Original Research Article

FACTORS AFFECTING SUSTAINABILITY OF DONOR FUNDED FOOD SECURITY PROJECTS IN THARAKA SOUTH SUB-COUNTY, THARAKA NITHI COUNTY, KENYA

ABSTRACT

Project activities have been used to solve many existing food insecurity problems globally. Despite the efforts made by the Government and other development partners to implement food security projects in areas of need it has been observed that they collapse soon after the withdrawal of support by the donors and community members seldom sustain these projects. Previous studies mainly attributed the limited sustainability of donor funded food security projects to management deficiencies without considering other aspects especially that are community based such as community involvement among others. Therefore, this study sought to fill this gap by investigating factors which affect sustainability of donor funded food security projects in Tharaka South Sub-County, Tharaka Nithi County. This study was guided by Resource based Theory and stakeholder theory. The study was exploratory and was executed through a descriptive survey and it targeted donor funded food security projects in Tharaka South Sub County whose their project cycle has ended. These projects included Njaa Marufuku, National Agriculture Sector Extension Policy, Food for Asset and Mount Kenya East Pilot Project for Natural Resource Management (MKEPP). The study targeted a population of 400 community project beneficiaries, Social Development Officer in the Sub County, project officers and opinion leaders in the Sub County and a sample of 238 respondents was adopted. The study used both simple random and purposive sampling methods. Simple random sampling method was used to select the community project beneficiaries. To aet specific information from project officers and donors the study identified them purposively as they were technocrats in food security projects. Questionnaires were used as the main research instruments to solicit information from the Donors, Project Officers, and Community Project Beneficiaries and Opinion Leaders .Questionnaires contained both closed ended and open-ended questions. Data obtained from the questionnaires was coded and subjected to analysis. Data was analyzed using both descriptive and inferential statistics. The study found out that out of 238 total sample size of the participants, 227 respondents filled in and submitted the questionnaires making a response rate of 95% respectively. This implied that return rate was appropriate for data analysis. The study found out Community involvement and Sustainability of Donor Funded Food Security Projects correlated positively and significantly (r=0.548, p=0.000); Institution capacity and Sustainability of Donor Funded Food Security Projects correlated positively and significantly (r=0.521, p=0.000) and roles of Donors and Sustainability of Donor funded food security projects correlated positively (r=0.534, p=0.000). Finally, the study established that donors play a very important role in ensuring sustainability of donor funded food security projects. The study recommended that community members be involved by the donors in generating project ideas since engaging the community in its own development ensures that the proposed development will better target people's needs, incorporate local knowledge, create capacity to undertake other projects and maintain facilities, distribute benefits equitably and help lower costs and hence ensure project sustainability.

Key Words: Food Security, Community, Projects, Donor Funding, Sustainability

1 INTRODUCTION

Sustainable development is the development that satisfies the wants and desires of the current generation while at the same time not interfering with the capability of the off-springs to satisfy their desires and wants using the same Donor funding resource [1]. remains a top priority for the Development International Community in provision of direct or indirect finance for goods or services at costs that are less than would be charged in the normal 'open market' [2]. According to USAID [3] it is estimated that 800 million people are constantly malnourished globally. Out of 105 developing countries, 64 had a very slow food productivity compared to the growth of population in the last ten years [4]. Food security in developed countries like the United States has been largely addressed through technology and policies [5]. In Africa food production has been largely supported by government efforts and their development partners, but this has been with failures [6]. The search for a sustainable food production and access solutions still remain a challenge in developing countries like Kenya where communities living in arid and semi-arid lands continue to thrive in extreme hunger.

MOA [7] reported that in Sub Saharan Africa alone, over 218 million people live under extreme poverty and hunger. Projections showed that there would be more preventive hunger unless measures are taken. There are generally low sustainability levels of donor funded food security projects in Sub Saharan Africa [3]. Empirical evidence on the sustainability of donor funded food security projects less advanced countries in in general and Kenya in particular remains mixed [8]. It is currently estimated that over 40% of all donor funded food security projects in Africa are not functional [9]. Recent figures from individual Sub-African Saharan states show operational failure rates of about 35% to 60% [10]. The limited sustainability of donor funded food security projects has been attributed to community management deficiencies such as weak cost-recovery mechanisms, inadequate trained project technicians managers and at grassroots level, and weak local institutions [11, 12]. Tharaka South Sub-County is in the ASAL areas in Upper Eastern region of Kenva which experience widespread and chronic food insecurity. It is among the least

insecurity. It is among the least developed areas in the country as it receives low amounts of rainfall and experiences frequent droughts leading to crop failures and famine to the community [13]. As a result of frequent crop failures, the community depends mostly on relief food supplies from the Government, various donors and organizations. faith based In addition to relief food supplies, the Government and other development agencies have been implementing food security projects in the Constituency to address the food insecurity. The food security projects have not been successful because the beneficiaries have remained food insecure. These projects have therefore not delivered what they were intended the to. as per stakeholder expectations.

