

39 (2,800 km³). Furthermore, Nigeria is also ranked sixth worldwide and first in Africa in farm
40 output such as cocoa, groundnuts, natural rubber, and palm oil. But rather than record
41 remarkable progress in national socio economic development, Nigeria retrogressed to become
42 one of the 25 poorest countries at the threshold of twenty-first century. National Bureau of
43 Statistics revealed that over 20.9 million Nigerians youth are unemployed as at December 2018.
44 It was also revealed in June 2018 that Nigeria had overtaken India as the nation with the highest
45 number of people living in extreme poverty across the world, with an estimated 86.9 million
46 people measured to be living on less than \$1.90 a day. This may the reason why Nigeria has been
47 bedeviled by the wave of insecurity for lives and properties. The former governor of Central
48 Bank of Nigeria, Mr. Lamido Sanusi, on Wednesday, April 24, 2013, identified insecurity in the
49 country, high unemployment rate as well as improper orientation of the youth as major threats to
50 development in the country.

51 Social entrepreneurship has been acknowledged by the scholars as a veritable tool to
52 accelerate the decline in unemployment and poverty. According to Mohammed and Ndulue [1],
53 social entrepreneurship involves pursuing highly innovative approaches to addressing social
54 problems and doing so in an opportunistic, persistent, and accountable manner, either for profit
55 or non- profit. Research observed that social entrepreneurship is designed to engage the
56 community with empowering the disadvantaged financially communities to jointly mobilize their
57 business in order to generate profits, and then the results of operations returns to the community
58 to increase their income [2]. The European Commission [3] also described the social
59 entrepreneurship sector as an approach to make impact on society and economy. This connotes
60 that social entrepreneurship is not only able to generate employment opportunities, but also
61 alleviate poverty and create multiplier effects to drive the economy, and create social welfare.

62 Social entrepreneurship has received a lot of research attention in developed and
63 developing nations and it has measured and conceptualized in many different ways. However,
64 the construct has not been explored and is still remain challenging construct in Nigeria. This
65 study therefore intends to fill gap in knowledge by examining the influence of social
66 entrepreneurship on employment generation and poverty alleviation in Nigeria.

67 **Research Questions**

68 These following questions shall guide this study

- 69 i. To what extent does social entrepreneurship influence employment generation in
70 Nigeria?
- 71 ii. To what extent does social entrepreneurship influence poverty alleviation in Nigeria?

72 **Concept of Social Entrepreneurship**

73 The concept of social entrepreneurship has been widely used in different forms. The
74 establishment of Grameen Bank by Muhammad Yunus, Ashoka: The Innovators for the Public
75 by Bill Drayton, Youth United by Jyotindra Nath, Rand De by Ramakrishna and Smita Ram,
76 SKS Microfinance by Vikram Akula and Roozi.com by Nick Reder, Brent Freeman and Norma
77 La Rosa has popularized the term. Social entrepreneurship looks to be new concept but it is old
78 as a phenomenon. Many scholars have referred social entrepreneurship differently as it is
79 evolving and popular in different parts of the world [4]. According to Martin and Osberg [5], a
80 definition of social entrepreneurship should start with entrepreneurship, the term social is only
81 marking the kind of entrepreneurship. Dees [6] supported this view. Theory building of social
82 entrepreneurship is based on entrepreneurship research. Bornstein and Davis [7] defined social
83 entrepreneurship as a process by which citizens build or transform institutions to advance
84 solutions to social problems, such as poverty, illness, illiteracy, environmental destruction,

85 human rights abuses and corruption, in order to make life better for many. Harding [8] also
86 defined social entrepreneurship as an attempt by a social entrepreneur to use a microeconomic
87 solution for a macroeconomic problem that is structural transformation of an economy. Robinson
88 [9] saw social entrepreneurship as a process, which includes phases like, identification of a
89 particular social problem and a solution to address it, the evaluation of the social impact realized,
90 the sustainable model of the business that generate social-objective directed for-profit or a
91 business-directed nonprofit entity that addresses the double (social & economic) or triple bottom
92 line (social, economic & environmental). The European Commission [3] argued that social
93 entrepreneurship is a broad tendency charge of social needs on the part of all of these different
94 actors across the public and private sectors usually goes under the term social entrepreneurship.

