Modern fungicides: mechanisms of action, fungal resistance and phytotoxic effects
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ABSTRACT

The establishment of safe and effective methods for controlling fungal diseases is an urgent issue in agriculture and forestry. Fungicide research has provided a wide range of products with new modes of action. Extensive use of these compounds in agriculture enhances public anxiety due to the harmful potential for the environment and human health. Moreover, the phytotoxic effects of some fungicides are already recognized but still little is known about their influence on the photosynthetic apparatus and plant physiology. This review provides an understanding of the mechanisms of action of fungicides, mechanisms of fungicide resistance development, and the phenomenon of phytotoxicity.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Fungicides are chemical substances used for control and treatment of fungal diseases of plants. The employment of fungicides has become widespread in recent decades in agriculture since it was estimated that fungal infections reduce yields of the crops worldwide by nearly 20% (Rohr et al., 2017). Fungicides have become the primary means of fungal disease control due to their relatively low cost, ease of use and efficiency (Xia et al., 2006).

Disease management is an essential component of production for all crops, often having a significant economic impact on their yield and quality. There are three main reasons for using fungicides:

– To control the infection during the establishment and growth of a grain crop;
– To enhance the productivity of cereal and to decrease defects.
Infection may result in a decrease in productivity due to the damage to photosynthetic parts.
Defects in the edible parts of the crop or leaves of ornamentals affect their attractiveness, and consequently the market prices;
– To improve the shelf life and quality of produced and harvested plants.

Some of the significant disease damage occurs post-harvest. Harmful fungi often worsen stocks of grain crops, vegetables, and tubers. Several grain-infecting species of Fusarium, Penicillium or Aspergillus produce important mycotoxins which can cause serious illness or even death in humans and animals after eating contaminated food (Marin & Sanchis, 2012). Fungicides have been used to decrease mycotoxin contamination of wheat affected by Fusarium head blight, but most fungicides developed so far have not been entirely adequate for the regulation of mycotoxin production associated with other diseases (Forrer et al., 2014). This is due to insufficient knowledge of the protectants mechanisms action and the response of the plant.

The appearance of new strains of fungal pathogens and their resistance to the available commercial products is often associated with extensive use of these compounds (Pablo et al., 2003). What is more, the widespread and frequent use of fungicides in plant protection generates a long-term accumulation of residues in food and the environment (Report on the pesticide residues monitoring programme: Quarter 1 2017, 2017), (Petit et al.). In the Report on the pesticide residues
monitoring programme in 2017, analyzing vegetables and fruits from 27 countries for contamination
with pesticides has shown that dithiocarbamates are among the most common residual
contaminants. Accordingly, the excessive use of such compounds in agriculture gave rise to public

For example, the fungicide chlorothalonil – the most common synthetic fungicide in the
United States - was shown to be toxic to aquatic animals such as tadpoles, oysters, or fish
(Vinelli, 2002).

In some cases, fungicides derived from "natural" sources are much safer than synthetic. The
primary sources include copper, sulphur, plant oils and bicarbonates. But even copper can be skin
irritating, eyes and the respiratory and digestive tracts, while sulphur can result in dermatitis and
diarrhea (Southern, 2015). To use any fungicide safely and efficiently, one needs to correctly
diagnose the problem and choose the best treatment strategy.

2. CLASSIFICATION OF FUNGICIDES

Fungicides are often classified as protective or systemic. Protective fungicides are usually
effective against a range spectrum of fungi and protect the plant from infection on leaf surface and
stems. They often require repeated application during the growing season to provide coverage as
new plants appear. Systemic fungicides can be absorbed by the plant without damage and be
transported to other tissues where they are toxic to fungi. These compounds can control and fight
infections, but they are also vulnerable to resistance to fungi, as they usually target only one step,
to kill the fungus. To reduce resistance due to excessive use of chemicals, the fungicides are
classified according to their chemical class. By alternating between different classes of fungicides
the fungal population is less likely to develop resistance to a particular chemical (Hahn Matthias,
2014).

