

## **Original Research Article**

### **Exploring Women Participation in Small-Scale Dairy Farming: A Case of Paikgachha upazila, Khulna, Bangladesh**

#### **ABSTRACT**

The study was conducted to assess the extent of participation of rural women in small-scale dairy farming for poverty reduction in two villages at Paikgachha upazila in the Khulna district, Bangladesh. Data were collected from 50 respondents through direct interviews involved in small-scale dairy farming during June 2017. The study revealed that majority of the women were middle aged (66%) in joint families (68%) with being literates (82%). Majority of the respondent families were marginal farmers (44%, 0.02-0.20 ha) followed by small farmers (42%, 0.21-1.00 ha) with high annual family income (62%, >1,50,000 Tk.) having dairy farming (100%) as the major occupation. About 25 operations of dairy farming were selected in consultation with experts and were broadly categorized into six aspects as economic, feeding, breeding, livestock management, health care, purchasing, processing and marketing activities. The study revealed that women participation was maximum in watering to livestock, cleaning of animal sheds, chopping of straw, care of new born calves, management of calves. The farm women participation was least in farm record maintenance, feeding of urea treated straw, artificial insemination (AI) practice, purchasing of feeds and fodder. Majority (82%) of the respondents had no organizational participation. All the respondents had low extension contact and majority (58%) of them was low cosmopolitanism, all of them having no training but they having high knowledge about dairying activities. Among fourteen selected characteristics of the respondents only age and knowledge had shown positive significant relationship with participation of women in dairy farming activities with 30.5% contribution to the total income returned from these activities with increased happiness, improved education and improved housing condition, which are the poverty reduction indicators.

**Key words:** Women's participation, dairy farming, poverty reduction, small-scale

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Rural women play a significant role in conducting small-scale dairy farming in Bangladesh. They have full potential for achieving sustainable development and improvement of quality of life through their proactive participation in farming activities. The success of a country depends on the status and improvement of its women, not only because they represent nearly half of the population, but they also positively influence the progress of the entire population [1]. Most of the women in rural areas must bear the double burden of domestic work and dairy farming. Many activities related to dairy farming are normally performed by rural women in Bangladesh. They prepare food mixtures, chop straw, water and feed animals, clean the sheds, milk, and collect dung. Due to the patriarchal (father centered) system of the society, their hard work and their contribution to household expenditure have been undervalued, and they do not receive proper respect for their work. Still today they remain unseen workers. Selling milk is the most important means by which rural women can earn money. Farming has enabled them to increase family income as well as fulfill household food needs [2].

Today, however, rural women are becoming more conscious of their life patterns, children's education, health, and financial well-being. Rural women have become effective role players in both income-generation and household management. They are struggling hard to improve their life style and trying to overcome poverty through their participation in small-scale dairy farming.

Participation refers to one's involvement in an events, thing or situation. Participation of rural women in dairy farming activities means their functionally active involvements in various activities in dairy. It is the situation of rural women about the management of dairy. Participation of the rural women in dairy farming is very vital to livestock development of Bangladesh, where

an overwhelming majority of them live in rural areas who are very close to agricultural as well as livestock production and management system. It is therefore, important to have adequate understanding on rural women's participation in dairy production especially in dairy farming activities of the country.

Women's participation in small-scale dairy farming is an important tool in reducing poverty in rural area. The present study was undertaken to determine the participation level of rural women in dairy farming activities and to identify the impact of rural women's participation in small-scale dairy farming activities for poverty reduction in two villages of the Paikgachha upazila, at Khulna district in Bangladesh. Due to social and traditional barriers, rural women are not able to work outside of their homes. Therefore, their potential is often unrealized. They are disadvantaged in terms of education, independence, controlling their own assets, and household decision making [3].

