# Original Research Article

ANALYSIS of FACTORS INFLUENCING the PRICE of PADDY RICE in BENUE STATE, NIGERIA.

### **ABSTRACT**

The study analyzed the factors influencing the price of paddy rice in Benue State, Nigeria. Data were collected from 113 rice farmers'marketers using multi-stage sampling technique. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and multiregression model. The study revealed that respondents were in their active age, mostly males (65.5%), married (69.0%) formal education (79.6%), average marketing experience of 9 years and 10 members per household. Quality type of paddy rice, season and transport cost were the important and significant variables that influence the price of paddy rice in the study area. These were significant at 5%, 5% 1% levels of probability respectively with an R<sup>2</sup>value of 0.77. Based on these findings, it is recommended that government should construct new roads and rehabilitate rural feeder roads to ease movement of produce and also provide incentives to women to encourage them in farming. The three tiers of governments should provide adequate transportation system to help in conveying paddy rice from their place of production to the place of consumption.

**Key words:** Factors, influence, price, rice and analysis.

## INTRODUCTION

The present day condition of continuous rise in prices of agricultural products all over the world is well known to the populace. The price increase has affected individuals in several ways such as low productivity, low incomeand reduction in standard of living. Among the various forces shaping the world of man, transportation is a major force which accounts for the high increase in the prices of agricultural products. Transport network link producers to markets and provide access to social and administrative services. An effective transport system support economic development through travel time and transport cost savings, lowering transports tariffs and by increasing productivity [1].

The rural areas of Nigeria are characterized by inadequate and poorly maintained infrastructure and services which results in poor rural situations [2]; [3]. In a study carried out by [4] in rural areas of Nigeria, it was discovered that where motor-able roads exist they are mostly of unpaved surface, narrow width, circuitous alignment and with low quality bridges. In most cases, they are either clad with potholes or characterized by depressions and sagging. According to [5], only about 5 percent of rural roads in Nigeria could be said to be in good condition. Thus, the poor state of rural transport in the country do not only lead to high vehicle operating cost but, also result in sharp increases of prices of food items [3].

Agriculture has been identified as the primary and biggest source of income in rural communities and provides employment to approximately 70 percent of its population [6]. A significant proportion of agricultural task involve moving inputs and products from one place to another which involve a wide variety of types and sizes of loads to be moved over different distances and types of terrain. The sources

of food and economic products must be The study was conducted in Benue State, reasonably accessible in agricultural products to the markets and factories. However, the existing transportation system mostly in rural areas of the country is poor, weak, inadequate and inefficient, expensive and too costly to operate, thus, farmers are deprived of the most viable source of investment capital due to high cost of moving their produce from the rural areas to the urban areas purchased they can be reasonableprices.A of lot agricultural products especially rice wastage takes place in our marketing system as a result of poor transportation and storage facilities.

Many studies have focused on the marketing of paddy rice and other aspect of it, for instance[7] studied Rice Marketing in Sri Lanka and noted that there is a great potential for marketing rice in Sri Lanka. [8] Carried out their analysis on structure and performance of paddy rice marketing in Adamawa state, Nigeria and noted that rice marketing competitive has market structure. Also, [9] studied socio economic characteristics of rice farmers in the combined states of Andgra Pradesh and found that farmers were well educated, of experienced and productive age. However, to the best of the researcher's knowledge there is little or no work on factors that influence the price of paddy rice. This is the gap the researcher intends to fill. The broad objective of this study is to examine the factors that influence the price of paddy rice in Guma, Benue State, Nigeria. The specifics objectives were to:

- I) describe the socio-economic characteristics of rice marketers
- II) determine the factors that influence the price of paddy rice

**METHODOLOGY** 

distributing Nigeria. The state lies between latitudes 6°25′N and  $8^{0}8^{1}N$  and longitudes  $7^{0}47^{1}E$  and  $10^{0}^{1}E$ . Benue State is popularly known as the "Food Basket" of the Nation because of the abundance of its agricultural resources. The state is a major producer of food and cash crops Small-scale rice production marketing is a popular business in the state. The population for this study smallholder's rice farmersin Benue State. A small-scale rice farmers sample of 113 marketers from three markets in Guma local government area known formarketing were randomly selected for the study. Data were collected through the use of structured questionnaire to elicit information from the respondents.

