of sample A and C. For the bacteria population, sample A
222 increased from $9.9 \times 10^4 \pm 100.7$ to $1.5 \times 10^5 \pm 153.3$, sample B increased from $1.1 \times 10^5 \pm 113.3$ to
223 $1.7 \times 10^5 \pm 171.7$ and sample C increased from $9.6 \times 10^4 \pm 96.0$ to $1.5 \times 10^5 \pm 151.7$. For fungi
224 population, sample A increased from $7.9 \times 10^3 \pm 54.00$ to $1.6 \times 10^4 \pm 98.7$, sample B increased
225 from $1.1 \times 10^3 \pm 73.33$ to $2.3 \times 10^4 \pm 124.0$ and sample C decreased from $8.0 \times 10^3 \pm 58.33$ to
226 $1.8 \times 10^3 \pm 105.3$. Sample B again had the highest bacteria and fungi mean count. Sample A and

227 C had similar bacteria mean count while sample A had the lowest fungi mean count of
 228 (Tables 5 and 6).

229 After six (6) weeks of ambient storage, bacteria isolates from sample A were *Bacillus*
 230 *subtilis*, *Escherichia coli*, *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Pseudomonas aruginosa* and
 231 *Micrococcus sp*, sample B were *Bacillus subtilis*, *Streptococcus lactis*, *Enterobacter*
 232 *aerogenes*, *Proteus sp.*, and *Micrococcus sp* and sample C were *Bacillus subtilis*,
 233 *Streptococcus lactis*, *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Pseudomonas aruginosa* and *Micrococcus*
 234 *sp*. The total bacteria frequency of occurrence and percentage after six weeks of storage of
 235 sample A increased to 152 and 79.17%, sample B was increased to 150 and 76.13%, sample
 236 C increased to 96 and 84.20%. Fungi isolates from sample A were *Aspergillus sp*, *Fusarium*
 237 *sp*, and *Mucor sp*, sample B also had *Aspergillus sp*, *Penicillium sp*, *Fusarium sp*, *Mucor sp*
 238 and *Candida sp* while sample C were *Aspergillus sp*, *Penicillium sp*, *Mucor sp* and *Candida*
 239 *sp*. The total fungi frequency of occurrence and percentage after two weeks of storage for
 240 sample A was 40 and 20.83%, sample B reduced to 58 and 25.44% and sample C was 44 and
 241 23.86% (Table 7).

242 **Table 3: Biweekly changes in total estimated bacteria load of smoked-dried *C.***
 243 ***gariepinus* during ambient storage**

Dilution factor	Sample	Immediately after smoking	After two weeks of storage	After four weeks of storage	After six weeks of storage
10^{-1}	A	26	TNC	TNC	TNC
	B	67	TNC	TNC	TNC
	C	49	TNC	TNC	TNC
10^{-2}	A	07	69	TNC	TNC
	B	23	96	TNC	TNC
	C	15	75	TNC	TNC
10^{-3}	A	03	26	99	152
	B	11	43	112	170
	C	08	28	96	150
Mean	A	$1.3 \times 10^3 \pm 12.00a$	$1.6 \times 10^4 \pm 56.00a$	$9.9 \times 10^4 \pm 100.7a$	$1.5 \times 10^5 \pm 153.3a$
	B	$4.7 \times 10^3 \pm 33.67a$	$2.6 \times 10^4 \pm 79.33a$	$1.1 \times 10^5 \pm 113.3b$	$1.7 \times 10^5 \pm 171.7b$
	C	$3.3 \times 10^3 \pm 24.00a$	$1.7 \times 10^4 \pm 60.67a$	$9.6 \times 10^4 \pm 96.0a$	$1.5 \times 10^5 \pm 151.7a$

244

245

246 **Table 4: Biweekly changes in total estimated fungi load of smoked-dried *C.***