The process of dairy development would be incomplete and lop sided, unless farm women are fully involved in it. Unless constraints faced by farm women in dairy are identified and overcome, developmental programs cannot be implemented successfully. With this background, the present study has been formulated with the following specific objectives:

- i. To know the socio-economic characteristics of the women dairy farmers in the study area.
- ii. To assess the extent of participation of women farmers in dairy farming.
- iii. To determine the contribution of women dairy farmers in household management.
- iv. To know the relationship between the socio-economic characteristics of women dairy farmers with their participation

## METHODOLOGY

Data were collected from 50 respondents, who were selected through purposive random sampling technique, of the two selected villages of Paikgachha upazila of Khulna district through interview schedule by the researchers during May to June, 2017 on some selected characteristics of the respondents which were treated as independent variables. Two villages of Paikgachha upazila were Gadaipur and Ghosal. The study area is situated 5 km away from Paikgachha upazila. The area of Paikgachha upazila is 411.19 km<sup>2</sup>. As of the census of 2011, the total population is 248112; male 127579 and female 120533. Participation of rural women in dairy farming was treated as dependent variable of the study. A list of 25 commonly used dairy farming practices was prepared by reviewing different literature related to it. The respondents were asked to identify their extent of participation based on 25 dairy farming issues in terms of 'great participation', 'average participation', 'somewhat participation' and 'no participation' and the scores assigned against these responses were 3, 2, 1 and 0 respectively. The total score of a respondent was calculated by summing up the scores obtained from the selected issues. Participation scores for the selected commonly used dairy farming practices of the respondents could range from 0 to 75. To compare the level of participation in six major aspects as well as 25 issues a participation index (PI) was calculated using following formula,

$$PI = N_{ge} \times 3 + N_{ae} \times 2 + N_{se} \times 1 + N_{na} \times 0$$

Where,

PI=Participation Index

N<sub>ge</sub>= No. of the respondent participated to a great extent

N<sub>ae</sub>= No. of the respondent participated to an average extent

N<sub>se</sub>= No. of the respondent participated to some extent

N<sub>na</sub>= No. of the respondent not at all participated

The Participation Index (PI) could range 0-150. Where '0' indicated no participation and '150' indicated to great extent participation.

Organizational participation of a respondent was measured on the basis of number of organization she involved. For example, if a respondent involved in one organization her organizational participation score is one. Accordingly, as no involvement (0), general member

(1), executive member (2), executive officer (4) with duration (year). Extension contact refers to the extent of participation by a dairy women farmer in extension activities during the last year. In order to assess the extent of participation of the respondents in extension activities, the different activities were listed and they were asked to indicate their participation as regularly, often, sometimes, seldom and never. The scores assigned as 4, 3, 2, 1 and 0, respectively. The maximum and minimum score ranged between 28 and 0, respectively. The respondents were classified in to three groups (low, medium, high) based on extent of participation in the particular extension activity by considering frequency and percentage.

Cosmopoliteness simply means one's orientation to out of her own social system. Cosmopoliteness of a respondent was measured by computing a cosmopoliteness score. The cosmopoliteness score was assigned on the basis of places and frequency of her visit external to her own social system. The five places including other villages, upazila Head Quarter (HQ), district HQ, capital city and other (specify). The respondent was asked to mention the number of visits made in five different places in terms of often, sometimes, rarely and not at all against the scores were 3, 2, 1 and 0, respectively. Cosmopoliteness score of a respondent could range within 0-15. A score of 0 indicated no cosmopoliteness and 15 indicated very high cosmopoliteness.

Dairy farming knowledge of the respondents was measured by asking 5 selected questions and a score of 2 was assigned to each of the questions. Full marks were given to appropriate answer and partial score was given for partially correct answer whereas 0 score was given to wrong or no answer. Dairy farming knowledge score could range from 0 to 10 where 0 indicated no knowledge while 10 indicated high knowledge. Dairy farming training score was determined by the number of dairying training received by the respondents. For example, a respondent received low training; her training score was '1-3' irrespective of its duration.