> Descriptive statistics such as frequency distribution table and percentages were used to identify the various types of transport system used by rice marketers and also describe the socio- economic characteristics, while multiple regression analysis was used to determine the factors that influence the price of paddy rice. The model is as specified

**Implicitly** 

 $Y_i = f(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, U_i)$ 

Explicitly

 $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + U_i$ 

Where,

 $Y_i$ = Price of paddy rice

 $X_1$ = Transportation cost

 $X_2 = Season$ 

 $X_3$  = Distance to the market

X4= Quality of paddy rice

 $U_i$ = error term

 $\beta 0 = Intercept$ 

 $\beta$ s = Coefficients to be estimated

It was expected that  $\beta_1, \beta_3, \beta_4$  will have positive relationship with price of paddy rice.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Socio-Economic Characteristics of Rice-**Producers Marketers**

The socio-economic characteristics of rice attained some formal education with the mean producers' marketers studied in this research work include age distribution, sex distribution, marital status, educational level, years of experience, household size distribution, major occupation and annual income distribution of respondents. The distribution of respondents by socio-economic characteristics is as shown in Table 1.

The percentage distribution of respondents by age showed that most (41.6%) of the respondents in the study area were within the age of 31 and 40thus, they are said to be in their active and productive age to be able to cope with the rigors of rice production and marketing [10]. The mean age of the respondents was 36 years which indicates that they are still very active. The result is in consonance with earlier studies by[11] and[9] who noted rice farmers to be of productive age. Also the findings on the analysis of sex distribution of respondents showed that there were more male rice producers marketers (65.5%) than females (34.5%). This is due to the rigorous nature of work associated with rice farming which makes females to avoid the enterprise in favour of less rigorous aspects of the rice value chain. The result indicates that gender influences rice production and marketing. This result agrees with earlier studies by [12] whose findings showed that majority (62%) of the farmers were males. The result of marital status shows that majority (69%) of the rice farmers were married with unmarried respondents accounting for 21.2% while widows and separated indicated 5.3% and 4.4% respectively. This finding agrees with that of [11] who stated that majority (65%) of the farmers were married.

The distributions of educational attainment of respondents in the study area showed that 20.4% of the respondents had no formal education while most (36.3%) of the respondents had primary education, 32.7% had secondary education, and 10.6% had tertiary education showing

number of years in school of 7 years. This implies that most rice farmers in the study area can read and write. Educational profile of the farmers decides the relative exposure of the farmer to latest technologies. This study is in agreement with the findings of [13];[14] who stated that most rice farmers can read and write.

Farming experience normally deals with the number of years an individual or farmer has being practicing or participating in a particular activity. The distribution of years of rice marketing experience farming and respondents indicate that most (39.0%) of respondents had 6 - 10 years of farming and marketing experience, followed by 29.2% of the respondents with experience of 11-15 years. Similarly, those with experience of between 16-20 years, 21-25 years, above 26 years and those with experience between 1-5 years accounted for 17.7%, 3.5%, 0.9% and 9.7% respectively. Implying that most (46.9%) of the respondents have over 10 years of experience. This indicates that most farmers' marketers have enough farming and marketing experience. The mean number of years of experience of the respondents was 9 years. Experience plays a very important role in the performance of any enterprise. This result is in tandem with the findings of [9] and [13], they all noted that rice farmers were well experienced.

The household size distributions of respondents in the study area showed that most of the rice farmers (47.8%) had household size of between 6 and 10 with the mean household size of 10 persons. The result indicates a large household size which can be a source of cheap farm labour. This agrees with the findings of [11] who stated that 35% of their respondents had household size of between 6 and 10.

The distribution of the respondents by their major occupation indicated that majority of the respondents which accounted for 60.2%% were that the respondents full time rice farmers while the remaining 39.8% carry out rice farming on part time basis possibly to supplement their income from other sources and this makes farming to be their secondary occupation. The finding agrees with Years that of [11] who stated that majority (81.67%) of the farmers were full time rice farmers.

The sales income distribution of respondents in the study area also showed that most (40.7%) of respondents make 200,000 naira or less in sales income/annum. The mean income of the respondents per annum was 200,000 naira. This implies that most of the farmers are small holder farmers with small market share of the paddy rice market in the study area.

TABLE 1 DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONDENTS BY SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS IN THE STUDY AREA (n=113).

| $\sim$ | luooti | an. |
|--------|--------|-----|
| eu     | lucati | OH  |
|        |        |     |

respondents

Student

Fishing

Income distribution

respondents

 $\leq$  100,000 101,000

200,000 201,000

300,000  $301,000 \ge$ 

|          | respondents                             |    |      |    |
|----------|---|----|------|----|
|          | 1 – 5                                   | 11 | 9.7  |    |
|          | 6 – 10                                  | 44 | 39.0 |    |
|          |   |    |      | 9  |
|          | 11 – 15                                 | 33 | 29.2 |    |
|          | 16 – 20                                 | 20 | 17.7 |    |
|          | 21 – 25                                 | 4  | 3.5  |    |
|          | 26 ≥                                    | 1  | 0.9  |    |
|          | Household size of                       |    |      |    |
|          | respondents                             |    |      |    |
|          | 1 – 5                                   | 19 | 16.8 |    |
|          | 6 – 10                                  | 54 | 47.8 |    |
|          |   |    |      | 10 |
| 16       | <del>11 -</del> 15<br>16 – 20           | 27 | 23.9 |    |
| ינ<br>וג | $n^{16} - 20$                           | 10 | 8.8  |    |
| 4        | n <sup>10 - 20</sup> <del>21 -</del> 25 | 3  | 2.7  |    |
|          | Major occupation                        |    |      |    |
|          | of respondents                          |    |      |    |
|          | Farming                                 | 68 | 60.2 |    |
|          | Marketing                               | 31 | 27.4 |    |
|          | Civil servant                           | 6  | 5.3  |    |

7

1

26

46

27

14

of

6.2

0.9

23.