Chemically, organic molecules always contain carbon atoms in their structure while most
inorganic molecules do not. Initially, first fungicides were inorganic compounds based on sulphur or
metal ions (copper, tin, cadmium, mercury) that are known to be toxic to fungi. Currently, fungicides
based on copper and sulphur are still widely used. Copper sulphate has been registered for use in
the United States since 1956. The copper atom binds to proteins, changing their structure. This
may break the membranes around the cells, causing the cells to die. Thus, copper sulfate is
effective in the destruction of fungi, algae and even snails. However, most fungicides used today
are organic synthetic compounds (Lesemann et al., 2006).

2.1. Non-systemic (contact) fungicides

This type of fungicides has a preventive impact by killing or inhibiting fungi and fungal spores
before the mycelia can grow and develop within the plant tissues (Oliver & Hewitt, 2014), but have
little or no effect once the fungus has entered or colonized host tissue. Additionally, while non-
 systemic fungicides generally remain on the surface of plants, they are potentially phytotoxic and
can damage the plant when absorbed (Lesemann et al., 2006). Contact action has derivatives
dithiocarbamates acid, agents based on sulphur, copper, etc. Thus, this kind of fungicides can be
used only as protectants. It is therefore also important to apply them on given plants before known
infection period begins to decrease the chance of infection. Contact agents – such as zineb,
poly carbonate, copper oxychloride, sulfur, mancozeb, bordeaux liquid and others are not able to cure
already diseased plants. Despite their potential harm to plants, non-systemic pesticides are thought
to be okay as they can be removed or flushed from the plant before harvest. This makes produce
clean from pesticide chemical tainting and thus better for human consumption.

Typical examples of the primary contact fungicides are inorganic copper compounds such as
Bordeaux mixture, copper carbonate, and inorganic sulphur in the form of elemental sulphur and
lime sulphur (Pablo et al, 2003). The organic contact fungicides (e.g., thiram, ferbam, and ziram)
play an important role in the comprehensive control of plant diseases since they are more efficient
and less toxic than the inorganic compounds (Aynalem & Assefa, 2017; Nason et al., 2007).

Contact fungicides are products suited for preventive (prophylactic) use as they work by contact
action on the surface of the plant. Therefore, to protect new plant growth and renewal of the material
washed off by rain or irrigation, or degraded by such environmental factors as wind and the amount of
UV, repeated applications are necessary. The protective action of these fungicides does not exceed 10-
12 days before the first heavy rain, after which the treatment is repeated. The number of treatments with
a fungicide of contact action is 3 to 6 treatments per season. During processing, it is necessary to spray
not only the surface of the leaves but the underside too, since many types of fungi begin to grow from
the underside of the leaves. For example, for processing potatoes the rate of application may be every
7 days during the month (Johnson, Hamm, & Sunseri, 2014).

Contact fungicides do not penetrate deeply in the plant tissue and are easily removed,
leaving a clean product for consumption. They are effective with timely treatment and following
instructions. Because of this, and due to relatively low prices (but it should be remembered that
their consumption is much higher than systemic fungicides), they are still extensively used for
plant protection even though new, more potent fungicides are developed.

2.2. Systemic Fungicides

Systemic fungicides are absorbed by the plant and transported to the site of infection. These
compounds can, therefore, kill the fungus after the mycelia have penetrated the parenchyma of the
plant tissue, stopping the spread of infection (Oliver & Hewitt, 2014). Some systemic fungicides move
within the plant only a short distance from the site of penetration. This is local-systemic fungicides.
The dichlorobimazole fungicides are one example of this group (González and Caetano, 2017). The
dicarboximide fungicides, iprodione, procyomidone, vinclozolin, chlozoline, and metomoeclan are
especially promising for the control of plant diseases caused by species of Botrytis, Sclerotinia,
Monilinia, Alternaria, Sclerotium, and Phoma (Dias, 2012). The mode of action of these compounds
is apparently related to the inhibition of triglyceride biosynthesis in fungi (Borgers Marcel, 1990).