Items related to reducing poverty were measured using a four-point Likert scale in which 0 = not at all, 1 = to some extent, 2 = to an average extent, 3 = to a great extent. These items were selected according to basic human needs and livelihood indicators. Poverty reduction score could range from 0 to 30 where 0 indicated no poverty reduction while 30 indicated high poverty reduction.

Statistical treatments such as number, %, rank order, range, mean and standard deviation were used to interpret data. To explore relationship between any two variables Pearson's correlation coefficient 'r' was employed. Data were analyzed using the concerned software Microsoft Excel and Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) 20 version.

## **RESULTS**

### **Selected characteristics of the respondents**

Majority of the women dairy farmers (66%) were middle aged, followed by 30% were young aged and only 4% were old aged. [4] also found that middle aged women were majorly engaged in dairy farming in Karnataka of India. Elderly farmers seem to be somewhat less motivated to adopt new dairy farm practices than younger ones. Young and middle aged

people generally show more favorable attitude towards trying new ideas in farming activities. About 40% of the respondents had secondary level of education while 38% had primary level of education. Only a few of the respondents (18% and 4%) were illiterate and having above secondary education respectively. [5] also found that most of the women who are engaged in livestock farming have primary to secondary levels of education in Ethiopia. Educated people are more innovative and conscious about farming practices. Majority of the respondents (60%) had medium sized family while 36% of the respondents had small sized family and only 4% of the respondents had large sized family. The average family size (5.20) of the study area indicates that the respondents are not conscious about their family size and population growth

because the average family size of the study area is more than that of national average (4.4; [6]). Most of the respondents (86%) had small (0.21-1.00 ha) to marginal (0.02-0.20 ha) farm size while about 10% belonged to medium (1.01-3.00 ha) farm size. The average farm size of the farmers of the study area (0.67 ha) were higher than that of national average (0.60 ha) of Bangladesh [7]. Majority of the respondents (62%) had high annual income while 26% of the respondents had medium annual income and only 12% of the respondents had low annual income. Those who have lesser amount of land ownership try to increase their annual income through other feasible income generating activities. Dairy farming by the women member of any family is an established better choice in this regard. In the present study it is also found that 86% of the respondents having small to medium sized farms earned high annual income (62%) might be due to their dairy farming activities. Majority of the respondents (88%) had medium to high farming experiences in dairy farming followed by 12% low farming experiences. On the other hand, in case of agricultural farming about 74% had medium to high farming experiences followed by 22% no experience and 4% low experience. Majority of the respondents (82%) had no organizational participation while 18% had low participation in different organizations.

In case of knowledge about dairy farming, it seemed that 100% women farmers had clear knowledge. About half of the respondents (58%) had low cosmopolitanism while a large portion (42%) had medium cosmopolitanism. Most of the respondents (100%) had low extension contact to conduct their farming activities. Most of the respondents (58%) believed that small-scale dairy farming played high role in reducing poverty while 42% thought it played medium role in reducing poverty. In terms of training received it seemed that all the respondents (100%) had received no training in conducting dairy farming. All socio-economic data of women farmers are given in Table 1.

