

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

MARKER-ASSISTED SELECTION IN FISH: A REVIEW

ABSTRACT

The important economical traits like body growth, resistance to diseases, meat quality, etc. highly influence the profitability of food animals including fishes. The main target of every selective breeding programme is to produce improved offsprings for these traits. However, improvement of performance traits through traditional phenotype-based selection needs several generations to optimise these characters. Marker-Assisted Selection (MAS) is a type of indirect method of selection of better performing breeding individuals. MAS is beneficial when the traits are difficult, expensive to measure and has both low heritability and recessive traits. MAS facilitates the exploitation of existing genetic diversity in breeding populations and can be used to improve desirable traits in livestock. MAS depends on identifying the link between a genetic marker and Quantitative Traits Loci (QTL). The distance between marker and target traits determines the association of the marker with the QTL. After identifying the markers linked to QTL, they can be used in the selective breeding programme to select the brooders having better genetic potential for the targeted trait. Improvement of performance traits through MAS is fast and more accurate and allows us to understand the genetic mechanism affecting performance traits.

25

Keywords: Marker-Assisted Selection, Quantitative traits loci, genetic diversity, trait

27

28

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Marker-Assisted Selection (MAS) is a type of biotechnology that uses molecular genetic markers as a criterion for selecting desired traits (Ashraf, 2012). Marker Assisted Selection (MAS) is an indirect selection process where a trait of interest is selected not based on the trait itself but on a marker linked to it (Ribaut and Ragot 2007).

33

34 MAS is considered a “revolutionary” approach to traditional tree breeding as it allows
35 breeders to select individuals based on their genotypes, rather than being restricted to
36 phenotypic characteristics (Boopathi *et al.*, 2013).

37 Sax (1923) was the first to show how genetic factors influencing quantitative traits can be
38 identified using markers.

39 Recently MAS became a very popular method of indirect selection for production of
40 the genetically improved offspring's in aquaculture breeding programme. Most of the
41 performance traits including growth or disease resistance are controlled by multiple genes
42 and are therefore inherited as quantitative traits, analysis of their associated quantitative trait
43 loci (QTL) is an essential part of aquaculture genomics (Liu and Cordes, 2004). QTLs are
44 largely unknown genes that affect performance traits (such as growth rate and disease
45 resistance) and these are important to breeders.

46 MAS in a breeding context involves scoring indirectly for the presence or absence of
47 a desired phenotype or phenotypic component based on the sequences or banding patterns of
48 molecular markers located in or near the genes controlling the phenotype. The sequence
49 polymorphism or banding pattern of the molecular marker is indicative of the presence or
50 absence of a specific gene or chromosomal segment that is known to carry a desired allele
51 (Brumlop and Finckh, 2011).

52 Marker-assisted selection method (MAS) or genome-wide marker-assisted selection
53 method (G-MAS) was not widely used in aquaculture, but nowadays its use is increasing due
54 to its ease of use and quicker than traditional phenotype-based selection. Now it becomes a
55 fertile field of research for the aquaculture researchers to discover novel genetic marker that
56 can be used to link with the QTLs in selective breeding programmes (Hauser *et al.*, 2011;
57 Dichmont *et al.*, 2012; Abdul-Muneer, 2014).

58 In order to manage individual species effectively, identification of different species
59 from a mixed catch becomes important. DNA markers are widely being accepted not only to
60 obtain information about gene flow and allele frequencies in aquaculture practices but also to
61 identify hybrids. The majority of the markers, which are used in inter- and intra-specific
62 disparity, include Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) for species and sub-species
63 identification done in tilapia (Bardakci and Skibinski, 1994), and iso-enzyme used in
64 intraspecific variations in Sparidae species (Alarcón and Alvarez, 1999). Similarly, Nijman *et*
65 *al.*, (2003) reported the use of mtDNA markers as an important tool in rapid detection of
66 hybridization between species and subspecies of livestock.

67 Markers tend not to have any biological effect, but rather can be thought of as notable and
68 constant points of reference within the genome (Guimaraes, *et al.*, 2007). Markers can be
69 found within the desired gene or, more commonly, linked to a gene determining a trait of
70 interest (Brumlop and Finckh, 2011; Guimaraes *et al.*, 2007). Unlike genetic engineering,
71 MAS does not alter the original DNA (Vogel and Van Aken, 2009); instead it uses genetic
72 marker to identify naturally-occurring genetic variations among individuals, with the intent of
73 selecting those with the best potential to meet desired criteria and objectives.

74 Marker Assisted Selection (MAS) provides several other benefits to breeders, in that
75 it can select for genes that demonstrate low heritability, have recessive alleles, and are
76 difficult, expensive, or time exhaustive to determine phenotypically (Boopathi, 2013a;
77 Brumlop and Finckh, 2011; Xu and Crouch, 2008). MAS also allows for gene pyramiding or
78 combining multiple genes within the same breeding line, while having fewer unintentional
79 losses and fewer selection cycles (Boopathi, 2013a; Xu and Crouch, 2008).
80 Furthermore, MAS may be viewed by the public with more support than genetic engineering
81 as breeders are not manually manipulating the genes, and thus all offspring inheritance occurs
82 naturally (Vogel and Van Aken, 2009). It is also believed that genetic markers may be
83 important in the assessment, conservation and use of diversity in germplasm and varieties
84 (Brumlop and Finckh, 2011).

85 Molecular marker maps have been constructed for a number of aquaculture species,
86 e.g. tilapia, *Clarias*, giant tiger prawn, kuruma prawn, Japanese flounder and Atlantic salmon,
87 although their density is generally low (Nichols *et al.*, 2003). As many preferred traits are not
88 observed until maturity, MAS eliminates this waiting period by allowing for the early
89 selection of desired genotypes at the seedling stage (Yanchuk *et al.*, 2002).

90 The desirable phenotypic variations in the performance traits of fishes are used to
91 increase the aquacultural yield, improve incomes of farmers and enhances food security
92 through selective breeding by choosing better-performed individuals. However, phenotype-
93 based selection needed considerable time to optimise the traits, so researchers are now
94 moving from phenotype based selection to genotype-based selection. The absence of a
95 molecular marker is the main limiting factor for the realization of genotype based selection
96 potentials in fishes. However, with the advent of DNA-based genetic markers in the late
97 1970s and now the ease of the marker discovery through the next generation sequencing
98 allowed researchers to identify large numbers of markers spreads throughout the genome of
99 any species of interest. The markers are used to detect linkage with the traits of interest, thus

100 allowing MAS finally to become a reality (Peterson *et al.*, 1990). This paper aims to provide
101 information regarding the technical aspect of MAS and the current application in fisheries
102 and Aquaculture in other to increase high quality production within a period of time.