Some locally systemic fungicides cross the leaf plate from one leaf surface to the other but do
not spread inside the plant. Those fungicides are called translaminar, i.e. trifloxystrobin (Mueller,
2006). Systemic fungicides, which are called xylem-mobile or acropetal systemics, move inside the
water-conducting tissue (xylem), which raises them up in the transpiration flow, however, mobility
within the plant is limited. For example, DMI fungicides are moderately mobile within plants. Others
are very mobile and easily move around the xylem. The examples of systemic fungicides which are
mobile in xylem are thiophanate-methyl and mefanox (Vincelli and Clarke, 2017). The third type of
systemic fungicide is a phloem-mobile system, compound circulates in phloem out of the sheet
where deposited upwards to the other leaves and downwards to the roots (Lesemann et al., 2006).
Only one example of this type of systemic exists among turfgrass fungicides: the phosphonates,
which include fosetyl-AI and the phosphites (Vincelli and Clarke, 2017).

Systemic fungicides can be used as protectants, eradicates, or both, and are the most
recently developed and the most promising type of fungicides at the moment (Pablo et al., 2003).
Though systemic fungicides usually have a particular location of the action, fungi may quickly
develop resistance to them if they are managed inappropriately (Lucas J. A., 2009).
Highly specific modern fungicides block only one target in the pathogen (monospecific
fungicides or single-site inhibitors). Deising and his colleagues (Deising et al. 2008) state that
"examples of single-site inhibitors are the benzimidazoles, phenylamides and strobilurins, released
to the market in the late 1970es and the mid 1990es" (Miguez et al., 2004).

Extensively used in agriculture are also benazimidazoles, a group of organic fungicides with
systemic action. These types of compounds control a wide range of fungi at a comparatively low cost
of treatment (Bernauer et al., 2015). For example, benomyl is one of the most effective and
extensively used benzimidazoles in crop protection (Pablo et al., 2003). The benzimidazoles
benomyl, carbenidazim, and thiabendazole and the phenylcarbamate diethofencarb specifically
interfere with the formation of microtubules, which function in a variety of cellular processes, including
mitosis and maintenance of the cell shape (Saladin et al., 2003; Elsiahi et al., 2014). These fungicides
bind specifically to protein subunits called tubulin and prevent their assembly from forming
microtubules.

The main difference between the effects of systemic and contact fungicides is that the first one
sometimes suppresses the fungus after infection of the plant, whereas the second one must be
present on the plants surface before infecting. Gradually, since the 1960s, systemic fungicides
replaced non-systemic non-systemic preparation, providing higher levels of plant protection (Dias
Maria Celeste, 2012). However, compared with the non-systemics, systemic fungicides are roughly
twice as expensive regarding sales (McGrath, 2004).

3. BREADTH OF ACTIVITY
Depending on the scope of their targets, fungicides can be classified as single-site or multi-site. Single-site fungicides are active against one point in one metabolic pathway of the fungus (Mueller, 2006). Examples of such fungicides can be various different drugs with one active ingredient, such as prothiocarbazone, pyraclostrobin, fludioxonil, the benzimidazoles (benomyl, thiofanatemethyl) and others. However, there are connections that are not very desirable to use alone, for example, azoxystrobin is recommended to use as a mixture with other fungicides having a different mechanism of action (Jørgensen et al., 2018). The probability of the pathogen's development resistance, in this case, is significantly reduced because resistant isolates to one fungicide will be killed by another fungicide. The effectiveness of this method can be demonstrated by Metalaxyl, phenylamide fungicide. When used as the sole compound in Ireland to combat pollution in potatoes (Phytophthora infestans) resistance developed within one growing season. However, in countries such as the UK where it was sold only as a mixture, resistance problems developed more slowly (Vincelli and Clarke, 2017).

On the other hand, because of this specific activity, fungi are more likely to develop resistance to the fungicide (Lesemann et al., 2006).