95 **Concept of Poverty**

96 Oladunni [10] simplifies the concept of poverty to mean a situation of not having enough to eat,
97 poor drinking water, poor sanitation, poor nutrition, unfit shelter, high infant mortality rate, poor
98 environmental condition, low educational opportunities, lack of productive health care, lack of
99 active participation in the decision making process as it affects the individual or society. Aliyu
100 [11] defined poverty as the condition in which a person is unable to meet minimum basic
101 requirements of food, health, housing, education and clothing. World Bank [12] also defined
102 poverty as the inability of an individual or a sector of a society to unable to meet basic needs
103 requirements'- (physical: food, healthcare, education, shelter e.t.c and non physical: participation,
104 identity e.t.c) for a meaningful life. Alagbe [13] further states that the incidence of poverty is
105 highly concentrated among people with the following characteristics: Poor material possession,
106 low education, unstable employment/unemployment low status jobs, low unsuitable income,
107 poor housing conditions, large families, and absence of savings. According to Chen and

108 Ravallion [14], poverty also relates, however, to other deprivations such as poor health, lack of
109 education, vulnerability, exposure to risk and powerlessness. Poverty alleviation therefore, refers
110 to efforts aimed at reducing the magnitude of poverty defined in terms of the proportion of the
111 population living below the poverty line [15]. According to Bornstein and Davis [7], poverty
112 reduction is often used as a short-hand for promoting economic growth that will permanently lift
113 as many people as possible over a poverty line.

114 **Brief History of Nigeria Association of Social Workers**

115 Nigeria Association of Social workers (NASOW) was established in 1975 and is properly
116 registered as a corporate body with the Corporate Affairs Commission of Nigeria,. The National
117 body is an affiliate of the International Federation of social Workers (IFSW). Social Work is a
118 profession that is change and action oriented. It is a skilled and paid job that has the objective of
119 assisting individuals, groups, families, communities and societies to solve their problems. In
120 doing this, social workers work as enablers, counsellors, advocates and resource mobilizers.
121 They are also multi disciplinary team players as they work with, teachers, other Health
122 Professionals, Law enforcement professionals and lawyers to help a service user from a state of
123 disfunctionality to functionality.

124 The main objectives of the association is to provide a forum where professionals working
125 with government and non-governmental organizations working in areas directly concerned with
126 social welfare issues come together to device means of promoting the quality of social welfare
127 service delivery. There service users are, children in need of care and protection, the physically
128 and mentally challenges, youths, the aged, families, communities, refugees and internationally
129 displaced persons, traumatised individual, the socially and economically excluded, etc.
130 Members can be found in, Social Welfare offices in all the six Area Councils and Social

131 Development Secretariat, NGOs and international aid agencies; others are Nigeria Social
132 Insurance Trust Fund (NSITF), FCT Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), Social
133 Development Secretariat, National Human Right Commission, Orphanages, National Agency for
134 the Prohibition of Human Trafficking (NAPTIP), All General Hospitals and Community Health
135 Centre.

136 **Empirical Review**

137 There many studies which have been carried out on this areas and are closely related, relevant
138 and serves as guide to this current study which were reviewed as follows:

139 Mohammed and Ndulue [1] evaluated the impact of social entrepreneurship on poverty
140 reduction, a study of wecyclers social entrepreneurship Ltd (WCSEL), Lagos State. Data was
141 mainly collected from secondary sources and ordinary least square regression through the help of
142 Eview statistical package was used to analyze the data collected. The research findings revealed
143 that there is a positive significant relationship between social entrepreneurship and poverty
144 reduction. Study conducted by Ogundele, [16] also found that social entrepreneurship has a
145 significant effect on poverty alleviation, Chinonye and Maxwell [17] also investigated the role of
146 social entrepreneurship as an effective tool against poverty reduction towards the initialization of
147 sustainable development in an economy. A structured questionnaire was employed to collect data
148 from 300 staff of a social enterprise (ASAFE) in Cameroon. Ordinary least square regression
149 was used to analyze the data collected. The result revealed that there is a positive significant
150 relationship between social entrepreneurship and poverty reduction. Jan [18] also examined the
151 relationship between social entrepreneurship and poverty reduction. The survey design was used
152 in the study. Primary data were sourced via structured questionnaire from 300 respondents. The

153 results revealed that there is positive relationship between social entrepreneurship and poverty
154 reduction.