103 **2.0 Marker Assisted Selection**

104 Incorporation of marker information into breeding programs in aiding identification
105 and selection of superior individuals has been widely studied (Bernardo, 1994; Han *et al.*,
106 1997; Xie and Xu, 1998; Romagosa *et al.*, 1999; Ayoub *et al.*, 2003; Jordan *et al.*, 2003).

107 Molecular markers in aquaculture and fisheries have been used for over 50 years
108 (Ryman and Utter, 1987; Liu and Cordes, 2004) and their use has steadily increased over the
109 last two decades (Park and Moran, 1994; Chauhan and Rajiv, 2010; Dichmont *et al.*, 2012;
110 Abdul-Muneer, 2014).

111 An important factor in MAS is the accuracy of estimating the genetic effects related to
112 the trait of interest. In contrast to genetic engineering (GE), MAS does not alter the original
113 DNA. Rather, it identifies whether the desired trait(s) are being expressed, so that individuals
114 with the best potential can be selected (Andersson, 2001).

115 Molecular marker analysis allows the identification of genome segments, so called
116 Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL), contributing to the genetic variance of a quantitative trait and
117 thus to select superior genotypes as these loci (Cannai *et al.*, 2003). Allelic variation in
118 genetic markers can be linked to the variation in traits of economic interest, and thus the
119 marker provides DNA level information on the inheritance of the traits.

120 The practical use of markers in selection can be roughly divided into three classes:

- 121 1) Removing genetic disorders,
- 122 2) Marker breeding value-selection, and
- 123 3) Genomic selection.

124 **2.4 MAS versus Phenotypic Selection**

125 Marker-Assisted Selection (MAS) will probably never replace Phenotypic Selection
126 (PS) entirely. There is no general pattern by which it can be predicted whether MAS or PS
127 will be more useful. Empirical comparisons of MAS and PS for increasing gain from
128 selection have been made in several studies. The outcomes of these studies are conflicting. In
129 some studies MAS is reported to be more effective/efficient than PS (Yousef and Juvik 2001;
130 Abalo *et al.*, 2009) while other studies considered the two methods equal (Van Berloo and
131 Stam 1999; Willcox *et al.*, 2002; Hoeck *et al.*, 2003; Moreau *et al.*, 2004). In a third group of
132 studies PS proved to be more effective/efficient than MAS (Davies *et al.*, 2006; Wilde *et al.*,

133 2007) and in other comparisons the effectiveness/efficiency of MAS and PS varied within the
134 same study, depending on the populations or on the trait selected for (e.g. FlintGarcia *et al.*,
135 2003b; Robbins and Staub 2009).

136 **2.5 Limitations of MAS**

- 137 • Cost
- 138 • Requirement of technical skill
- 139 • Automated techniques for maximum benefit

140 **2.6 Advantages of MAS**

141 In addition to the cost and time savings described above, for a number of breeding
142 scenarios, MAS methods are likely to offer significant advantages compared with
143 conventional selection methods. These scenarios assume the availability of markers for
144 multiple traits and take into consideration the advantages of MAS under optimum situations
145 (Dreher *et al.*, 2002; Dudley, 1993).

- 146 1. Gene stacking for a single trait: MAS offers potential savings compared with
147 conventional selection when it allows breeders to identify the presence of multiple
148 genes/alleles related to a single trait, and the alleles do not exert individually
149 detectable effects on the expression of the trait.
- 150 2. Early detection: MAS offers potential savings compared with conventional selection
151 when it allows alleles for desirable traits to be detected early, well before the trait is
152 expressed and can be detected phenotypically. This benefit can be particularly
153 important in species that grow slowly.
- 154 3. Heritability of traits: Up to a point, gains from MAS increase with decreasing
155 heritability. However, due to the difficulties encountered in QTL detection, the gains
156 are likely to decline beyond a certain threshold heritability estimate.

157 **2.7 Disadvantages of MAS**

158 Perhaps the greatest disadvantage of MAS is the time and financial investment
159 required
160 to develop markers that are widely applicable for traits of agronomic importance.
161 Often a marker developed in one or a few related genotypes will not work for
162 other genotypes in a breeding scheme due to allelic effects. Furthermore, development of
163 markers, particularly for QTL, is complicated by epistatic interactions and the critical need
164 for good quality phenotypic data.

165 **2.8 Quantitative Trait Loci**

166 In fish, several QTL studies have been published; in salmonids (Jackson *et al.*, 1998;
167 Johansen 1999; Robinson *et al.*, 1999; Sakomoto *et al.*, 1999; Marfyaniuk 2001, Ozaki *et al.*,
168 2001 Somorger 2001. Tao and Baiding 2003), in catfish (Liu *et al.*, 2003), in tilapia (Cnaani
169 *et al.*, 2003) and in silver barb (Hussain *et al.*, 2002).

170 Marker Assisted Selection (MAS) is followed by two steps, detection of molecular markers
171 associated with quantitative trait locus (QTL) and application of those markers.

172 The position of the chromosome that controls the economical important trait is termed as
173 QTL.

174 The concepts for detecting QTL were developed more than 90 years ago (Sax, 1923). In
175 aquaculture species, much effort has been applied for QTL mapping. QTLs are mapped by
176 linkage disequilibrium with molecular markers exhibiting Mendelian segregation.
177 Economically important traits are controlled by the single or group of gene.

178 The basic concept of QTL studies is to know the number and location of loci
179 associated with phenotypic traits (Mackay, 2001; Mauricio, 2001; Burt and Hocking, 2002;
180 Erickson *et al.*, 2004). Thus, candidate gene or molecular markers, resulted by QTL mapping,
181 could be used in MAS (Groenen *et al.*, 2000). QTL detection is an ongoing effort in
182 aquaculture species. More than 37 important traits have been located in about 20 aquaculture
183 species.

184 QTL mapping is the practical application of marker-assisted selection in aquaculture
185 (Al-Samarai, 2015). With rapid advancement of molecular technology, it is now possible to
186 use molecular marker information to map major quantitative trait loci (QTLs) on
187 chromosomes (Paterson *et al.*, 1988,1991; Hilbert *et al.*, 1991; Jacob *et al.*, 1991; Stuber *et al.*,
188 1992). Once QTL for a trait are identified, individuals can be selected for breeding on the
189 basis of marker alleles that segregate with favourable phenotypes (Lande and Thompson,
190 1990). This strategy, known as marker-assisted selection (MAS), is particularly useful for
191 traits that cannot be measured on selection candidates directly, notably disease resistance or
192 meat quality traits (Sonesson, 2007a).