Multi-site fungicides can target multiple locations (different metabolic pathways). But single-site fungicides are considered less toxic to plants. Older contact fungicides such as mancozeb, fluazinam etc have multi-site activity and affect many fungal species in different classes (Sclerotinia, Botrytis, Alternaria, Phytophthora, Peronospora) (Cameron, 2016). Due to the rise in the stringency and number of normative tests required to register a new active ingredient, fungicide manufacturers have found it easier to develop single-site systemics recently (McGrath, 2004). Consequently, fungicide resistance has become a more critical issue in disease regulation. Examples of narrow-spectrum fungicides can be Folplan and Karatan (Ganiev and Nedorezkov, 2006).

The active ingredient of Folplan – folpet derived phthalimide. Folplan, has a narrow spectrum of activity, suppresses the development of pathogens Phytophthora and other fungi, except for much national (Ganiev and Nedorezkov, 2006). To broaden the spectrum of action can be mixed with other systemic fungicides, insecticides, which have no alkaline reaction (Paranjape et al., 2014). Folplan registered and approved for use on potatoes and grapes. Suppresses the development of Phytophthora, Peronospora, Oidium, Botrytis. The flow rate - about 3.0 kg/ha. The maximum number of treatments – two for a season (Ganiev and Nedorezkov, 2006).

The active substance of Karatan – dinocap derived nitrophenol. It suppresses the development of powdery mildew pathogens and has acaricidal action. Ineffective against peronosporic fungi. Can be mixed with other fungicides and insectoacaricides, which have no alkaline reaction. The duration of the protective effect in the optimal concentrations of 10-15 days. It is advisable to use prophylactic. The fungicide does not penetrate the leaves and fruit, so it's easy to rinse them. Karatan is registered and approved for use on cucumbers the closed and open soil, grapes, Apple, pear. The flow rate of the drug is 0.5-2.0 l/ha. The maximum number of treatments – three for season (Ganiev and Nedorezkov, 2006).

4. APPLICATION METHODS

Fungicides can be produced in the form of dust, granules, gas, but most often fluid. Depending on the type there are different methods of application:

1. Treat of planting material (mordanting). Fungicides can be applied in various solutions or incrustation of seeds, dry method or humidification, encapsulating or pelleting.

2. Application to the soil. This process is suitable when dealing with soil-borne pathogens. Most of these fungicides have low selectivity and thus eliminate not only bacteria and fungi but also the larvae of insect pests which could be of concern for environmental protection.

3. Spraying. The manual sprayers are used, as well as a specialized automobile or aircraft vehicles. Spraying can be carried out repeatedly in the rate of appearance of the young vegetative organs of the plant, the duration (Woodward et al., 2015) of action of a fungicide, and the risk of re-infection (Lee Butler, 2006).

Great importance in the success of seed protection is the correct timing of fungicide treatment. Thus, seed disinfectants are commonly used in packing material deposited in the late summer or autumn, and fungicides are used for spraying perennial plants during dormancy in late fall, winter or early spring, as they can be dangerous to growing plants (Hasan et al., 2013;
Whether the disease is monocyclic (one generation per year) or polycyclic (multiple generations) and latent period (time between infection and symptom expression) is also an essential aspect (Suffert & Thompson, 2018).

There are examples of an artificial neural network (ANN) capable of predicting diseases based on existing data. They perform extraordinarily complex calculations imitating biological in the real world without about course to exact quantitative. Back-propagation neural network (BPNN) is the most important and widely used one (Ming-wang Shi, 2011). The RBF network is used in Ming-wang Shi research, which is one of the new effective neural networks and is realized through a linear combination of nonlinear primary functions from the space $\mathbb{R}^n$ into a spatial RM through nonlinear transformation. He applied the GM Model (1,1) to predict plant diseases collected during the simulations. The results of the experiments show that the coincidence of the GM model parameter (1,1) coincides with the standard deviation of the disease index and incidence. This indicates that the GM system (1,1) is effective for the analysis of morbidity, and the parameters GM (1,1) may well reflect the change in the incidence of plants (Ming-wang Shi, 2011).