247 ***gariepinus* during ambient storage**

Dilution factor	Samples	Immediately after smoking	After two weeks of storage	After four weeks of storage	After six weeks of storage
10-1	A	14	41	98	170
	B	24	67	132	196
	C	16	52	108	178
10-2	A	05	12	46	86
	B	09	23	62	118
	C	07	19	49	94
10-3	A	02	05	18	40
	B	05	11	26	58
	C	02	07	18	44
Mean	A	$8.8 \times 10^2 \pm 7.00a$	$2.2 \times 10^3 \pm 19.33a$	$7.9 \times 10^3 \pm 54.00a$	$1.6 \times 10^4 \pm 98.7a$
	B	$2.1 \times 10^3 \pm 12.67a$	$4.7 \times 10^3 \pm 33.67a$	$1.1 \times 10^3 \pm 73.33a$	$2.3 \times 10^4 \pm 124.0a$
	C	$9.5 \times 10^2 \pm 8.33a$	$3.1 \times 10^3 \pm 26.00a$	$8.0 \times 10^3 \pm 58.33a$	$1.8 \times 10^3 \pm 105.3a$

248

249

DISCUSSION

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

Before storage of the fish samples, results showed that the fresh fish sample had the highest bacteria mean population count ($5.1 \times 10^3 \pm 46.00$) when compared with the treated samples, A ($1.3 \times 10^3 \pm 12.00$), B ($4.7 \times 10^3 \pm 33.67$) and C ($3.3 \times 10^3 \pm 24.00$). The total bacteria frequency of occurrence and percentage before storage for fresh fish sample was 11 and 78.56%, sample A was 7 and 58.33%, sample B was 23 and 71.89% and sample C was 15 and 68.18% respectively. These results may be due to unhygienic handling process during harvesting and transportation of the fish samples. The lowest mean count of fungi population was seen in sample A ($8.8 \times 10^2 \pm 7.00$) while the highest was sample B ($2.1 \times 10^3 \pm 12.67$) when compared with the fresh fish sample ($1.4 \times 10^3 \pm 14.67$) and sample C ($9.5 \times 10^2 \pm 8.33$). The total fungi frequency of occurrence and percentage before storage of the fresh fish sample were 3 and 23.45%, sample A was 5 and 41.67%, sample B was 9 and 28.11% and sample C was 7 and 31.82%. This shows that the process of smoking and the treatment with lemon grass and bay leaf marinade reduced the population of microorganisms in the fish samples. However the presence of microorganisms in the treated fish samples may be due to the handling procedure during smoking and the smoke-drying process in accordance with the findings of [14] who reported that lack of proper smoking and unhygienic handling of smoked fish products would result in a very high microbial load. This report is also similar to that of [11]

After two weeks of ambient storage, it was observed that there was no significant difference ($p < 0.5$) among the mean population of the bacteria and fungi. Sample A increased from

269 $1.3 \times 10^3 \pm 12.00$ to $1.6 \times 10^4 \pm 56.00$, sample B increased from $4.7 \times 10^3 \pm 33.67$ to $2.6 \times 10^4 \pm 79.33$
270 and sample C increased from $3.3 \times 10^3 \pm 24.00$ to $1.7 \times 10^4 \pm 60.67$.

271 For fungi load, sample A increased from $8.8 \times 10^2 \pm 7.00$ to $2.2 \times 10^3 \pm 19.33$, sample B increased
272 from $2.1 \times 10^3 \pm 12.67$ to $4.7 \times 10^3 \pm 33.67$ and sample C increased from $9.5 \times 10^2 \pm 8.33$ to
273 $3.1 \times 10^3 \pm 26.00$. Although sample B had the highest number of mean bacteria counts
274 compared to Sample A with the lowest mean count and sample B also had the highest number
275 of fungi count when compared to Sample A. The total bacteria frequency of occurrence and
276 percentage after two weeks of storage of sample A increased to 26 and 83.87%, sample B
277 increased to 43 and 79.62% and sample C increased to 28 and 80%. The total fungi frequency
278 of occurrence and percentage after two weeks of storage for sample A was 5 and 16.15%,
279 sample B was increased to 11 and 20.37% and sample C was reduced to 7 and 20%. At this
280 stage, there was an already observable swelling of the muscle of the fish samples thus the
281 possibility of an increase in the moisture content of the smoked dried fish sample thereby
282 enhancing the activity or proliferation of these micro-organisms. This is corroborated by [9]
283 and [11] who said that smoked fish samples may have a relatively higher water activity level
284 which is a prerequisite for microbial growth.