193 **2.8.1 QTL Detection in Fish**

194 A number of genetic maps have been developed specifically to locate QTL in several
195 fish species. The first of such map was produced in Zebrafish insert scientific name (Postleth
196 wairt *et al.*, 1994; Shimoda *et al.*, 1999), which is a non-aquacultural species. Among
197 cultivable fish groups low-density maps have been developed for salmonids (Sakamoto *et al.*,
198 2000; Ghabi 2001) for catfish (Liu *et al.*, 2003; Poompuang and Na-Nakorn 2004) for tilapia

199 (Kocher *et al.*, 1998; Cnaani *et al.*, 2003), for Japanese flounder (Sanchez *et al.*, 2003), for
 200 red sea beam (Sakamoto *et al.*, 2003), for Oyster (Yu and Geso 2003), and for shrimp
 201 ([Http://shrimppmap.tag.csiro.au](http://shrimppmap.tag.csiro.au)).

202 2.8.3 QTL Mapping in Fish

203 Although in fish several studies have confirmed the existence of significant genetic
 204 variation for quantitative traits at commercial importance (Kause *et al.*, 2003) and have
 205 recognized the potential of MAS for their genetic improvement (Flint and Mott, 2001). Thus
 206 far, very few QTL for production traits have been identified in fish (Sonesson, 2003). Much
 207 effort is devoted to QTL mapping for growth, feed conversion efficiencies, disease resistance,
 208 fecundity, and spawning time (Dunham *et al.*, 2001).

209 Several QTL studies have been published in rainbow trout for temperature tolerance
 210 (Jackson *et al.*, 1998). Danzmann *et al.*, 1998, Perry 2001), spawning time (Sakamoto *et al.*,
 211 1999; fish back *et al.* 2000, O' Malley 2001); growth (Martynicik 2001), disease resistance
 212 (Ozaki *et al.*, 2001), and fitness traits (Somorjai 2001). Other notable QTL studies published
 213 in aquacultural fish species include: in tilapia for temperature and salinity tolerance
 214 (Streadman and Kocher 2002; Cnaan *et al.*, 2003), in catfish for feed conversion efficiency
 215 and bacterial septicaemia resistance (Liu 2003), in guppy for growth (Nakajima and
 216 Taniguchi 2002), in Atlantic salmon for infectious anemia resistance (Moen *et al.*, 2003 and
 217 in Arctic Charr for growth rates and fitness traits (Johansen 1999, Somorjai 2001).

218 In salmonids, QTL have been found related to body weight and size (Martyniuk *et al.*,
 219 2003; O'Malley *et al.*, 2003; Reid *et al.*, 2005), for colouration pattern (Streelman, Albertson
 220 and Kocher, 2003) and for one form of albinism (Nakamura *et al.*, 2001). Zimmerman *et al.*,
 221 (2005) found QTL for pyloric caeca number, a trait related to feed conversion efficiency.

222 **Table 1: QTL studies in selected aquaculture species**

Species	Traits	Reference
Arctic charr	Body weight and sexual maturation; Salinity tolerance	Küttner <i>et al.</i> , 2011
Asian seabass	Resistance against viral nervous necrosis disease Growth-related trait Omega-3 fatty acids	Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Xia <i>et al.</i> , 2014

Atlantic salmo	Growth traits and flesh colour Resistance against IPN Late sexual maturation	Baranski <i>et al.</i> , 2010; Tsai <i>et al.</i> , 2014; Moen <i>et al.</i> , 2009 ; Houston <i>et al.</i> , 2008 ; 2010 Gutierrez <i>et al.</i> , 2014
Catfish	Columnaris disease resistance ESC disease resistance Hypoxia tolerance Heat stress Head size	Geng <i>et al.</i> , 2015 Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2013; Zhou <i>et al.</i> , 2017 Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2016; Jin <i>et al.</i> , 2016 Geng <i>et al.</i> , 2016
Common carp	Common carp Morphometric traits Swimming ability	Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2011 Boulton <i>et al.</i> , 2011 Laghari <i>et al.</i> , 2014
Eastern oyster	Disease resistance	Yu and Guo, 2006
European seabass	Growth, body weight Morphometric traits and stress Response	Louro <i>et al.</i> , 2016 Massault <i>et al.</i> , 2010
Pacific white shrimp	Growth parameters	Andriantahina <i>et al.</i> , 2013
Giant tiger prawn	Disease resistance and sex determination	Robinson <i>et al.</i> , 2014
Japanese flounder	Vibrio anguillarum resistance	Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2014
Pacific oyster	Growth Resistance against summer mortality Viability	Guo <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Sauvage <i>et al.</i> , 2010 Plough and Hedgecock, 2011 Plough <i>et al.</i> , 2016
Gilthead seabream	Skeletal deformities Sex determination and body growth	Negrín-Báez <i>et al.</i> , 2015 Loukovitis <i>et al.</i> , 2011 Massault <i>et al.</i> , 2011
Rainbow trout	Growth related traits	Kocmarek <i>et al.</i> , 2015; Wringe <i>et al.</i> , 2010; Leder <i>et al.</i> , 2006.

Tilapia	Growth traits Sex	Liu <i>et al.</i> , 2014; Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2015 Palaikostas <i>et al.</i> , 2015
---------	----------------------	--

223

224 2.8.4 QTL analysis

225 1. QTL for growth traits

226 Growth is one of the most important economic traits of all aquaculture species. Up to
 227 2012, QTL analyses have been conducted in more than 20 aquatic species (Yue *et al.*, 2014),
 228 and growth was the most popular trait studied. Wang *et al.*, (2006) used 380
 229 F1 Asian seabass to identify five major QTLs and 27 potential QTLs. Of them, three major
 230 QTLs for body weight, length, and body length were located at a similar linkage group 2
 231 (LG2) position with the nearby Lca287 microsatellite and accounted for 28.8%, 58.9%, and
 232 59.7% of
 233 the phenotypic variations. The other two major QTLs for body weight were located at another
 234 LG2 position. These five major QTLs have been confirmed in two other Asian
 235 seabass populations (Wang *et al.*, 2008). Further QTL fine mapping of the Asian seabass
 236 growth trait identified three candidate “growth genes” (CATHEPSIN D, KCTD15, and
 237 CSMD2) affecting body weight, body length, and total length (Wang *et al.*, 2011). The
 238 function of the *cathepsin D* gene in humans involves cell proliferation and cell growth;
 239 therefore, *cathepsin D* may also be a major “growth gene” in Asian seabass. O’Malley *et al.*,
 240 (O’Maller *et al.*, 2008) identified QTLs for body weight in rainbow trout on 10 different LGs.