40.

23.

12

experience

of

Source: Field Survey 2018

Factors that influence the price of paddy rice in the study area

The results of the factors that influence the price of paddy rice in the study area is as presented in table 2. This was achieved through the use of multiple regression analysis. From the results, the overall F-statistics (2.264) is significant at 5% level of probability implying

| Variables         | Frequency    |      | entage |
|-------------------|--------------|------|--------|
|                   |              | (%)  | Mea    |
| Age distribution  |              |      |        |
| of respondents    |              |      |        |
| ≤ <b>20</b>       | 1            | 0.9  |        |
| 21 - 30           | 34           | 30.1 |        |
| 31 – 40           | 47           | 41.6 |        |
|                   |              |      | 36     |
| 41 – 50           | 24           | 21.2 |        |
| <b>5</b> 0 ≥      | 7            | 6.2  |        |
| Sex distribution  |              |      |        |
| of respondents    |              |      |        |
| Male              | 74           | 65.5 |        |
| Female            | 39           | 34.5 |        |
| Marital status of |              |      |        |
| respondents       |              |      |        |
| Single            | 24           | 21.2 |        |
| Married           | 78           | 69.0 |        |
| Widow/Widower     | 6            | 5.3  | -      |
| Separated         | 5            | 4.4  |        |
| Educational dis   | tribution of |      |        |
| respondents       |              |      |        |
| No formal 23      | 3            | 20.4 |        |
| education         |              |      |        |
| Primary 4         | 1            | 36.3 |        |
| education         |              |      | 6      |
| Secondary 37      | 7            | 32.7 |        |
| education         |              |      |        |
| Tertiary 12       | 2            | 10.6 |        |

the price of paddy rice. The coefficient of in that high costs are translated into high prices multiple determinations  $(R^2)$  is 0.77 an implication that the fitted variables accounted for 77% variation in the price of paddy rice in TABLE 2: MULTIPLE REGRESSION ESTIMATES OF the study area.

From the analysis, three variables namely quality type, season and transport cost were the important variables that significantly affect the price of paddy rice as these are significant at 5%, 5% and 1% levels of probability respectively.

Detail results shows that, the coefficient of quality type of paddy rice is positive and significant at 5% level of probability implying that the higher the quality of rice, the higher the price. In the study area, paddy rice qualities are of different types, the most expensive rice is the one with the best quality and the reverse is true. This is in line with the findings of [15], they noted that the price consumers are willing to pay for a good at a given time is dependent on the attributes of the good or commodity.

From the result the coefficient of season is positive and highly significant at 5% level of probability implying that season and price of paddy rice are directly related. It is a common knowledge that during the off peak season of production (rainy season) the price of paddy rice will be high and will be low in the peak season of production (dry season). This is supported by the work of [16] that rice is a highly climate specific agricultural produce and therefore unfavorable weather conditions would put pressure on supplies and hence send prices higher, thus in the time of scarcity the price will be high and low in the time of plenty. They noted that rice in the dry season had lower prices and higher prices in the rainy season.

Also from the result the coefficient of transport cost is positive and highly significant at 1% level of probability implying that the higher the transportation cost the higher the price of paddy rice and vice versa. This finding agrees with that of [17] in Tanzania. They found out

that the fitted variables significantly influence that transportation costs have a welfare effect for consumers and low farm gate prices for growers in Tanzania.

THE DETERMINANTS OF PRICE OF PADDY RICE IN THE STUDY AREA.

| Variables                               | Coefficient | Std. error | T      | Sig-         |  |  |
|---|-------------|------------|--------|--------------|--|--|
|   |             |            |        | t            |  |  |
| Constant                                | 9745.247    | 423.315    | 23.021 | 0.000        |  |  |
| Distance                                | -8.338      | 9.738      | -0.856 | 0.394        |  |  |
| Quality                                 | 165.409     | 77.587     | 2.132  | $0.035^{**}$ |  |  |
| type                                    |             |            |        |              |  |  |
| Season                                  | 0.074       | 0.030      | 2.455  | $0.016^{**}$ |  |  |
| Transport                               | 0.219       | 0.118      | 2.855  | $0.000^{*}$  |  |  |
| cost                                    |             |            |        |              |  |  |
| Adjusted R square 0.614 R square = 0.77 |             |            |        |              |  |  |

Source; Field Survey 2018

Note \*\*and \* Indicates significance at 5% and 1% probability level.

## CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The study had revealed that respondents were in their productive age, mostly married and educated. Quality of rice, season and transport cost are responsible for price of rice in the study area. In view of this, it is recommended that government should construct new roads and rehabilitate rural feeder roads to ease movement of produce and also provide incentives to women to encourage them in farming. The three tiers of governments should provide adequate transportation system to help in conveying paddy rice from their place of production to the place of consumption.

### Authors have declared that no ethical issues exist.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Button, K (2010) Transport Economics, 3<sup>rd</sup>
  Edition, Edward Elgar Publishing LTD
  and Edward Elgar Publishing INC,
  Cheltenham UK and Northampton,
  MA USA 2010
- [2] Akintola, S.R. (2007) "Coping with Infrastructural Deprivation through Collective Action among Rural People in Nigeria". *Nordic Journal of African Studies*. 16 (1): 30-46.
- [3] Ogunsanya, A.A (1987), Rural Accessibility Problem and Human Resources Development: A Case Study from Nigeria. J. Rural. Studies., Nigeria. 3(1): 31-42.
- [4] Filani, M.O (1993) Transport and Rural Development in Nigeria. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 1:248 254.
- [5] A. Adesanya, (1997), "Transportation Development" in Adedotun, A. O and Titilola, S. J. (eds). Nigeria in 2010.Nigerian Institute of Social and Economic Research (NISER), Ibadan, Nigeria.Pp 181-193.
- [6] Kassali,R Ayanwale, B.A ,Idowu, E.O and.Williams, S.B (2010), Effect of Rural Transportation System on Agricultural Productivity in Oyo State, Nigeria. *Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development in the Tropics and Subtropics*.Vol. 113, No. 1: 13-19.
- [7] Mohammed, H.H. and Kaldeen, M.M (2013) Rice Marketing Lesson and Driver for Sri Lanka Producers,

- Proceedings of the third International Symposium Oluvic Sri Lanka pp 33-40
- [8] Dauna, Y Giroh, D. Y. and Adamu, N. B. (2018) Analysis of Structure and Performance of Paddy Rice Marketing in Adamawa state, Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology 10(2): 174-177
- [9] Samaripitha, A. Vasudev, N and Suhasini,K (2016) Socio-economic Characteristics of Rice Farmers in combined states of Andhra Pradesh Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics and Sociology 13(1): 1-9
- [10] Nwalieji,H.U Madukwe, M.C. Agwu, A.E and Umerah, M.I.(2014). Adoption of Rice Technologies Introduced by the United State Agency for International Development in Anambra and Ebonyi States, Nigeria. *Journal of AgriculturalExtension*, 18(1): 143-154.
- [11] Girei, A.A and Onuk,
  G.E(2016). Profitability of Rice
  Production in fufore local government
  area of Adamawa State,
  Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural
  Research. Vol 2. Pp53-63.
- [12] Ayanwale,B.A and Amusan, A.C (2014).
  Gender Analysis of Rice Production in
  Osun State: Implication for the
  Transformation Agenda. Nigerian
  journal of Agricultural Economics
  (NJAE).Vol 4(1), 12-24.
- [13].Afolami,C.A Obayelu A.E, Agbonlahor,M.U and Lawal-Adebowale, O.A (2012) Socio-Economic Analysis of Rice Farmers

- and Effects of Group Formation on Rice Production in Ekiti and Ogun states, South-West, Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Science 4(4): 233-244
- [14] Umeh, J.C.and Ataborh,E.M (2007). Efficiency of Rice Farmers in Nigeria: Potentials for Food Security and Poverty Alleviation." Proceedings of 16<sup>th</sup>International Farm Management Congress, Cork 15<sup>th</sup>-20<sup>th</sup> July, 2007, 613-625.
- [15] Rosa,P.C Valerien,O.P, Justin,M. Orlee,V and Matty, D.(2016). Rice Grain Quality and Consumer Preferences: A Case Study of Two Rural Towns in the Philippines. *Public Library of Science Journal*. (11)3. PMC4794204 PMD26982587.
- [16] Jintana, K.and Srikantha,H (2011). Impact Assessment of Climate Change on Rice Production in KhonKaen Province Thailand. *Journal of International Society for Southeast Asian Agricultural Science*, Vol 17, No 2:14-28.
- [17] Mkenda,K.B and Campenhout, V.B(2011). Estimating Transaction Costs in Tanzania Supply Chains. Working Paper, International Food Policy Research Institute, Kampala, IGC, F-4004-TZA-1