155 However, Richard [19] evaluated the impact of social entrepreneurship on poverty
156 reduction in Istanbul, Turkey. A structured questionnaire was used to collect data from 7000
157 social entrepreneurs in Turkey. Both descriptive and inferential statistics were employed to
158 analyze the data. The results revealed that there is no positive significant relationship between
159 social entrepreneurship and poverty reduction.

160 Based on these above conflicting and inconclusive results, it is therefore hypothesized
161 that:

162 **H₀₁:** Social entrepreneurship has no influence on employment generation in Nigeria.

163 **H₀₂:** Social entrepreneurship has influence on poverty alleviation in Nigeria.

164 **Conceptual Framework for the Study**

165 After the careful study of literature review, the following conceptual model is formulated to
166 illustrate the influence of social entrepreneurship on employment generation and poverty
167 alleviation in Nigeria.

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185


186

187

188

189

190



191

Figure 1: Conceptual Model

192

Source: Author's Computation

193

194

195

196

197

198

Figure 1 presents a summary diagram of the proposed causal model for the prediction of social entrepreneurship from the concept: employment generation and poverty alleviation. The model specifies the role of social entrepreneurship as a predictor of employment generation and poverty alleviation that lead to sustainable economic development. The proposed conceptual framework is presented and the hypothesis regarding the relationships between the variables are specified.

199
200
201
202
203
204
205

Methodology

206 Descriptive survey was employed for the study. A descriptive survey method is a kind of
207 research where participants answer the set of questions. The responses are then studied and
208 explained. Purposive sampling technique was used to select 150 members of the Nigeria
209 Association of Social Workers, Federal Capital Territory (FCT) Abuja Chapter. The Nigeria
210 Association of Social Workers is presently working for effective social welfare service delivery
211 to its numerous service users resident in the federal capital territory Abuja and its environs. Data
212 were sourced through structured closed ended questionnaire designed by the researcher for the
213 study with the aid of personal interview. The reliability coefficients (Cronbach's) of social
214 entrepreneurship scale was 0.81, employment generation scale was 0.79 while the poverty
215 alleviation scale yielded reliability alpha of .78. In the present study, to test the validity of the
216 scale, the scale was subjected to face validity. The scale was administered to five experts and got
217 their expertise on the validity of the items in the scales. Data were analysed with the aid of mean,
218 standard deviation, Pearson correlation and Linear regression.

Data Analysis, Results and Discussion

220 Table 1: **Correlations Analysis showing the relationship between the variables**

	Mean	SD	1	2	3
1. Social Entrepreneurship	4.4600	.60899	1	-	-
2. Employment Generation	4.4933	.56458	.682**	1	
3. Poverty Alleviation	4.5000	.59922	.469*	.436*	1

221 **. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

222 Table 1 depicts that employment generation ($r = 0.682^{**}$) and poverty alleviation ($r =$
 223 0.469^{**}) have a significant association with social entrepreneurship. This implies that social
 224 entrepreneurship measured by social problem solving, social value, social responsibility and
 225 human teamwork has a significant relationship with employment generation and poverty
 226 alleviation. The study concurred with Chinonye and Maxwell [17] and Mohammed and Ndulue
 227 [1] findings, that there is a positive significant relationship between social entrepreneurship,
 228 employment generation and poverty reduction. In another study, Jan [18] examined the
 229 relationship between social entrepreneurship and poverty reduction. The results revealed that
 230 there is positive relationship between social entrepreneurship and poverty reduction.