241 Wringe *et al.*, (2010) used additional backcrossed families and SSR markers to
 242 confirm the O’Malley *et al.*,’s results and found several major candidate growth genes (e.g.,
 243 *GH2* and *Pax7*). Reid *et al.*, 2004 identified a QTL for body weight in two LGs (AS8 and 11)
 244 of Atlantic salmon, and reported that it was homologous to the growth QTL in rainbow trout.
 245 Houston *et al.*, (2009) identified QTLs for body weight in Linkage group 1 (LG1) and LG5 of
 246 Atlantic salmon. Gutierrez *et al.*, (2012) further used a 6.5 K Single Nucleotide
 247 Polymorphisms (SNP) chip to identify QTLs in six LGs at the genomic level. Cnaani *et al.*,
 248 (2004) identified a QTL for tilapia growth on LG23, which is the linkage group with the
 249 genetic sex-determining region. Song *et al.* (2012) used 1487 SSRs to produce a high-density
 250 genetic linkage map and successfully identified a QTL affecting body weight in LG14 of
 251 Japanese flounder.

252 Some reports have used a candidate gene approach to identify growth-related genes
 253 and molecular markers in fish. Tao and Boulding (2003) found polymorphisms in the growth

254 hormone gene (*GH*) that were significantly associated with growth rate of Arctic charr
255 (*Salvelinus alpinus*). Li *et al.*, (2009) reported an SNP in the insulin-like growth factor-
256 (IGF)1
257 gene 5' untranslated region (UTR) of largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*). Sun *et al.*,
258 (2012) reported that two SNPs in exon 3 of the myostatin (*MSTN*) gene were significantly
259 related to body weight and Fulton's factor in common carp. Liu *et al.* (2012) also found that a
260 SNP in the *MSTN* 3' UTR was very significantly associated with total length, body length,
261 and body weight of bighead carp.

262 **2. QTL for feed conversion rate**

263 Food Conversion Ratio (FCR) is one of the most important economic traits in fish, as
264 fish with a better FCR increase profits.

265 Liu (2005) used Amplified Fragment Length polymorphisms (AFLP) markers to
266 construct a catfish genetic map and found a QTL associated with FCR. Zimmerman *et al.*,
267 (2005) revealed three QTLs for the number of pyloric caeca in three LGs of rainbow trout,
268 and this is an important index associated with FCR.

269 Food Conversion Ratio (FCR) studies have also been reported in common carp from
270 the Heilongjiang Fisheries Research Institute of the Chinese Academy of Fishery Sciences
271 (Wang, 2012).

272 **3. QTL for sex determination**

273 Sex phenotype and sex determination in fish have specific evolutionary status and
274 diversity. Males and females of some species have significant differences in growth rate or
275 commercial value; therefore, monosex fish culture is a promising strategy. The sex-
276 determining (SD) loci and QTLs have been studied in a limited number of fish, such as tilapia
277 (Lee *et al.*, 2004) rainbow trout (Alfaqih *et al.*, 2009) and salmonids (Davidson *et al.*, 2009).
278 Previous studies have demonstrated that sex QTLs are located on LG1, 2, 3, 6, and 23 of
279 tilapia (Cnaani *et al.*, 2004; Lee *et al.*, 2004; Cnaani *et al.*, 2008) Eshel *et al.* (2011) reported
280 a major candidate sex QTL that is considered the sex determining region in tilapia. Fifty-one
281 genes in this region have been annotated, and 10 have been confirmed.

282 The anti-Müllerian hormone gene is the most differentially expressed gene in male
283 and female tilapia. Sun *et al.*, (2014) recently published several sex-specific markers, and one
284 is tightly linked with the sex-determining region discovered by Eshel *et al.*, (2011) The sex-
285 determining locus in rainbow trout is located on the LG of RT10, and this locus also
286 significantly affects thermo-resistance and body length. The sex-determining regions in Arctic

287 charr (Moghadam *et al.*, 2007) brown trout (Gharbi *et al.*, 2006) and Atlantic salmon (Gilbey
288 *et al.*, 2004) are located on the LGs of AC4, BT28, and AS1, respectively.

289 Woram *et al.*, (2003) compared LGs of sex-determining loci in four salmonids and
290 found that although the nucleotide sequences flanking the sex-determining loci were well-
291 conserved, the SD LGs were diverse, suggesting that the regions underwent different
292 recombination events.

293 Loukovitis *et al.*, (2011) located growth and sex-determining QTLs in gilthead sea
294 bream and showed that these two traits have similar genetic control in LG21. Martínez *et al.*,
295 (2009) located a sex QTL on LG5 of turbot and proposed a ZZ/ZW sex-determining
296 mechanism. Viñas *et al.*, (2012) also found a major sex QTL on turbot LG5. These findings
297 suggest that the sex-determining genes may occur on turbot LG5. Song *et al.*, (2012) used
298 high-density genetic maps to locate seven sex QTLs on the half-smooth tongue sole LG1f,
299 LG14f, and LG1m.

300 Additional study by Chen *et al.*, (2014) provided insight into ZW sex chromosome
301 evolution and identified sex-determining genes, such as *dmrt1* and *neur13*.

302 **2.8.5 Factors affecting QTL analyses**

303 The power of mapping QTL can be influenced by a number of factors, such as genetic
304 properties of QTL, experimental design, environmental effects, marker density and
305 informativeness, genotyping errors and precision of trait measurement. Details about how
306 these factors influence the power of QTL mapping can be found in some very good reviews
307 (Crosses 2001; Flint and Mott 2001; Doerge 2002).

308 **2.8.6 Methods of Detecting QTL**

309 Basically, three methods are frequently used for mapping QTL and estimating their
310 effects, namely Single-Marker Association Analysis (SMAA), Simple Interval Mapping
311 (SIM) and Composite Interval Mapping (CIM) (Crosses 2001; Flint and Mott 2001; Doerge
312 2002).