**Table 1. Distribution of the respondents on the basis of socio-economic characteristics**

Characteristics	Categories (Scores)	Respondents (N=101 )		Mean	SD	Min.	Max.
		No.	(%)				
Age (Years)	Young (up to 35)	15	30	42.58	10.00	20	70
	Middle (36 to 50 )	33	66				
	Old (> 50)	2	4				
Educational Qualification (Years of schooling)	Illiterate ( 0 )	9	18	5.62	3.61	0.0	12.0
	Primary (1 – 5)	19	38				
	Secondary (6 – 10)	20	40				
	Higher secondary or above (> 10)	2	4				
Family size (No.)	Small (1-4)	18	36	5.00	1.60	1	10
	Medium (5-7)	30	60				
	Large (>7)	2	4				
Farm size (ha)	Landless (<0.02)	1	2	0.61	1.84	0.01	13.10
	Marginal (0.02-0.20)	22	44				
	Small (0.21-1.0)	21	42				
	Medium (1.01-3.0)	5	10				
	Large (>3.0)	1	2				
Annual income (‘000’Tk.)	Low (<100)	6	12	215.00	184.38	71.0	1336
	Medium (100-150)	13	26				
	High (>150)	31	62				
Farming experience (Dairy) (Years)	No (0)	0	0	11.24	7.22	2.00	35.00
	Low (<5)	6	12				
	Medium (5-10)	29	58				
	High (>10)	15	30				
Farming experience (Agriculture) (Years)	No (0)	11	22	10.02	7.81	.00	35.00
	Low (<5)	02	04				
	Medium (5-10)	22	44				
	High (>10)	15	30				
Organizational participation (Score)	No (0)	41	82	0.68	1.55	0.00	5.00
	Low <36	9	18				
	Medium (36-70)	0	0				
	High (>70)	0	0				
Knowledge (Score)	No (0)	0	0	8.38	6.62	0.0	23.0
	Low (1-3)	0	0				
	Medium (4- 6)	0	0				

Cosmopolitaness (Score)	High (>7)	50	100	4.84	1.55	1.0	9.0
	No (0)	0	0				
	Low (1-5)	29	58				
	Medium (6-10)	21	42				
	High (>10)	0	0				
Extension contact (Score)	No (0)	0	0	6.24	1.33	4.0	11.0
	Low (0-9)	50	100				
	Medium (10-18)	0	0				
	High (>18)	0	0				
Poverty reduction (Score)	No (0)	0	0	20.86	3.77	13.0	30.0
	Low (1-10)	0	0				
	Medium (11-20)	21	42				
	High (21-30)	29	58				
Training (No.)	No (0)	58	100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Low (1-3)	0	0				
	Medium (4-7))	0	0				
	High (>7)	0	0				

Source: Field Survey, 2017

#### Participation of rural women in dairy farming activities

The participation scores of the rural women in dairy farming activities ranged from 40-61 against the possible range of 0-75 with a mean of 50.66 and standard deviation of 4.73. The distribution of rural women according to their extent of participation in dairy farming activities is shown in Table 2.

**Table 2. Distribution of rural women according to their extent of participation**

Categories	Scores	Respondents(N=50)		Mean	SD	Min.	Max.
		Number	Percentage				
No Participation	0	0	0	50.66	4.73	40.00	61.00
Low participation	1-25	0	0				
Medium participation	26-50	26	52				
High participation	51-75	24	48				
Total		50	100				

Data presented in Table 2 show that the highest proportion (52%) of the rural women had medium participation in dairy farming compared to high participation (48%).

#### Extent of participation by rural women in selected 6-aspects along with 25 issues

To measure the extent of participation of the respondents in selected 6-aspects along with the 25 selected issues under 6-aspects a participation index (PI) was calculated. Based on PI, the relative position of the 6-aspects as well as the 25-issues were determined indicating rank order in Table 3.

**Table 3: Relative position (Rank order) of the selected 6-aspects along with 25 issues of rural women in dairy farming activities based on participation index (PI)**