Another interesting example of plant diseases prediction is the using of electric fields (Benelli Jesse, 2013; Kuna-Broniowski et al., 2015). In the work of Marek Kuna-Broniowski and etc., this method is used to predict the spread of plant diseases from the Septoria by determining the splashing of raindrops. Most existing methods use climate conditions, calendar measurements, and disease cycles to predict infections (Donatelli et al., 2017). However, it is important to take into account the spraying of rain droplets as a method of transporting spores to higher parts of plants and neighbouring plants. Measurements of the scattering range and the number of spray particles using an electric field are achieved using a measuring system that allows accurate and reliable measurement of the dispersion range of sprayed droplets (Kuna-Broniowski et al., 2015).

Economic factors often influence the choice of fungicide and application timing. The most expensive fungicides and numerous applications are used on valuable plantings that might suffer a significant economic loss in the absence of treatment, for example, fruit trees (Untiedt & Blanke, 2004). The crop tolerance level, or detriment threshold, can change depending upon the stage of the crop development when attacked, crop management practices, climatic and location conditions (Kjøhl et al., 2011).

It is important to use the correct type of fungicide at the right time of year because one of the fungicide side-effects is phytotoxicity, i.e. a toxic effect on (beneficial) plants. For example, trifloxystrobin, which is often applied to Vitis vinifera vines, can damage and even kill some trees of the genus Malus. However, trifloxystrobin is dangerous for particular grape cultivars but not others (can cause injury to Vitis labrusca) (Vincelli, 2002). Some fungicides are even more specific, such as triazole + Qols that cannot be applied to glycine max later than during a growth stage known as R5 (Cameron, 2016).

6. THE MAIN CLASSES OF FUNGICIDE AND PLANT PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES

There are five main chemical classes of fungicides (Table 1). The largest group of them is triazoles. Fungicides of this class have been using against pathogens of various diseases of fruit and vegetable crops. Substances differ in the degree of activity, the spectrum of effects on pathogens, the rate of consumption, the grade of risk to ecosystems, the population and working personnel, the payback of the costs of their use. Despite the wide range of action, triazoles have disadvantages. The systematic use of preparation based on triazoles leads to the emergence of
resistant fungal strains. For example, triadimefon does not completely inhibit the fungal germination of the genus *Puccinia*.

The widely accepted assumption that fungicide has low phytotoxicity has started to be outdated with the publication of more detailed analyses at the cell level that demonstrated several damages to the photosynthetic apparatus (Petit *et al.*, 2008; Saladin Gaëlle *et al.*, 2003).