313 **2.9 Current Status of Applications of MAS in Fish**

314 Molecular marker maps have been constructed for a number of aquaculture species,
315 e.g. tilapia, catfish, giant tiger prawn, kuruma prawn, Japanese flounder and Atlantic salmon,
316 although their density is generally low. Density is high for the rainbow trout, where the map
317 published in 2003 has over 1 300 markers spread throughout the genome – the vast majority
318 are AFLPs but it also includes over 200 microsatellite markers (Nichols *et al.*, 2003). Some

319 QTLs of interest have been detected (e.g. for cold and salinity tolerance in tilapia and for
320 specific diseases in rainbow trout and salmon).

321 In a recent review of MAS in fish breeding schemes, Sonesson (2003) suggested that
322 MAS would be especially valuable for traits that are impossible to record on the candidates
323 for selection such as disease resistance, fillet quality, feed efficiency and sexual maturation,
324 and concluded that MAS is not used in fish breeding schemes today and that the lack of dense
325 molecular maps is the limiting factor. Marker Assisted Selection (MAS) has become a
326 valuable tool in selecting organisms for desirable traits. MAS is expected to increase genetic
327 gain compared to traditional breeding programs and reduce the cost of progeny testing by
328 early selection. The application of MAS in breeding programmes depends on the knowledge
329 of breeders about variable marker information.

330 REFERENCES

- 331 Alarcon, J.A., Alvarez, M. C. (1999). Genetic identification Sparidae species by isozyme
332 markers. Applications to interspecific hybrids. *Aquaculture*, 173, 95-103.
- 333 Anderson, J. L., Marí, A. R., Braasch, I., Amores, A., Hohenlohe, P., Batzel, P. (2001).
334 Multiple sex-associated regions and a putative sex chromosome in zebrafish
335 revealed by RAPD mapping and population genomics. 3, 427-437.
- 336 Ashraf, M., Akram, N. A., Foolad, M. R. (Eds.). (2012). Marker-Assisted Selection in Plant
337 Breeding for Salinity Tolerance. 913, 305–333. doi:10.1007/978-1-61779-986-0.
- 338 Bardakci, F., Skibinski, D. O. F. (1994). Application of the RAPD technique in tilapia fish
339 species and subspecies identification. 73, 117–123. doi:10.1038/hdy.1994.110.
- 340 Bernardo, R. (1994). Prediction of maize single-cross performance using RFLPs and
341 Biotechnology in Agriculture and Food
342 (<http://tandfonline.com/doi/book/10.1081/EEBAF>).
- 343 Boopathi, N. M. (2013a). Marker-Assisted Selection. In Genetic Mapping and Marker
344 Assisted Selection: Basics, Practice and Benefits. 173–186. doi:10.1007/978-81-
345 322-0958-4
- 346 Brumlop, S., Finckh, M. R. (2011). Applications and potentials of marker assisted selection (
347 MAS) in plant breeding. Pp. 178. http://www.bfn.de/0502_skripten.html
- 348 Chen, J., Wang, Y., Yue, Y., Xia, X., Du, Q., Chang, Z. (2014). A novel male-specific DNA
349 sequence in the common carp, *Cyprinus carpio*. *Mol Cell Probes*. 23, 235–9.

350 Cnaani, A., Zilberman, N., Tinman, S., Hulata, G., Ron, M. (2004). Genome-scan analysis for
351 quantitative trait loci in an F-2 tilapia hybrid. *Mol Genet Genomics*. 272(2):162-
352 172.

353 Danzmann, R. G., Gharbi, K. (1994). Gene mapping in fishes: a means to an end. *Genetica*.
354 111(1-3):3-23.

355 Davidson, W. S., Koop, B. F., Jones, S. J. M., Iturra, P., Vidal, R., Mas, A., Jonassen, I.,
356 Lien, S., Omholt, S. W. (2009). Sequencing the genome of the Atlantic salmon
357 (*Salmo salar*). *Genome Biol* 11:403

358 Davies, J., Berzonsky, W., LEACH, G. (2006): A Comparison of Marker-Assisted and
359 Phenotypic Selection for High Grain Protein Content in Spring Wheat. 152, 117-
360 134.

361 Dekkers, J. C. M., Hospital, F. (2002). The use of molecular genetics in the improvement of
362 agricultural populations. *Nature Revs. Genet*. 3: 22–32.

363 Doyle, J. J., Doyle, J. L. (1987). A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of
364 fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochem. Bull*. 19: 11–15.

365 Dreher, K., Khairallah, M., Jean-Marcel, R., Monis, M. (2002). Money matters (I): costs of
366 field and laboratory procedures associated with conventional and marker assisted
367 maize breeding at CIMMYT. *Molecular Breeding* 11: 221-234.

368 Dudley, J. W. (1993). Molecular markers in plant improvement: manipulation of genes
369 affecting quantitative traits. *Crop Sci*. 33: 660–668.

370 Edward, M. D., Stuber, C. W., Wendel, J. F. (1987a). Molecular markers facilitated
371 investigation of quantitative trait loci in maize. I. Numbers, genomic distribution
372 and types of gene action. *Genetics* 115: 113-125

373 Erickson, D. L., Fenster, C. B., Stenoien, H. K., Price, D. (2004). Quantitative trait locus
374 analyses and the study of evolutionary process. *Molecular Ecology* 13: 2505-2522.

375 Eshel, O, Shirak, A., Weller, J. I., Slossman, T., Hulata, G., Cnaani, A. (2011). Fine mapping
376 of a locus on linkage group 23 for sex determination in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis*
377 *niloticus*). *Anim Genet*. 42: 222–4.

378 Ferguson, M. (1994). The role of molecular genetic markers in the management of cultured
379 fishes. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries*, 4(3): 351–373.
380 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00042909>

381 Flint-Garcia, S.A., Darrah, L. L., McMullen, M. D., Hibbard, B. E. (2003). Phenotypic versus
382 genomic selection in an established commercial layer breeding program. *Genetics*
383 *Selection Evolution* 45: 29.

384 Gharbi, K., Gautier, A., Danzmann, R.G., Gharbi, S., Sakamoto, T., Hoyheim, B., Taggart, J.
385 B., Cairney, M., Powell, R., Krieg, F., Okamoto, N., Ferguson, M. M., Holm, L. E.,
386 Guyomard, R. (2006). A linkage map for brown trout (*Salmo trutta*): chromosome
387 homeologies and comparative genome organization with other salmonid fish.
388 *Genetics* 172:2405–2419

389 Gilbey, J., Verspoor, E., McLay, A., Houlihan, D. (2004). A microsatellite linkage map for
390 Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Anim Genet* 35:98–105

391 Gimelfarb, A., Lande, R. (1994). Marker-assisted selection and marker QTL association in
392 breeding programs. *Genetics*. 132: 1199-1210.