Activities	Variable	Not at all (0)	Some extent (1)	Average extent (2)	Great extent (3)	PI	Ranks (25 issues)	Rank (6 aspects)
A) Economics activities	Choosing of animals for dairying	0 × (0)	6×(1)	13 ×(2)	31×(3)	125	8	
	Sale and purchase of animals	1	6	20	23	115	10	1st
$\bar{x}$ of A						120		
B) Feeding Activities	Feeding of green grass to the dairy cattle	0	2	5	43	141	6	
	Feeding of urea treated straw	50	0	0	0	0	18	
	chopping of straw	0	0	1	49	149	2	
	preparing food mixture	1	0	2	47	145	4	4th
	Storage of green grass straw	15	6	8	21	85	13	
	Watering of livestock	0	0	0	50	150	1	
$\bar{x}$ of B						111.6		
C) Breeding Activities	Carrying animals to AI or service center	50	0	0	0	0	18	
	Care of newborn calves	0	1	2	47	146	3	6th
$\bar{x}$ of C						73		
D) Livestock Management	Management of calves	0	0	4	46	146	3	
	Maintenance of farm records	50	0	0	0	0	18	
	cleaning of animals sheds	0	0	0	50	150	1	3rd
	Cleaning of utensils	0	3	11	36	133	7	
	Milking the animals	1	14	16	19	103	11	
	Cow dung collection	0	1	3	46	145	4	
$\bar{x}$ of D						112.8		
E) Activities of health care	Care of sick animals	0	1	2	47	146	3	
	Vaccine of dairy cattle	13	4	17	16	86	12	2nd
	Cleaning and bathing cows	0	5	24	21	116	9	
$\bar{x}$ of E						116		
F) Purchasing, processing and marketing	Quantity of milk to be used for home consumption	0	22	20	08	86	12	
	Surplus milk to be sold	0	2	4	44	142	5	
	Purchasing of feeds and concentrate	12	31	06	01	46	17	
	purchasing of equipment	4	32	12	02	62	15	5th
	processing and preparation of milk products	22	10	07	11	57	16	
	marketing of milk products	16	10	2	22	80	14	
$\bar{x}$ of F						78.8		

Computed participation index score presented in Table 3 indicate that the respondents (rural women) participation was highest in economic activities ( $\bar{x}$ =120) while it was least in breeding

activities ( $\bar{x}$ =73). The other dominant aspects of dairy farming activities as participated by the respondents were activities of health care ( $\bar{x}$ =116) and livestock management ( $\bar{x}$ =112.83).

#### Extent of reducing poverty by the respondents in Selected 10 issues

To measure the extent of reducing poverty of the respondents in selected 10- issues a participation index (PI) was calculated. Based on PI, the relative position of the 10-issues was determined indicating rank order (Table 4).

**Table 4: Relative position (Rank order) of the selected 10 issues of rural women in dairy farming activities based on participation index (PI)**

Livelihood status	Not at all (0)	Some extent (1)	Average extent (2)	Great extent (3)	PI	Ranks (10 issues)
Increased family income	0	15	62	9	86	6th
Increased family saving	2	21	24	3	78	8th
Wear good cloths	0	6	40	4	98	5th
Access to medical care	0	6	40	4	98	5th
Adequate, safe food	0	21	26	3	82	7th
Ability to buy more animals	3	33	11	3	64	9th
Reduced gender discrimination	0	2	19	29	127	4th
Increased happiness	0	0	4	46	146	1st
Improved education	0	0	5	45	145	2nd
Improved housing conditions	0	03	15	32	129	3rd

Computed participation index score presented in Table 4 indicate that the respondents (rural women) poverty reduction was highest in increased happiness ( $\bar{x}$ =146) while it was least in ability to buy more animal ( $\bar{x}$ =64). The other dominant aspects of reducing poverty by the respondents were improved education ( $\bar{x}$ =145) and improved housing condition ( $\bar{x}$ =129) respectively.

#### Relationship between the characteristics of the respondents and their Participation in dairy farming activities

Coefficient of correlation was computed in order to explore the relationship between the selected characteristics of the rural women and their participation in dairy farming activities. The selected characteristics of the rural women constituted independent variables and participation of the rural women in dairy farming activities constituted the dependent variable of the study. In these section relationships between eleven selected characteristics (independent variables) of the rural women and dependent variable i.e. participation of rural women in dairy farming activities has been described. Person's Coefficient of Correlation (r) was used in Table 5.