Steering Kenya Food Security Group [14] stated that food consumption score in Tharaka Nithi County is a measure of the quality and quantity of foods consumed at household level in terms of nutritional value, frequency of meals and dietary diversity. The higher the frequency and nutritional value and diversity, the higher the score. Majority of the households in the marginal and mixed farming zones are currently consuming two meals per day compared to the normal three comprising of two to three food groups. Tharaka Nithi County Steering Group Report [15] declared that most of the foods being consumed are less nutritious and sometimes the less preferred ones. 54.2 percent of the households in the marginal mixed farming have borderline food consumption while scores 19 poor percent have food consumption scores. The rest food account for а poor consumption score. In the mixed farming, 16.7 percent of the households were having a poor consumption score, 53.3 having borderline and 30 percent having acceptable scores In the rain fed

poor food consumption scores,50 percent borderline and 33.3 percent having acceptable food consumption score. On average, the food consumption score for the region was 19 percent for the poor, 52.5 percent for borderline and 28.5 acceptable scores [15]. NGOs have flocked arid and semiarid areas in Kenya including Tharaka South Sub County with still implementing others development projects that ran into millions of dollars. Some of these projects include water harvesting for small scale irrigation; Njaa Marufuku Kenya (NMK); Traditional high value food crops promotion (THVC); Promotion project of private sector development in Kenya agriculture (PSDA); Agricultural Productivity And Project (KAPAP), Agribusiness East African Agricultural Productivity Project (EAAPP) and among NALEP-SIDA others. Despite that these projects have been implemented in the Sub County, 64% of the community is still poor and dependent on relief food distribution each year [16]. This study therefore sought to the factors affecting assess sustainability of donor funded food security projects in Tharaka South Sub-County, Tharaka Nithi County. For many decades since independence, the presence of NGOs. CBOs. government agencies and donor agencies have been visible in ASAL areas of Kenya such as Tharaka South Sub County initiating and implementing food security projects. However, these projects have not yet achieved the defining objective of sustainability. As a matter of fact, most of these projects have had a short life-span, stalled. never

zones,16.7 percent were having

impacted the community or collapsed altogether. Previous studies conducted on sustainability of donor funded food security projects have mainly focused on policies and management deficiencies with little attention on the community involvement to enhance sustainability. Therefore there exists a knowledge gap which this study sought to fill by investigating determinants of sustainability of donor funded food security projects in Tharaka South Sub County.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

The study was conducted in Tharaka South Sub-County which is situated in the lower parts of Tharaka Nithi County, Kenya. Tharaka South Sub County was chosen because it is among the ASAL areas in the country which experience chronic food insecurity and therefore the results of the study was generalized to ASAL areas in Kenva. Borrowing from Kombo [17] the research design this adopted for study was because descriptive survey it allowed studying phenomena that do not allow for manipulation of variables. The study was exploratory and can be appropriately executed through a descriptive survey. The studv targeted donor funded food security projects in Tharaka South Sub County which their project cycle has ended. These projects included Njaa Marufuku, National Agriculture Sector Extension Policy, Food for Asset and Mount Kenya East Pilot Natural Resource Proiect for Management (MKEPP). The study targeted 400 community project beneficiaries, Social Development Officer in the Sub County, project officers and opinion leaders in the

Sub County. The determination of the sample size was done as in Krejcie [18]. In this study a target population of 454 persons required an optimum sample size of 238 respondents. The study used both simple random and purposive sampling methods. Once а sampling frame was available, each person in the population was assigned number. а The questionnaires were used as the research instruments. main Questionnaires were used to solicit information from the Donors. Project Officers, and community member s and opinion leaders while the interview schedule was used to collect data from the beneficiaries. project Questionnaires contained both closed ended and open-ended questions. Most questionnaires were of the five-point likert rating scale of the range (1-5 for example 1-strongly agree, 2 - agree 3undecided, disagree; 5-strongly disagree). Data analyzed was usina both descriptive and inferential statistics. The preference for questionnaire for use was based on the fact that respondents were able to complete them without help, anonymously, and it was cheaper and quicker than other methods while reaching out to larger sample. Data was analyzed descriptively using, frequencies and percentages to describe the basic characteristics of the data. Inferential data analysis was done using the Correlation Coefficient and multiple linear regressions. ended questions Open were analyzed qualitatively, and the results presented in APA Tables.