393 Gjedrem, T. (2009). Genetic improvement of cold-water fish species. *Aquac Res*. 31:25–33.

394 Groen, A. F., Crooijmans, R. P. M. A., Van Kampen, A. J. A., Van der Beek, S, Van der
395 Poel, J. J., Groenen, M. A. M. (2000). Microsatellite polymorphism in commercial
396 broiler and layer lines. *Proc 5th World Congr Genet Appl Livestock Prod* 21:95-98.

397 Gross, M., Schneider, J., Moav, N., Alvarez, C., Myster, S., Liu, Z., Hallerman, E., Hackett,
398 P., Guise, K., Faras, A., Kapuscinski, A. (1995). Molecular analysis and growth
399 evaluation of transgenic northern pike. *Aquaculture* 103: 253-273.

400 Guo, X., Hershberger, W.K., Cooper, K., Chew, K.K., 2012. Artificial gynogenesis with
401 Hayes, B. J., Chamberlain, A. J., Mcpartlan, H., Macleod, I., Sethuraman, L., Goddard, M. E,
402 (2007). Accuracy of marker-assisted selection with single markers and marker
403 haplotypes in cattle. *Genetics Research* 89: 215-220.

404 Houston, R. D., Bishop, S. C., Hamilton, A., Guy, D. R., Tinch, A. E., Taggart, J. B.,
405 Derayat, A., McAndrew, B. J., Haley, C. S. (2009). Detection of QTL affecting
406 harvest traits in a commercial Atlantic salmon population. *Anim Genet*. 40(5):753-
407 755.

408 Houston, R. D., Haley, C.S., Hamilton, A., Guy, D. R, Tinch, A. E., Taggart, J. B. (2009).
409 Major quantitative trait loci affect resistance to infectious pancreatic necrosis in
410 Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Genetics*. 178:1109–15.

411 Hulata, G. (2003). Detection of a chromosomal region with two quantitative trait loci,
412 affecting cold tolerance and fish size, in an F-2 tilapia hybrid. 223(1-4):117-128.

413 Jackson, T.R., Ferguson, M.M., Danzmann, R.G., Fishback, A.G., Ihssen, P.E., O'Connell,
414 M., Crease, T.J. (1998). Identification of two QTL influencing upper temperature
415 tolerance in three rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) half-sib families. *Heredity*
416 80: 143– 151.

417 Jacob, H. J., Lindpainter, K., Lincoln, S. E., Kusumi, R. K., Mao, Y. P., Ganten, D., Dzau, V.
418 J., Lander, E. S., (1991). Genetic mapping of a gene causing hypersensitive rat.
419 *Cell*. 67:213-224.

420 Jansen, R.C. (1993). Interval mapping of multiple quantitative trait loci. *Genetics* 135, 205-
421 211.

422 Jonasson, J., Stefansson, S. E., Gudnason, A., Steinarsson, A. (1999). Genetic variation for
423 survival and shell length of cultured red abalone (*Haliotis rufescens*) in Iceland.
424 *Journal of Shellfish Research* 18: 621-625.

425 Kause, A., Paananen, T., Ritola, O., Koskinen, H. (2003). Direct and indirect selection of
426 visceral lipid weight, fillet weight, and fillet percentage in a rainbow trout breeding
427 program. *Journal of Anim Sci*. 85: 3218-3227.

428 Kocher, T. D., Lee, W.J., Sobolewska, H., Penman, D., McAndrew, B. (1998). A genetic
429 linkage map of a cichlid fish, the tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Genetics* 148:
430 1225–1232.

431 Lander, E. S., Botstein, D. (1989). Mapping Mendelian factors underlying quantitative traits
432 using RFLP linkage maps. *Genetics* 121: 185-199

433 Lee, M., Sharopova, N., Beavis, W. D., Grant, D., Katt, M., Blair, D. and Hallauer, A.
434 (2004). Expanding the genetic map of maize with the intermated B73 Mo17 (IBM)
435 population. *Plant Molecular Biology* 48: 453–461.

436 Li, J., Boroevich, K. A., Koop, B. F., Davidson, W. S. (2009). Comparative genomics
437 identifies candidate genes for Infectious Salmon Anemia (ISA) resistance in
438 Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Mar Biotechnol*. 13: 232-241.

439 Liu, F., Sun, F., Xia, J. H., Li, J., Fu, G. H., Lin, G. (2014). A genome scan revealed
440 significant associations of growth traits with a major QTL and GHR2 in tilapia. *Sci*
441 *Rep*. 4:7256

442 Liu, P., Li, J., He, Y. Y., Kong, J., Wang, Q. (2004). Present situation and protective
443 measures of genetic resources in *Fenneropenaeus chinensis*. *Mar. Fish Res* 25: 80–
444 85.

- 445 Liu, Z., Karsi, A., Li, P., Cao, D., Dunham, R. (2003). An AFLP-based genetic linkage map
446 of channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*) constructed by using an interspecific hybrid
447 resource family. *Genetics* 165: 687–694.
- 448 Liu, Z.J., Li, P., Argue, B., Dunham, R., (1998a). Inheritance of RAPD markers in channel
449 catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*), blue catfish (*I. furcatus*) and their F1, F2 and
450 backcross hybrids. *Anim. Genet.* 29: 58–62.
- 451 Liu, Z.J., Nichols, A., Li, P., Dunham, R., (1998b). Inheritance and usefulness of AFLP
452 markers in channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*), blue catfish (*I. furcatus*) and their
453 F1, F2 and backcross hybrids. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 258, 260–268.
- 454 Loukovitis, D., Sarropoulou, E., Tsigenopoulos, C. S., Batargias, C., Magoulas, A.,
455 Apostolidis, A. P., Chatziplis, D., Kotoulas, G. (2011). Quantitative trait loci
456 involved in sex determination and body growth in the gilthead sea bream (*Sparus*
457 *aurata* L.) through targeted genome scan. *PLoS ONE*, 6:e16599.
- 458 Luo, W., Zeng, C., Deng, W., Robinson, N., Wang, W., Gao, Z. (1997). Genetic parameter
459 estimates for growth-related traits of blunt snout bream (*Megalobrama*
460 *amblycephala*) using microsatellite-based pedigree. *Aquac Res.* 45:1881–8.
- 461 Mackay, T. F. C. (2001). The genetic architecture of quantitative traits. *Annu Rev Genet*,
462 35:303-339.
- 463
- 464 Martinez, P., Bouza, C., Hermida, M., Fernandez, J., Toro, M. A., Vera, M., Pardo, B.,
465 Millan, A., Fernandez, C., Vilas, R., (2009). Identification of the Major Sex-
466 Determining Region of Turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*). *Genetics* 183(4):1443-
467 1452.
- 468 Martyniuk, C. J., Perry, G. M. L., Mogahadam, H. K., Ferguson, M. M., Danzmann, R. G.
469 (2003). The genetic architecture of correlations among growth related traits and
470 male age at maturation in rainbow trout. *Journal of Fish Biol* 63:746–764
- 471 Moen, T., Agresti, J. J., Cnaani, A., Moses, H., Famula, T. R., Hulata, G., Gall, G. A. E.,
472 May, B. (2004b). A genome scan of a four-way tilapia cross supports the existence
473 of a quantitative trait locus for cold tolerance on linkage group 23. *Aquaculture* 35:
474 893–904.
- 475 Moen, T., Fjalestad, K.T., Munck, H. and Gomez-Raya, L. 2003. A multistage testing
476 strategy for detection of quantitative trait loci affecting disease resistance in Atlantic
477 salmon. *Genetics* 167: 851–858.