231 **Influence of social Entrepreneurship on employment generation.**
 232

Table2 (a) Results of Regression Analysis

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin – Watson
1	.682 ^a	.466	.462	.41408	1.567

a. Predictors: (Constant), Social Entrepreneurship

233

Table 2(b) ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	22.117	1	22.117	128.994	.000 ^b
	Residual	25.376	148	.171		
	Total	47.493	149			

a. Dependent Variable: Employment Generation

b. Predictors: (Constant), Social Entrepreneurship

Table 2 (c) Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	T	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	1.672	.251		6.668	.000

Social Entrepreneurship	.633	.056	.682	11.358	.000
-------------------------	------	------	------	--------	------

a. Dependent Variable: Employment Generation

234
 235 Table 2 a, b, c, show that social entrepreneurship has a significant impact on employment
 236 generation ($F(1, 148) = 128.994$; $R^2 = 0.466$; $P < .01$). The predictor variable independently
 237 explained 46.6% of the variance of employment generation, indicating that social
 238 entrepreneurship contributes 46.6% to employment generation. The estimated Durbin - Watson
 239 value of 1.567 clears any doubts as to the existence of positive first order serial correlation in the
 240 estimated model. The model was constructed to test the null hypothesis that social
 241 entrepreneurship has no significant influence on employment generation. The F-statistic of
 242 128.994 indicates that the overall regression plane is statistically significant. Therefore, null
 243 hypothesis is rejected while alternative hypothesis is accepted.

244
 245 **Influence of social Entrepreneurship on poverty alleviation.**
 246

Table 3 (a) Results of Regression Analysis

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin - Watson
1	.469 ^a	.220	.215	.53102	1.378

a. Predictors: (Constant), Social Entrepreneurship

Table 3 (b) NOVA^a

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1 Regression	11.767	1	11.767	41.730	.000 ^b
1 Residual	41.733	148	.282		
Total	53.500	149			

a. Dependent Variable: Poverty Alleviation

b. Predictors: (Constant), Social Entrepreneurship

Table 3 (c) Coefficients^a

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	T	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
1 (Constant)	2.442	.322		7.595	.000
1 Social Entrepreneurship	.461	.071	.469	6.460	.000

a. Dependent Variable: Poverty Alleviation

248

249 Table 3 a, b, c, show that social entrepreneurship has a significant influence on poverty
250 alleviation ($F(1, 148) = 41.730$; $R^2 = 0.220$; $P < .01$). The predictor variable independently
251 explained 22% of the variance of poverty alleviation, indicating that social entrepreneurship
252 contributes 22% to poverty alleviation. The estimated Durbin - Watson value of 1.378 clears any
253 doubts as to the existence of positive first order serial correlation in the estimated model. The
254 model was constructed to test the null hypothesis that social entrepreneurship has no significant
255 influence on poverty alleviation. The F-statistic of 41.730 indicates that the overall regression
256 plane is statistically significant. Therefore, null hypothesis is rejected while alternative
257 hypothesis is accepted.

258 **Conclusion and Recommendations**

259

260 This study examined the influence of social entrepreneurship on employment generation and
261 poverty alleviation in Nigeria with specific reference to Nigeria Association of Social Workers,
262 Federal Capital Tertiary (FCT) Abuja Chapter. The study established that social entrepreneurship
263 measured by social problem solving, social value, social responsibility and human teamwork has
264 a significant relationship with employment generation and poverty alleviation. The findings
265 concurred with previous studies that significant and positive relationship exist between social

266 entrepreneurship, employment generation and poverty alleviation. The study therefore concluded
267 that social entrepreneurship is driving force of social and environmental goals that have an
268 impact on sustainable economic development.

269 Subsequently, the study recommended that government at all levels, private organizations
270 and non- government organizations should embrace the concept of social entrepreneurship and
271 address the issues such as insecurity, acute unemployment, and abject poverty ravaging our
272 society. More also, the concept of social entrepreneurship should be included as a separate
273 branch of management courses in all tertiary institutions of learning.