285 After four weeks of ambient storage, results show that means of bacteria population were
286 significantly different as the results for sample B was significantly different for A and C.
287 Sample A increased from $1.6 \times 10^4 \pm 56.00$ to $9.9 \times 10^4 \pm 100.7$, sample B increased from
288 $2.6 \times 10^4 \pm 79.33$ to $1.1 \times 10^5 \pm 113.3$ and sample C increased from $1.7 \times 10^4 \pm 60.67$ to
289 $9.6 \times 10^4 \pm 96.0$. For fungi mean count, Sample A increased from $2.2 \times 10^3 \pm 19.33$ to
290 $7.9 \times 10^3 \pm 54.00$, sample B decreased from $4.7 \times 10^3 \pm 33.67$ to $1.1 \times 10^3 \pm 73.33$ and sample C
291 increased from $3.1 \times 10^3 \pm 26.00$ to $8.0 \times 10^3 \pm 58.33$. Sample B had the highest bacteria and fungi
292 mean count while sample C had the lowest bacteria count and sample A had the lowest fungi
293 count. The total bacteria frequency of occurrence and percentage after four weeks of storage
294 of sample A increased to 99 and 84.6%, sample B increased to 112 and 81.17% and sample C
295 increased to 96 and 84.20%. The total fungi frequency of occurrence and percentage after two
296 weeks of storage for sample A was reduced to 18 and 15.36% sample B was 26 and 18.84%
297 and sample C was 18 and 15.825%. The increase in bacteria population may be as a result of
298 high level of moisture content during storage in the fish samples. Bacteria thrives well where
299 there is high moisture content but the decrease in the fungi mean population of sample B may
300 be as a result of the reduction of moisture as fungi are saprophytes and proliferate in the
301 absence of moisture as reported by [15] and [16]. Studies have shown that organic
302 preservatives e.g moringa, lemon grass and bay leaf chloroform and ethanol extracts are
303 potential sanitizers and or preservatives, this is because they were found to possess
304 antimicrobial activities against some food borne microorganisms often implicated in spoilage
305 of foods and food borne illness [17].

306 After 6 weeks of ambient storage, the result of sample B was also significantly different
307 ($p > 0.5$) from the mean population of sample A and C. For the bacteria population, sample A
308 increased from $9.9 \times 10^4 \pm 100.7$ to $1.5 \times 10^5 \pm 153.3$, sample B increased from $1.1 \times 10^5 \pm 113.3$ to
309 $1.7 \times 10^5 \pm 171.7$ and sample C increased from $9.6 \times 10^4 \pm 96.0$ to $1.5 \times 10^5 \pm 151.7$. For fungi
310 population, sample A increased from $7.9 \times 10^3 \pm 54.00$ to $1.6 \times 10^4 \pm 98.7$, sample B increased
311 from $1.1 \times 10^3 \pm 73.33$ to $2.3 \times 10^4 \pm 124.0$ and sample C decreased from $8.0 \times 10^3 \pm 58.33$ to
312 $1.8 \times 10^3 \pm 105.3$. Sample B again had the highest bacteria and fungi mean count. Sample A and
313 C had similar bacteria mean count while sample A had the lowest fungi mean count. The total
314 bacteria frequency of occurrence and percentage after six weeks of storage of sample A
315 increased to 152 and 79.17%, sample B was increased to 150 and 76.13%, sample C
316 increased to 96 and 84.20%. The total fungi frequency of occurrence and percentage after two

317 weeks of storage for sample A was 40 and 20.83%, sample B reduced to 58 and 25.44% and
318 sample C was 44 and 23.86%.