3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 3.1 Involvement of Community in Projects Implementation in Tharaka South Sub County. 3.1.1 Stages of Involvement of Community in Projects Implementation in Tharaka South Sub County.

The respondents were requested to indicate the extent to which stakeholders are involved in the in implementation of donor funded food security projects Likert scale questionnaires for rating was employed: Scale: 5- very high, 4 high, 3 – Not sure, 2 – low and 1 – Very Low. The results showed that (2.0485) respondents indicated that community involvement in project identification was high, (2.4361) respondents indicated community involvement in project preparation was high, (3.0705) respondents indicated community involvement in project implementation was very high and finally а (2.9736)respondents indicated community involvement in project monitoring was very high (Table 1). This implies that community is involved at very high extent in stages in implementation of donor funded food security projects hence ensuring sustainability of Donor Funded Food Security Projects in Tharaka South Sub-County.

This goes in line with Anderson [19] who stated that to achieve any desired outcome of a project community must be actively involved: stepping in to the community requires an attitude of 'do it with the people' which entails doing things with them not doing things for them or to them. When things are done for people or to people the emotional commitment is limited thus the significance of participatory development.

Manikuttv [20] stated that Community involvement has a favorable impact on the outcomes of a project and this linkage gets established through better aggregation of preferences, better design through use of local knowledge, pressure by community on bureaucracies to perform and better implementation through ownership.

3.1.2 Involvement in Generation of the project ideas in Tharaka South Sub County.

The study sought to know who generates the project ideas. During the study it was observed that 22 (9.7%) of the respondents were community members who generated project, 55 (24.2%) were government officials and 150 (66.1%) were NGOs representative (Table 2). This implies that most of donor funded food security projects in Tharaka South Sub County are proposed and implemented by NGOs. This is an indication that communities' members are not involved in generating projects ideas. This is in agreement with Delmon, [21] who reported that donor funded food security projects reach the communities through various means which include International Financial Institutions (IFIs), United Nations (U.N) Agencies for example the United Nations Development Program which provides grants (UNDP) through government and UNDP offices to start up programs, Consultative Groups to Assist the Poorest (CGAP) where funds flow headquarters from global to individual grassroots institutions as arants and finally public philanthropic foundations.

Descriptive statistics	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Community	227	1.00	4.00	2.0485	.66007
involvement in					
project identification					
Community	227	1.00	4.00	2.4361	.73420
involvement in					
project preparation					
Community	227	1.00	5.00	3.0705	1.13057
involvement in					
project					
implementation					
Community	227	1.00	5.00	2.9736	1.08864
involvement in					
project monitoring					
Valid N (listwise)	227				

Table 1: Stages of inv	olvem	ent of community	in projects	impleme	ntation	
Descriptive statistics	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std.	

Table 2: Response on Generation of the Project Ideas

Project Ideas		Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
				Percent	Percent
Valid	Community Members	22	9.7	9.7	9.7
	The government Officials	55	24.2	24.2	33.9
	NGOs	150	66.1	66.1	100.0
	Total	227	100.0	100.0	

It is possible that lack of community involvement in generating projects ideas negatively influences project sustainability. The study findings also corroborated with Okafor [22] who observed that when communities participate in their own projects include empowering communities improve efficiency, local participation yields better projects, better outcomes as well greater transparency as and accountability enhances service delivery.

Communities who were the beneficiaries of the projects should not be seen as targets of poverty reduction efforts but should be seen as assets and partners in the development process.

3.1.3 Donor Involvement in Projects Planning and Implementation in Tharaka South Sub County.

The respondents were requested to indicate whether donors involved planning them during and Implementation of donor funded food security projects. The results showed that 227 (100%) of the respondents agreed that donors are involved in planning and Implementation of communitybased food security (Table 3 & 4). This implies that most of the donor funded food security projects are planned and executed by Donors. It is important for the community to be involved in planning and implementation of donor funded projects because this will enable

the donor to have an understanding of the needs of the community and thus enhance project acceptability by the community. This will in return improve the suitability of a donor funded project.

Table 3: Appointment of the Project Managers

Table	 Арроппинени ог и 	le i ioject Mari	ayers		
	ntment of the t Managers	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Democratic election	186	81.9	81.9	81.9
	Un-democratic election	41	18.1	18.1	100.0
	Total	227	100.0	100.0	

Table 4: Donor Involvement in Projects Planning and Implementation

Donor Involver	nent	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	
Valid	Yes	227	100.0	100.0	100.0	

4.0 CONCLUSION

The study found that out Community involvement and Sustainability of Donor funded food projects correlated security positively and significantly (r=0.548, p=0.000). The study findings also indicated that majority of the respondents stated that they were involved in the various stages of project planning and implementation. That is to say therefore community involvement enhances engagement initiatives of the projects which are mainly achieved through taking an active role in identifying their needs and prioritizing those needs, mobilizing internal and external resources and implementing activities towards achieving their objectives. Involvement also helps promote self-reliance thus reducing dependency on the outside agencies and thus it improves efficiency. Community involvement decreases complaints on management issues in the projects

as it encourages greater transparency and accountability and thus it enhances service delivery.