478 Moen, T., Hoyheim, B., Munck, H., Gomez-Raya, L. (2004a). A linkage map of Atlantic
479 salmon (*Salmo salar*) reveals an uncommonly large difference in recombination rate
480 between the sexes. *Anim. Genet.* 35: 81–92.

481 Moghadam, H., Poissant, J., Fotherby, H., Haidle, L., Ferguson, M., Danzmann, R. (2007).
482 Quantitative trait loci for body weight, condition factor and age at sexual maturation
483 in Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*): comparative analysis with rainbow trout
484 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Mol Genet Genomics*
485 277(6):647-661.

486 Moreau, L., Lamarie, S., Charcosset, A., Gallais, A. (2000). Economic Efficiency of One
487 Molecular marker assisted selection for the mall quality traits in barley. *Mol*
488 *Breeding* 3: 427-437

489 Nichols, K. M., Bartholomew, J., Thorgaard, G. H. (2003). Mapping multiple genetic loci
490 associated with *Ceratomyxa shasta* resistance in *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Dis. Aquat.*
491 *Org.* 56: 145–154.

492 O’Malley, K.G., Sakamoto, T., Danzmann, R. G., Ferguson, M. M. (2003). Quantitative trait
493 loci for spawning date and body weight in rainbow trout: testing for conserved
494 effects across ancestrally duplicated chromosomes. *J. Hered.* 94: 273–84.

495 Ozaki, A., Sakamoto, T., Khoo, S., Nakamura, K., Coimbra, M.R., Akutsu, T., Okamoto, N.
496 (2001). Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) associated with resistance/susceptibility to
497 infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV) in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus*
498 *mykiss*). *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 265: 23–31.

499 Perry, G. M., Danzmann, R. G., Ferguson, M. M., Gibson, J. P. (2001). Quantitative trait loci
500 for upper thermal tolerance in outbred strains of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus*
501 *mykiss*). *Heredity.* 86: 333– 341.

502 Poompuang, S., Hallerman, E. M. (2004). Toward detection of quantitative trait loci and
503 marker-assisted selection in fish. *Rev. Fish. Sci.* 5: 253–277.

504 Park, S.O., Crosby, K. M., Huang, R., Mirkov, T. E. (1995). Identification and confirmation
505 of FAPD and SCAR markers linked to the ms-3 gene controlling male sterility in
506 melon (*Cucumis melo* L.). *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural*
507 *Sciences.* 129:819-825.

508 Paterson, A., Lander, S. E., Hevit, J. D., Peterson, S., Lincoln, S. E., Lanksley, S. D. (1988).
509 Resolution of quantitative traits into Mendelian factors by using a complete linkage
510 map of Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphisms. *Nature.* 325: 721-726

511 Peterson, B.K., Weber, J.N., Kay, E.H., Fisher, H. S., Hoekstra, H. E. (1990). Double digest
512 RADseq: an inexpensive method for de novo SNP discovery and genotyping in
513 model and non-model species. *PLoS One*. 1990;7:e37135.

514 Postlethwait, J.H., Johnson, S.L., Midson, C.N., Talbot, W.S., Gates, M., Ballinger, E.W.,
515 Africa, D., Andrews, R., Carl, T., Eisen, J.S. (1994). A genetic linkage map for the
516 zebrafish. *Science* 264, 699-703.

517 Reid, D. P., Szanto, A., Glebe, B., Danzmann, R. G., Ferguson, M. M. (2005). QTL for body
518 weight and condition factor in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*): comparative analysis
519 with rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*).
520 *Heredity*. 94(2):166-172.

521 Ribaut, J. M., Ragot, M. (2007). Marker-Assisted Selection to improve drought adaptation in
522 maize: the backcross approach, perspectives, limitations, and alternatives. *J Exp Bot*
523 58: 351-360.

524 Robison, B.D., Wheeler, P.A., Sundin, K., Sikka, P., Thorgaard, G.H. (2001). Composite
525 interval mapping reveals a major locus influencing embryonic development rate in
526 rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *J. Heredity* 92: 16–22.

527 Rodriguez, M.F., LaPatra, S., Williams, S., Famula, T., May, B. (2005). Genetic markers
528 associated with resistance to infectious hematopoietic necrosis in rainbow and
529 steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) backcrosses. *Aquaculture* 241: 93–115.

530 Ross, P., Hall, L., Smirnov, I., Haff, L. (2011). High level multiplex genotyping by MALDI-
531 TOF mass spectrometry. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 16, 1347– 1351.

532 Ryman, N., Utter, F. (1987). *Population Genetics and Fishery Management* University of
533 Washington Press, Seattle. 420 pp.

534 Sakamoto, T., Danzmann, R. G., Gharbi, K., Howard, P., Ozaki, A., Khoo, S. K. (2000). A
535 microsatellite linkage map of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) characterized by
536 large sex-specific differences in recombination rates. *Genetics*. 155: 1331-1345.

537 Sakamoto, T., Danzmann, R.G., Okamoto, N., Ferguson, M. M., Ihssen, P. E. (1999).
538 Linkage analysis of quantitative trait loci associated with spawning time in rainbow
539 trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture* 173: 33–43.

540 Sakamoto, T., Danzmann, R.G., Okamoto, N., Ferguson, M.M., Ihssen, P.E. (1999). Linkage
541 analysis of quantitative trait loci associated with spawning time in rainbow trout
542 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture* 173: 33–43.