319 At this point, there were already observable signs of deterioration as a result of the muscle
320 swelling due to increase in accumulation of moisture. This is in agreement with the findings
321 of [18] who stressed that the microbial and chemical stability of fish and fish products during
322 processing and storage is highly dependent in the water content of the product. Different parts
323 of lemon grass and bay leaf plant contain different phenolics as well as rare combination of
324 certain phytochemical compounds [17]. These compounds might be responsible for the
325 significant decrease in the amount and variety of microorganisms isolated in the treated
326 samples as opposed the control and brine-treated samples. Fish is a low-acid food [19] that
327 can readily support the growth of pathogens, particularly bacteria if not properly handled and
328 rapidly processed after harvesting. This partly explains why despite the fact that all the fish
329 samples showed growth of heterotrophic bacteria and fungi throughout the study, the
330 bacterial load was consistently higher than the fungal load.

331 [15] reported that bacteria are abundant in the diet and environment of fish and it is therefore
332 impossible to avoid them. In the course of this study, 5 fungi genera were isolated from all
333 the fish samples used. The bacteria identified comprised mainly of normal flora of fishponds
334 and skin of fish processors [20]. The fungi isolates were identified as *Aspergillus sp.*,
335 *Penicillium sp.*, *Fusarium sp.*, *Mucor sp.* and *Candida sp.*. A total of 7 bacteria genera were also
336 identified from the fish samples these include: *Bacillus subtilis*, *Escherichia coli*,
337 *Streptococcus lactis*, *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Proteus sp.*, *Pseudomonas aruginosa* and
338 *Micrococcus sp.* The microflora in the gut of the wild *Clarias gariepinus* was similar to those
339 of [21] and [15]. [22] who gave similar reports of the occurrence of *E. coli* and *Pseudomonas*
340 *sp.* in smoked fish. *E. coli* and *Pseudomonas sp.* are pathogens that can cause intestinal
341 infections and nosocomial infections respectively in humans [23]. The occurrence of
342 *Escherichia coli* in control samples is suggestive of faecal contamination of the water from
343 which the fishes were reared because *E. coli* is an indicator organism and its presence in
344 water or water products indicates the likely presence of faeces and by extension, the presence
345 of other pathogenic intestinal microorganisms [24]. It is however noteworthy that contrary to
346 the reports of [25] and [22], the common human pathogen *Listeria monocytogenes* was not
347 detected in the fish samples. Another important pathogen, *Salmonella sp.* was not found in
348 any of the treated fish samples. This observation is in line with the report of [26] who showed
349 activity of organic preservative hexane extract against *Salmonella*, *Shigella* and *Enterobacter*.
350 However, this observation was contrary to the findings of [27] in which one of the bacteria
351 isolated from smoked fish was *Salmonella sp.* The discrepancy in the present study and that
352 of [27] may be attributed to proper aseptic techniques maintained at every stage of the
353 experiments and the action of the treatment (lemon grass and bay leaf marinade). This result
354 is corroborated by the report of [17] who reported similar microbial results due to the actions
355 of organic preservatives. The fungi recovered in the fish samples have been reported to be
356 regular contaminants of smoked fish [28].

357 **Conclusion**

358 In conclusion, results from this study showed that smoke-drying and spicing had significant
359 effect on the microbial population and dynamics of the fish samples. The effect of smoke-
360 drying and spicing with lemon grass and bay marinade reduced the growth level of
361 microorganisms as the study revealed that all three smoked-dried fish sample treatment (A =
362 lemon grass marinade, B = bay leaf marinade and C = lemon grass marinade + bay leaf
363 marinade) had a relatively low bacterial and fungal count below the 5×10^5 cfu/g
364 recommended by the International Commission of Microbial Specification for Food and Food
365 Products (ICMS, 2002). The Study also showed that sample B (bay leaf marinade) had more

366 microbial load than sample A (lemon grass marinade) and C (lemongrass marinade + bay leaf
367 marinade).