**Table 1 – The major classes of fungicides and their effects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical class</th>
<th>Fungicides</th>
<th>Mechanism of action</th>
<th>Fungi</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Phytotoxicity</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Triazoles</strong></td>
<td>tebuconazole, prothioconazole, dipheniconazole, ciproconazole, propiconazole, epoxiconazole, flutriafol, triadimefon, triticonazole, diniconazole</td>
<td>Inhibit sterol biosynthesis</td>
<td><em>Botrytis</em>, <em>Ustilago</em>, <em>Cercospora</em>, <em>Tilletia</em>, <em>Zymoseptoria</em>, <em>Fusarium</em>, <em>Alternaria</em>, <em>Puccinia</em>, <em>Septoria</em>, <em>Pythium</em>, <em>Drechslera</em>, <em>Pyrenophora</em>, <em>Rhynchosporium</em>, <em>Cladosporium</em>, <em>Epicoccum</em>, <em>Phoma</em></td>
<td>The systematic use of drugs based on triazoles causes resistance. The triadimefon does not completely inhibit the germination of conidia and rust urediospores.</td>
<td>there is a violation of the synthesis of gibberellins (retardant effect), the synthesis of sterols, a decrease in CO₂ assimilation, transpiration, stomatal conductance and intercellular CO₂ concentration.</td>
<td>(Cools <em>et al.</em>, 2013; Dias 2012; Mueller, 2006; Ahmed and Khan, 2012; Costa <em>et al.</em>, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strobilurins</strong></td>
<td>picoxystrobin, fluoxastrobin, azoxystrobin, trifloxystrobin, pyraclostrobin, krezoksims-methyl</td>
<td>Inhibit mitochondrial respiration by blocking electron transport in the cytochrome b and c₁ chain</td>
<td><em>Puccinia</em>, <em>Septoria</em>, <em>Pyrenophora</em>, <em>Alternaria</em>, <em>Cladosporium</em>, <em>Epicoccum</em>, <em>Botrytis</em>, <em>Rhynchosporium</em>, <em>Drechslera</em>, <em>Fusarium</em>, <em>Rhizoctonia</em>, <em>Ustilago</em>, <em>Erysiphe</em></td>
<td>Field resistance was recorded in <em>Oidium</em> <em>erysiphoides</em>, <em>Erysiphe graminis</em>, <em>Botrytis cinerea</em>. When strobilurins inhibit the activity of cytochrome b, alternative pathways of electron transport can easily be activated in the plant are rapidly hydrolyzed by ether linkage. During periods of drought, damage is exacerbated.</td>
<td>(Balba, 2007; Reddy, 2012; Vincelli, 2002; Wodziala, 2007)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Benzimidazoles</strong></td>
<td>prochloraz, thiabendazole, thiophanate-methyl, benomyl, carbendazim</td>
<td>Inhibit the synthesis of ergosterol in the fungal cell and disrupt its life activity</td>
<td><em>Fusarium</em>, <em>Botrytis</em>, <em>Sclerotinia</em>, <em>Septoria</em>, <em>Uncinia</em>, <em>Erysiphe</em></td>
<td>Stable pathogenic strains: <em>Pseudocercospora</em>, <em>Septoria</em>, <em>Fusarium</em>, <em>Erysiphe</em>,</td>
<td>decrease plant biomass. induces a considerable reduction on the chlorophyll</td>
<td>(Dias, 2012; Isaac, 1992; Deising <em>et al.</em>, 2008)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Triazoles also have phytotoxicity to protected plants. In a significant amount, fungicides cause a retardant effect (impaired synthesis of gibberellins); violate the synthesis of sterols, reduce transpiration of plants (Tom Allen, 2013). Triadimenol and propiconazole delay the removal of the primary leaf and violate its geotropism in the processing of cereal seeds. Tebuconazole can pass into the retardant under unfavourable conditions (waterlogging of the soil, lack of moisture, low germination energy, etc.). The same properties are inherent in triticonazole, to a lesser extent - to other azoles. But as the review “Constraints on the evolution of azole resistance in plant pathogenic fungi” says, today, the azoles still apply in the fight against pathogens of many culture, including grains, fruits and vegetables, canola and soybeans, despite numerous reports ofazole-resistant fungal strains (Cools et al., 2013).

The next well-known group of fungicides (over 30 years old) is phenylpyrrole. They are chemical analogues of the natural antifungal compound pyrrolnitrin (Kilani & Fillinger, 2016). Currently, fungicoxon is used as the active substance of fungicides. Phenylsilyl inhibits all stages of fungal development, germination of spores, lengthening of the embryonic tubes and mycelium growth. The observed consequences are swollen hyphae with increased branching and apical lysis, which indicate that phenylpyrroles can act on the biosynthesis of the intragenic turgor and cell wall (Lew, 2010).

Recently strains resistant to fludioxonil have been isolated from B. cinerea populations in China at low levels (<3%). They represent typical osmosensitivity and developmental defects of fludioxonil resistant mutants (Ren et al., 2016), which raises the question of their ability to compete with sensitive and severe strains and the selective pressure of fungicide treatments on these specific populations. Globally, there is no specific resistance to fludioxonil among grey mould populations that support the high efficacy of this fungicide (Walker et al., 2013).

To avoid the emergence of resistance to phenylpyrroles, combined preparations should be used or alternate with different mechanisms of action. In addition to problems with possible resistance, there is a risk of phytotoxic effects in relation to protecting plants (Petit et al., 2008). For example, in research of Petit A,N, Fontaine F, Clément and Vaillant-Gaveau N (Petit et al., 2008) and also Saladin G, Magne C, Clement C (Saladin Gaëlle et al., 2003) about effects of fludioxonil in Vitis vinifera L. These reports have shown that application of fungicides has consequences for plant physiology, such as a plant growth reduction, perturbation of reproductive organ development, alteration of nitrogen, and/or carbon metabolism and limit photosynthetic activity (Petit et al., 2008; Leroux, 1996; Saladin et al., 2003).