274 275 **References**

- 276 1. Mohammed, U.D and Ndulue, E.T. (2017). Impact of Social Entrepreneurship on
277 Poverty Reduction in Nigeria: a Study of Wecyclers Social Entrepreneurship Ltd.
278 *International Journal of Development Strategies in Humanities, Management and Social*
279 *Sciences / IJDSHMSS*. 7(3); 63-74.
- 280 2. Moses, C.L., Olokundun, M.A., Akinbode, M., Agboola, M. & Inelo, F. (2016).
281 Entrepreneurship Education and Entrepreneurial Intentions: The Moderating Role of
282 Passion. *The Social Sciences*, **11**: 645-653.C
- 283 3. European Commission. (2015). A Map of Social Enterprises and Their Eco-systems in
284 Europe
- 285 4. Nicholls, A. (2010). The legitimacy of social entrepreneurship: Reflexive isomorphism
286 in a pre-paradigmatic field. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, *34*,
287 612-633.
- 288 5. Martin, Roger L.; Osberg, Sally (2007): Social Entrepreneurship: The Case for
289 Definition. In *Stanford Social Innovation Review*, pp. 29–39.
- 290 6. Dees, J. G. (1998) The Meaning of ‘Social Entrepreneurship.’ Comments and suggestions
291 contributed from the Social Entrepreneurship Funders Working Group, 6pp.
- 292 7. Bornstein, D and Davis, S (2010). Social Entrepreneurship: What Everyone Needs to
293 Know Teaching Notes. Ashoka website, Accessed on 25.02.2014 [http://ashokau.org/wp-](http://ashokau.org/wp-content/uploads/2010/12/Social-Entrepreneurship-What-Everyone-Needs-to-Know-Teaching-notes-final.pdf)
294 [content/uploads/2010/12/Social-Entrepreneurship-What-Everyone-Needs-to-Know-](http://ashokau.org/wp-content/uploads/2010/12/Social-Entrepreneurship-What-Everyone-Needs-to-Know-Teaching-notes-final.pdf)
295 [Teaching-notes-final.pdf](http://ashokau.org/wp-content/uploads/2010/12/Social-Entrepreneurship-What-Everyone-Needs-to-Know-Teaching-notes-final.pdf)
- 296 8. Harding, R. (2004) ‘Social Enterprise: The New Economic Engine?’, *Business and*
297 *Strategy Review*, 15 (4), pp. 39-43.
- 298 9. Robinson, J. (2006) ‘Navigating Social and Institutional Barriers to Markets: How Social
299 Entrepreneurs Identify and Evaluate Opportunities, in Mair, J., Robinson, J., & Hockerts,
300 K. (ed.) *Social Entrepreneurship*. Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- 301 10. Oladunni, E. B. J. (1999). The Dimensions of Poverty in Nigeria. Spatial, Sectoral,
302 Gender, CBN Bullion, 23(44)
- 303

- 304 11. Aliyu, B. (2011). Boko Haram syndrome: The way forward. *Daily Trust*, October 5.
- 305 12. World Bank (2013), Nigeria: Employment and Growth Study, Washington DC,
- 306 November
- 307 2013.
- 308 13. Alagbe, A. (2004). The Role of Marketing in Poverty Alleviation in Nigerian. *Journal of*
- 309 *Business and Management*. 2(2), 215,229.
- 310 14. Chen, S & Ravallion.M (2008). "The developing world is poorer than we thought, but no
- 311 less successful in the fight against poverty," *World Bank Development Research Group*.
- 312 *Washington, DC*.
- 313 15. Singer, A.E (2006). "Business strategy and poverty alleviation," *Journal of Business*
- 314 *Ethics*, vol. 66, pp. 225-231, 2006.
- 315 16. Ogundele, O. K. (2005). *Determinant of entrepreneurship emergence, behavior and*
- 316 *Performance inNigeria*. Unpublished Doctorial Dissertation; Nigeria: University of
- 317 Lagos.
- 318 17. Chinonye, L. M. & Maxwell, A.O. (2014). *Social entrepreneurship: an effective tool for*
- 319 *meeting poverty reduction and sustainable development*. Pp 10
- 320 18. Jan, C. M. (2012). *Measuring social value creation: A quantitative study among social*
- 321 *entrepreneurs*, 3(2).
- 322 19. Richard, E. E. (2012). Impact of social entrepreneurship on poverty reduction. *Social*
- 323 *Responsibility Journal*, 9 (2), 188-209
- 324