368 **References**

- 369 1. Doyle, E.M. (2007). Microbial food spoilage-losses and control strategies. Ph.D.
370 Thesis, Food Research Institute, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI. Pp. 23-28.
- 371 2. Ghaly, A.E., Dave, D., Budge, S. and Brooks, M.S. (2010). Fish spoilage mechanisms
372 and preservation techniques: Review. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, **7** (7):
373 859-877.
- 374 3. Ahmed, E.O., Ali, M.E., Kalid, R.A., Taha, H.M. and Mahammed, A.A.
375 (2010). Investigating the quality changes of raw and hot smoked *Oreochromis*
376 *niloticus* and *Clarias lazera*. *Pakistan Journal Nutrition*, **9**: 481-484.
- 377 4. Kumolu-Johnson, C.A. and Ndimele, P.E. (2011). A review on post-harvest losses in
378 Artisanal fisheries of some African countries. *Journal of Fishery Aquatic Science*, **6**:
379 365-378.
- 380 5. Oladosu-Ajayi R.N., George, F.O.A., Obasa, S.O. and Bankole, M.O. (2013). Effects
381 of some plant extracts on some biological parameters of catfish *Clarias gariepinus*
382 (Burchell, 1822). *Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science*, **8**: 142-147.
- 383 6. Martin, F.R., Frutos, M.J., Pérez- Alvarez, J.A., Martínez-Sánchez, F. and Tel Rio,
384 J.A. (2002). Flavonoids as nutraceutical: Structural related antioxidant properties and
385 their role on ascorbic acid preservation. In: Atta-Ur- Rahman (Ed.), *Studies in natural*
386 *products chemistry* (**26**: 324-389). Amsterdam: Elsevier Science.
- 387 7. Patrakar, R., Mansuriya, M. and Patil, P. (2012). Phytochemical and pharmacological
388 review on *Laura nobilis*. *Int. J. Pharmaceut. Chem.Sci.*, **1**: 595-602.
- 389 8. Çagiltay, F., Diler, I. and Varlik, C. (2011). The effects of bay leaf on rainbow trouts
390 growth, aromatic and meat composition. *Journal of Animal Veterinary Advance*, **10**:
391 1914-1915.
- 392 9. Eyo A.A., 2001. *Fish Processing Technology in the Tropics*. Published by University
393 of Ilorin Press, Nigeria, p.402.
- 394 10. Shamima A., Abu Ahmed, A., Wahed, A. and Khaleque, A.(2007). Studies On the
395 Performance of Turmeric with Salt as A Preservative for The Curing of Two Lean
396 Fishes in Laboratory Condition. *Bangladesh Journal of Zoology*, **35**(1): 49-60.
- 397 11. Adeyemi, K.D., Ahmed El-Imam, A.M., Olorunsanya, A.O., Sola-Ojo, F.E., Okukpe,
398 K.M., Dosunmu, O.O., Shittu, R.M. and Idris, J.T. (2013). Effect of *Moringa oleifera*
399 marinade on proximate composition and sensory characteristics of smoked dried
400 African catfish "*Clarias gariepinus*". *Croatian Journal of Fisheries*, **71**: 11 - 18.
- 401 12. Mosarrat, N.N., Gulshan, A.L., Chakraborty, S.C., Begum, M., and Farid, F.B.(2017).
402 Effect of Salt and Turmeric treated smoke-drying method on the sensory, proximate,
403 chemical and microbial quality of *G. chapra*, *X. cancila* and *M. pancalus* fish.
404 *International Journal of Advanced Education and Research*, **2**(1): 20-25.
- 405 13. Roberts, R.J. (1978). *Fish Pathology*. Bailliere Tindall, London. Pp. 143-183.
- 406 14. Abolagba, O.J. and Iyeru, O.A.(1998). Study of Insect Pest infesting Traditionally
407 processed fish sold in Benin Metropolis. Nigeria. *Nigeria Journal of Applied Science*,
408 pp. 25-29
- 409 15. Omoruyi, K., Abolagba, O.J. and Osemeke, E.P. (2016). Comparative analysis of
410 biweekly changes in the microbial load of pond-raised and wild smoke-dried *Clarias*
411 *gariepinus* during ambient storage. *African Journal of Agriculture, Technology and*
412 *Environment*, **5**(1): 73-82.
- 413 16. Gbolagade D.G, Abiola F.S and Justina S.E (2012), Evaluation of the microbial load
414 on smoked fish from some markets in Ogun State, Nigeria. *African Journal of*
415 *Microbiology Research*, **6**(7): 1376-1379.