**Table 5. Relationship between the selected characteristics of rural women and their participation in dairy farming activities**

Characteristics (Independent variables)	Dependent variable	Correlation coefficient
1. Age		0.286




2. Level of Education	Participation of rural women	-0.127 <sup>NS</sup>
3. Family type		0.151 <sup>NS</sup>
4. Family Size		0.250 <sup>NS</sup>
5. Farm Size		0.174 <sup>NS</sup>
6. Annual family income		0.171 <sup>NS</sup>
7. Cosmopolitanism		0.233 <sup>NS</sup>
8. Extension contact		0.210 <sup>NS</sup>
9. Organizational participation		-0.278 <sup>NS</sup>
10. Knowledge about dairy		0.293 <sup>*</sup>
11. Poverty reduction indicator		-0.165 <sup>NS</sup>

NS= Non-significant. \*\*significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed), \*significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

Data presented in Table 5 indicate that age of the rural women had significant positive relationship with their participation in dairy farming activities. It means that higher age of the respondent the higher is the participation in dairy farming activities. Level of education of the rural women had negative non-significant relationship with their participation in dairy farming activities. It was observed in the study area that educated women might be involved in other income generating activities, so they do not like to participate in dairy farming activities. On the other hand, family size, farm size, annual income, cosmopolitanism, extension contact and organizational participation of the rural women had no significant relationship with their participation in dairy farming activities. Knowledge about dairy farming activities had significant relationship with their participation. Poverty reduction indicator has negative non-significant relationship with participation and this relationship needs further verification in future researches.


#### Contribution in total family income from dairy farm return

With a view to measuring the contribution percentage of dairy farm return with total household income and the cost percentage in dairy farming with the total household income percentage given in Figure 1.



**Figure 1. Annual income rate in percentage**

Average income share is 30.5% (=70,584 Tk.) which is the contribution from dairy farm made by the women (annually), and 67.5% (=14,4552 Tk.) share is made by others family members i.e., by her husband and others (Figure 1).



**Figure 2. Cost rate in percentage**

Average cost for dairy farming is 10% which is only 20,000 Tk.; whereas average income from dairy farm is 90% which is 2,15,136 Tk. The net return is very high which proves the suitability of small-scale dairy farming for poverty reduction (Figure 2).

## DISCUSSION

[8] conceptualized that although rural women played a very important task in agriculture and contributed in all operations related to crop production, still today women are faced with various traditional restraints. [9] stated that women are less involved in family decision than men. In rural areas, women's participation in earning activities is superior because they have large families and fewer male income earners in the family. Although Bangladeshi women make major contributions, inequality still exists between men and women in education, health, income-earning opportunities, control over assets and participation in the political processes. [10] revealed that rural women in Bangladesh have restricted access to income earning activities and less scope to contribute to the well-being of their families due to social, cultural and religious barriers. Rural women are not allowed to participate in economic activities outside their home and are, therefore, not able to assist their families in reducing poverty. They found that participation of rural women in income-generating activities has improved the economic well-being of the family. It has also led to increased awareness of social injustice regarding dowries and violence [11].

Bangladesh is a heavily populated country characterized by low income, unemployment, and a high rate of poverty. [12] stated that women receive less household resources for their food, education, health and clothing than men. Although many activities were traditionally performed by rural women, they did not generate direct cash income. Household cash income is normally controlled by men and women's involvement in income-generating activities is also under their control. Reduction of poverty is not possible unless women achieve economic independence. Therefore, the emancipation of women is a significant step in overcoming poverty. [13] stated that small-scale dairy production had the potential to reduce poverty, provide food security, improve family nutrition and generate income and employment. Constraints were removed through broadcasting appropriate technology, disease prevention approaches, improved techniques for milking and feeding, artificial insemination information, and providing awareness that increased milk production and animal performance. Although rural women played a proactive role in livestock management and household decisions, their contributions were neglected and their decision-making power is still restricted. Increasing the capacity of rural women is a necessary step toward allowing them equal right and power with men [5].

Based on previous studies, we hypothesized that personal factors that involve becoming self-dependent, social factors, which include availability of loans, and economic factors, which

include poverty motivated rural women to start small-scale dairy farming [14]. Farming has helped rural women emerge from a patriarchal society by breaking down traditional thought and allowing them to play an active role in poverty reduction. This research is the study to assess the level of participation and factors influencing women's participation in dairy farming. Furthermore, this study is concerned with the poverty reduction through women's participation in small-scale dairy farming.