Most of the community members were involved in the implementation of the community projects in all the phases despite them not being given a chance to generate ideas and there was a strong positive correlation between Community involvement and sustainabilitv of donor funded community projects. This shows that the customers are verv important when it comes to project implementation since they are the main beneficiaries.

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Community members should be involved by the donors in generating project ideas since engaging the community in its own development ensures that the proposed development will better target people's needs, incorporate local knowledge, create grass root or capacity to undertake other projects and maintain facilities, distribute benefits equitably and help lower costs.

REFERENCES

- World Bank. (2015). World Development Report 2004: Making Services Work for Poor People. New York: Oxford University Press, 2003, 265 – 276
- 2. UNDP. (2015).United Nations Development Programme Human Development Assessing Environment's Contribution to Poverty Reduction. United Nations Development Program: New York.
- 3. USAID. (2011). United States International Agency for Development. Assistance To Internally Displaced Person Policy Us Agency for International Development Oct. 2004 Available on http//:www.usaid.gov policy /accessed on 22nd April, 2011.
- Robinson, P. (2018). Disaster Responses among the Gabbra of Northern Kenya. An Historical Perspective. Paper presented at the National Museum of Kenya, Nairobi
- 5. Wiggins, S. (2009). Can The Smallholder Model Deliver Poverty Reduction and Food Security for a Rapidly Growing Population in Africa? Working Paper No. 08. Future Agricultures Consortium (FAC).
- Aidoo, R., Mensah, J.O., & Tauffuor, T. (2013). Determinants of Household Food Security in the Sekyere-Afram Plains District of Ghana. Paper presented to the 1st Annual International Interdisciplinary Conference,

AIIC 2013, 24,-26 April, Azores, Portugal.

- Ministry of Agriculture (MOA), Republic of Kenya (2008). Njaa Marufuku Kenya. AAPAM Award for Innovative Management. Retrieved 12th December, 2013 from http://www.kilimo.go.ke
- Njoh, A. J. (2011). Citizen Participation and Sustainability: Lessons from Cameroon. Development, 54(3), pp.376– 383.
- Padawangi, R. (2010). Community-driven development as a driver of change: Water supply and sanitation projects in rural Punjab, Pakistan. Water Policy, 12(SUPPL. 1), pp.104–120.
- 10. Harvey, P. A. (2007). Community-managed water supplies in Africa: Sustainable or dispensable? *Community Development Journal*, 42(3), pp.365–378.
- 11. Spaling, H., Brouwer, G., & Njoka, J. (2014). Factors affecting the sustainability of a community water supply project in Kenya. *Development in Practice*, 24(7), pp.797–811.
- Datta, D., (2007) Sustainability of community-based organizations of the rural poor: Learning From Concern's rural development projects, Bangladesh. Community Development Journal 42.1, 47-62.
- 13.Lemba, J.K.(2009). Intervention model for sustainable household food security in dry

lands of Kenya; A case study of Makueni district. *Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of (PhD)* Ghent University.

- 14. Kenya Food Security Steering Group (KFSSG). (2018) Group long rains food security assessment report
- 15. Tharaka Nithi County Steering Group Report. (2018) *long* rains food security assessment report. Chuka, Kenya
- 16. UNDP. (2005).United Nations Development Programme. *Human Development Report* 2005. Accessed April 27, 2005
- Kombo, D.K. and Tromp, D. L. A. (2006). *Proposal and Thesis writing:* An *introduction*. Nairobi: Pauline's Publications Africa.
- 18. Krejcie, Robert V. Morgan, Daryle W. (1970). "Determining Sample Size for Research Activities", Educational and Psychological Measurement.
- Anderson, E., and McFarlane, J. (2010). Community as Partner: Theory and Practice in Nursing (6th ed). New York: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- 20. Manikutty, S. (2010). CK Prahalad and his work: An assessment. Vikalpa: The Journal for Decision Makers, 35(2), 1-5.
- 21. Delmon, J. (2011). Public-Private Partnership Projects in Infrastructure: An Essential Guide for Policy Makers. New

York: Cambridge University Press.

22. Okafor.E.E., and Abdulazeez,Y. (2007)Gender-Sensitive Projects for Sustainable Development in Nigeria: *A Critical Assessment. J. Soc. Sci.*, 15(3): 235-248.