- 416 17. Bukar, A., Uba, A. and Oyeyi, T.I. (2010). Antimicrobial Profile Of *Moringa Oleifera*
417 Lam. Extracts Against Some Food – Borne Microorganisms. *Bayero Journal of Pure*
418 *and Applied Sciences*, 3(1): 43 – 48.
- 419 18. Abbas, K.A., Saleh, A.M. Mohamed, A. and Lasekan, O. (2009). The relationship
420 between water activity and fish spoilage during cold storage: A review. *Journal of*
421 *Food Agric. and Environment*, 7: 86-90.
- 422 19. Haruna A. B. (2003). Aquaculture in the Tropics: Theory and Practice. Zaria: Al-
423 Hassana Publishers. Pp. 43-47.
- 424 20. Fafioye, O.O. (2011), Preliminary studies on water characteristics and bacterial
425 population in high yield Kajola fishponds. *Journal of Agricultural Extension and*
426 *Rural Development*, 3(3): 68-71.
- 427 21. Jimoh, W.A. Jabar, M.B.Adeleke, M.A. and Bello, B.K.(2009). Diversity and
428 microbial load of fungi isolates of the gut sections of captured and cultured *Clarias*
429 *gariiepinus* in Abeokuta, South Western Nigeria. *Journal of Field Aquatic Studies*, 5:
430 54-60.
- 431 22. Salihu M.D, Junaidu A.U, Manga S.B, Gulumbe M.L, Magaji A.A, Ahmed A, Adamu
432 AY, Shittu A, Balarabe I (2008), Occurrence of *Listeria monocytogenes* in smoked
433 fish in Sokoto, Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 7: 3082-3084.
- 434 23. Todar, K. (2012), Todar's Online Textbook of Bacteriology. Retrieved from
435 <http://textbookofbacteriology.net/normalflora.html> on 5th December, 2012.
- 436 24. Edberg, S.C, Rice, E.W, Karlin, R.J, Allen, M.J (2000), *Escherichia coli*: the best
437 biological drinking water indicator for public health protection. *Symp. Ser. Soc. Appl.*
438 *Microbiol.*, (29): 106S-116S.
- 439 25. Ehizibolo, D.O, Chukwu, C.O.O, Chukwu, I.D, Muhammad, M.J. and Olabode, A.O.
440 (2007). Occurrence of food borne pathogenic bacteria in smoked fish at retail level in
441 Jos, Nigeria. *Nigerian Veterinary Journal*, 28 (1): 21-26.
- 442 26. Nwaiwu N.E., Mshelia F. and Raufu I.A. (2011), Antimicrobial Activities of Crude
443 Extracts of *Moringa oleifera*, *Hibiscus sabdariffa* and *Hibiscus esculentus* Seeds
444 against Some Enterobacteria. *Journal of Applied Phytotechnology in Environmental*
445 *Sanitation*, 1 (1): 11 – 16.
- 446 27. Onyia, L.U., Sogbesan, O.A. Milam, C., Joseph, A.I. (2011), Microbial Load Analysis
447 of Fresh Mud Catfish (*Clarias Anguillaris*) and Tilapia (*Oreochromis Niloticus*) In
448 Lake Gerio, Adamawa State, Nigeria Using Two Different Smoking Kilns.
449 *Journal of Environmental Issues and Agriculture in Developing Countries*, 3(1): 165-
450 170.
- 451 28. Wogu, M.D and Iyayi, A.D, (2011), Microflora of some smoked fish varieties in
452 Benin City. *Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies and Management*, 4, 36-38.