[15] stated that all the tasks related with farm animals were predominantly carried out by women and they participated mainly in bringing fodder from the field, chaff cutting, preparing food for cattle, cleaning the cattle shed, making cow dung cakes, compost making, milking and making curd and ghee. [16] stated that women participated in activities related to farm having economic significance like looking after farm, cattle, poultry, goat farming, sheep rearing, preparing manures for the farm and carrying manures. [17] reported that majority of women were playing a monopolizing or dominating role in about half of the total of 17 agricultural production tasks. These tasks were tending farm cattle, collecting fodder for the cattle, selection of animals, processing of milk making cow dung cakes, weeding, storage of produce, harvesting of crops and making of Farm Yard Manure. They also played a supportive role in threshing, application of manure and carrying inputs to field. But the tasks such as inter cultivation, irrigating crops, construction/repair of field channels, ploughing, marketing and plant protection measure were performed by the menfolk.

[18] stated that the livestock activities performed by women were collecting fodder, cleaning of cattle shed, feeding animals, storing the fodder, making of cow dung cakes, milking of animals and processing of milk. [19] found that various operations carried out by women were weeding, harvesting, transplanting and as regards to animal husbandry, women seemed to do all operations such as feeding, watering of animals, cleaning of shed but their participation was less in health care of animals, selling of milk and grazing of animals. [20] revealed that involvement of farm women in paddy cultivation, household and animal husbandry activities was more than that of men. More the time spent by women in agricultural activities, higher were the wages earned by them especially in transplanting and harvesting operations.

[21] conducted a study in Belgaum district of Karnataka state identified the important farm activities performed by women were seed cleaning, winnowing and threshing. The dairy activities performed were feeding animals, milking, collection of fodder and cleaning of cattle shed. [22] conducted a study on extent of involvement of men and women in animal husbandry activities. The study implied that activities like cleaning of cattle shed, preparation of cow dung cakes and ghee making were found to be the exclusive domain of women. [23] conducted a study in Periyar district of Tamil Nadu to know the contribution of farm women towards dairy farming. It was observed that the farm women spent 174.18 days in a year in dairy activities, of which maximum was in feeding (51.41%), followed by management (30%), marketing (2%) and disease control (1.54%). [24] stated that the livestock activities performed by women were purchase of cows, collecting fodder, cleaning of cattle shed, feeding animals.

## CONCLUSION

Majority of the rural women were medium aged had secondary to primary level of education, maintained medium sized family and farm having marginal to small income. Most of them showed low to medium cosmopolite behavior and had low extension contact with no dairy training having medium farming experience in both dairy and agriculture. Majority of the rural women had no organizational participation having high knowledge about dairy farming activities, and had both medium and high participations in dairy farming activities. The respondents had highest participation in economic activities followed by activities of health care, while participation was least in breeding, and purchasing & marketing activities. The highest dominant area of participation by the respondents was watering of livestock and cleaning of animal sheds. The least dominant activities of participation was feeding of urea treated straw, carrying animals to artificial insemination center and maintenance of farm records. The highest poverty reduction was increased happiness, improved education and improved housing conditions. Thus it could be concluded that participation of women in small-scale dairy farming could improve the living standards of the rural families.