543 Sax, K. (1923). The association of size differences with seed-coat pattern and pigmentation in
544 *Phaseolus vulgaris*. *Genetics* 8: 522-560.

545 Shimoda, N., Knapik, E.W., Ziniti, J., Sim, C., Yamada, E., Kaplan, S., Jackson, D., de
546 Sauvage, F., Jacob, H., Fishman, M.C. (1999). Zebrafish genetic map with 2000
547 microsatellite markers. *Genomics* 58, 219-232.

548 Somorjai, I.M., Danzmann, R. G., Ferguson, M. M. (2001). Distribution of temperature
549 tolerance quantitative trait loci in Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*) and inferred
550 homologies in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Genetics* 165: 1443–1456.

551 Sonesson, A. (2007). Within-family marker-assisted selection for aquaculture species. *Genet*
552 *Sel Evol.* 39:301–18.

553 Sonesson, A.K. (2003). A combination of walk-back and optimum contribution selection for
554 fish – a simulation study. *Genet. Sel. Evol.* 37: 587–599.

555 Song, W., Li, Y., Zhao, Y., Liu, Y., Niu, Y., Pang, R. (2012). Construction of a high density
556 microsatellite genetic linkage map and mapping of sexual and growth-related traits
557 in half-smooth tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*). *PLoS One*.7:e52097.

558 Song, W., Pang, R., Niu, Y., Gao, F., Zhao, Y., Zhang, J. (2012). Construction of high
559 density genetic linkage maps and mapping of growth-related quantitative trait loci in
560 the Japanese flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*). *PLoS One*.7:e50404.

561 Spelman, R. J., Garrick, D. J. (2013). Genetic and economic responses for within-family
562 marker-assisted selection in dairy cattle breeding schemes. *Journal of Dairy Science*
563 81: 2942–2950.

564 Streelman, J.T., Kocher, T. D. (2003). Microsatellite variation associated with prolactin
565 expression and growth of salt-challenged tilapia. *Physiol. Genomics* 9: 1 –4.

566 Sun, X. W., Liang, L. Q. (2004). A genetic linkage map of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*
567 *L.*) and mapping of a locus associated with cold tolerance. *Aquaculture*. 238:165–
568 72.

569 Tong, J. G., Sun, X. W. (2004). Genetic and genomic analyses for economically important
570 traits and their applications in molecular breeding of cultured fish. *Sci China Life*
571 *Sci.* 58:178–86.

572 Van Ooijen, J. W. (1999). Join Map 4, software for the calculation of genetic linkage maps in
573 experimental populations. Netherlands.

574 Vogel, B., Van Aken, J. (2009). Smart Breeding - Marker-Assisted Selection: A non-invasive
575 biotechnology alternative to genetic engineering of plant varieties Amsterdam, the
576 Netherlands. (p. 28).

577 Gharbi, K, Ferguson, M. M., Danzmann, R. G. (2001). Characterization of Na, K-ATPase
578 genes in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) and comparative genomic organization with
579 rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Mol Genet Genomics* 273:474–483

580 Wang, C. M., Lo, L.C., Feng, F., Zhu, Z.Y., Yue, G.H. (2008). Identification and verification
581 of QTL associated with growth traits in two genetic backgrounds of Barramundi
582 (*Lates calcarifer*). *Anim Genet.* 39(1):34-39.

583 Wang, C.M., Lo, L. C., Zhu, Z. Y., Yue, G. H. (2006). A genome scan for quantitative trait
584 loci affecting growth-related traits in an F1 family of Asian seabass (*Lates
585 calcarifer*). *BMC Genomics*.

586 Wang, S., Meyer, E., McKay, J. K., Matz, M. V. (2012). 2b-RAD: a simple and flexible
587 method for genome-wide genotyping. *Nat Methods.* 9:808–10.

588 Weller, J. I. (2001). *Quantitative trait loci analysis in animals*. London, CABI Publishing.
589 287 pp.

590 Willcox, M. C., Khairallah, M., Bergvinson, D., Crossa, J., Deutsch, J.A., Edmeades, G.O.,
591 Gonzalez-de-Leon, D., Jiang, C., Jewell, D.C., Mihm, J.A., Williams, W.P.,
592 Hoisington, D.A. (2002). Selection for resistance to southwestern corn borer using
593 marker assisted and conventional backcrossing. *Crop Sci.* 42: 1516–1528.

594 Woram, R.A., McGowan, C., Stout, J.A., Gharbi, K., Ferguson, M.M., Hoyheim, B.,
595 Sakamoto, J., Davidson, W., Rexroad, C., Danzmann, R.G. (2003). A genetic
596 linkage map for Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*): evidence for higher recombination
597 rates and segregation distortion in hybrid versus pure strain mapping parents.
598 *Genome* 47: 304–315.

599 Wringe, B., Devlin, R., Ferguson, M., Moghadam, H., Sakhrani, D., Danzmann, R. (2010).
600 Growth-related quantitative trait loci in domestic and wild rainbow trout
601 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *BMC Genetics.* 11(63).

602 Xie, C., Xu, X. (1998) . Efficiency of multistage marker-assisted selection in the
603 improvement of multiple quantitative traits. *Heredity.* 80: 489-498.

604 Xu, Y., Beachell, H., McCouch, S. R. (2008). A marker based approach to broadening the
605 genetic base of rice in the USA. *Crop Sci.* 44: 1947–1959.

606 Yanchuk, A. D. (2002). The role and implications of biotechnology in forestry. *Food and*
607 *Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Unasylva* (30), 18–22.

608 Yu, Z., Guo, X. (2003). Genetic linkage map of the eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*)
609 Gmelin. *Biol. Bull.* 204, 327–338.

610 Yue, G. H. (2014). Recent advances of genome mapping and marker-assisted selection in
611 aquaculture. *15*:376–96.

612 Zhang, Y., Wang, S., Li, J., Zhang, X., Jiang, L., Xu, P. (1992). Primary genome scan for
613 complex body shape-related traits in the common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). *J Fish*
614 *Biol.* 82:125–40.

615 Zheng, J., Liu, J., Liu, H., Li, J., Chen, K. (2003). Sequence and structural analysis of 4Snc-
616 Tudor domain protein from Takifugu rubripes. *Bioinformation.* 4:127-131.

617 Zimmerman, A.M., Wheeler, P.A., Ristow, S.S., Thorgaard, G. H. (2005). Composite interval
618 mapping reveals three QTL associated with pyloric caeca number in rainbow trout,
619 *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Aquaculture* 247: 85–95.

620

621