## REFERENCES

1. Upadhyay S and Desai CP. Participation of farm women in animal husbandry in Anand District of Gujarat. *Journal of Community Mobilization and Sustainable Development*, 2011; 6(2), 117-121.
2. Batool Z, Warriach HM, Ishaq M, Latif S, Rashid MA, Bhatti A, Murtaza N, Arif S and Wynn PC. Participation of women in dairy farm practices under smallholder production system in Punjab, Pakistan. *The Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*, 2014; 24(4), 1263-1265. Retrieved from <http://thejaps.org.pk/docs/v-24-4/43.pdf>.
3. Sultana A and Hossen SKS. Role of employment in women empowerment: Evidence from Khulna City of Bangladesh. *International Journal of Social Science and Interdisciplinary Research*, 2013; 2(7), 117-125.
4. Anitha B. A study on entrepreneurial behaviour and market participation of farm women in Bangalore Rural District of Karnataka. M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Univ. Agric. Sci., Bangalore, 2004.
5. Mulugeta M and Amsalu T. Women's role and their decision making in livestock and household management. *Journal of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development*, 2014; 6(11), 347-353. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5897/Jaerd14.0624>.
6. BBS (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics). Population and Housing Census. Statistics and Informatics Division (SID), Ministry of Planning, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka, 2011.
7. BBS (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics). Statistical Year Book Bangladesh. Statistics and Informatics Division (SID), Ministry of Planning, Government of People's Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka, 2014.
8. Afzal A, Ali T, Ahmad M, Zafar MI, Niazi SK and Riaz F. Identification of factors hampering women empowerment in agricultural decision making and extension work in district Okara, Punjab, Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Science*, 2009; 46(1), 64-68.
9. Hoque M and Itohara Y. Participation and decision making role of rural women in economic activities: A comparative study for members and non-members of the micro-credit organizations in Bangladesh. *Journal of Social Sciences*, 2008; 4(3), 229-236.
10. Ahmed F, Siwar C and Idris NAH. Contribution of rural women to family Income through participation in microcredit: An empirical analysis. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, 2011, 8(3), 238-245.
11. Rahman A. Women and microcredit in rural Bangladesh: An anthropological study of Grameen Bank lending. Boulder, Colorado: West view Press, 2001.
12. Siddique MAB. Gender issues in poverty alleviation: A case study of Bangladesh. *International Journal of Social Economics*, 1998; 25(6/7/8), 1095-1112. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/03068299810212487>.
13. Uddin MN, Uddin MB, Al Mamun M, Hassan MM and Khan MMH. Small scale dairy farming for livelihoods of rural farmers: Constraint and prospect in Bangladesh. *Journal of Animal Science Advances*, 2012; 2(6), 543-550.

14. Yasmin S and Ikemoto Y. Women's Participation in Small-Scale Dairy Farming for Poverty Reduction in Bangladesh, American International Journal of Social Science, 2015; 4(5), 21-33.
15. Puri S. Rural families and decision making pattern in agriculture and allied activities. Indian Journal of Extension Education, 1981; 7(3), 28-36.
16. Patnaik SC and Debi S. An assessment of women's economic contribution to the farm sector. Journal of Rural Development, 1986; 5(4), 480-490.
17. Dak TM, Sharma ML and Jain R. Social and instructional frame work of female participation in agriculture. Indian Journal of Social Work, 1987; 47(5), 285-329.
18. Ingle PO and Dharmadhikari N. Personal and socioeconomic status of agricultural women labour. Maharashtra Journal Extension Education, 1987; 6(4&5), 127-130.
19. Reddy BSW and Prasad RN. Mothers and maidens have much of the benefits. Indian Farming, 1988; 3(8), 11-20.
20. Charulata, Verma AK, Vinita and Verma KS. Inter gender involvement in farm home and diary operations. Journal of Rural Development, 1990; 20(2), 184-189.
21. Belgavimath KC. A study on knowledge of farm women about improved agriculture and their participation in farm activities, Gokak, Karnataka. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis (Unpub.), Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad, 1994.
22. Jain V and Verma SK. Decision making pattern of farm families in animal husbandry. Indian Journal of Social Research, 1995; 36(3&4): 215-223.
23. Nisha PR and Subramanian R. Contribution of farm women towards dairy farming. Journal of Extension Education, 1998; 9(4), 2240-2243.
24. Roy A, Brar DS and Jha SK. Adoption gap in improved dairy practices at field level in Burdwan District of West Bengal. Indian Journal of Dairy Science, 2006; 60(1), 60- 62.