Saladin et al. reported that in vitro application of some fungicides, i.e. fludioxonil, and a systemic fungicide pyrimethanil, promoted different physiological responses of plants. Firstly, both fungicides decreased net CO2 assimilation, transpiration rate, stomatal conductance, and intercellular CO2 concentration; secondly, in the fruiting cuttings, the fungicides affected CO2 exchange neither transpiration rates (Saladin Gaëlle et al., 2003).

Strobilurin group includes synthetic substances similar in structure to natural fungicidal toxins - strobilurins A and B, isolated from the culture of microorganisms Strobilurus tenacellus (Balba, 2007). Strobilurins are recommended to be used first in the growing season because they rapidly

---

| Morpholines (cinnamic acid derivatives) | spiroxamine, dimethomorph | Prevent the formation of mycelium and block the reduction of the double compound C-C and ergosterol synthesis | Erysiphe, Uncinula, Septoria, Puccinia | Stable fungal strains form slowly, fungicides block the reduction reactions in the process of sterol biosynthesis and isomerization | decrease of the sterols synthesis | (Biol et al., 2013; Isaac, 1992) |
reduce the ability of resistant to triazole forms to their development on leaves. In addition, the selection pressure is reduced, since the level of the inoculum is the lowest at the beginning of the growing season. Due to the wide range of activities and practical safety for the environment, strobilurins are considered to be the most significant group of fungicides that appeared after the preparations of the triazole classes. These substances can be attributed to biofungicides since they are of natural origin (Reddy, 2012). High resistance to strobilurins (for example, 200 times less sensitive to them in powdery mildew of wheat) is due to a one-point mutation in that part of the cytochrome b molecule, which determines the binding of this enzyme to fungicides. At the same time, the active centre of the enzyme does not change, and the resistant (mutant) forms of fungi do not lose their viability as a result of mutation and the acquisition of resistance to strobilurins. It is also possible the cross-resistance between strobilurins-methoxyacylates, oximinocetates and non-strobilurins with a similar mechanism of action-oxazolidinediones. Resistance is registered in Oidium erysipheoides, Erysiphe graminis, Botrydi cinerea (Leroux et al., 2000). To prevent resistance, only 1-2 treatments (in some cases, three) at intervals of 14-16 days are permitted during the season and only preparation in the fungicide alternation system with a different mechanism of action from strobilurins (Benelli Jesse, 2013) are allowed. For vegetable and fruit, it is triazoles, ethylenebisdithiocarbamates, preparations based on copper and sulfur. When processing annuals in the treated area, it is necessary to practice changing cultures (Reddy, 2012).

Some reports suggested that the systemic fungicide strobilurin may improve the water status and stress management of plants under conditions of drought stress (Paranjape, et al., 2014; Barr et al., 2005), Nason Mark and his colleagues in their work ‘Strobilurin fungicides induce changes in photosynthetic gas exchange that do not improve water use efficiency of plants grown under conditions of water stress’ (Nason et al., 2007) showed that the application of beta-methoxyacylate, a strobilurin fungicide, improve the water use efficiency only in well-watered Triticum aestivum and Hordeum vulgare plants. However, when these plants were under drought stress, strobilurin strongly reduced net CO₂ assimilation, intercellular CO₂ concentration, transpiration rate, and rate of stomatal conductance to water. In this study, net CO₂ assimilation reduction seems to be related to stomatal conductance decrease. It is possible that stomata respond to strobilurin-induced changes in mesophyll photosynthesis either by sensing changes in the intercellular CO₂ concentration or by responding to the pool size of an unidentified C-fixing substrate. It is also possible that the effects of strobilurin fungicides are mediated via ABA-based chemical signalling (Mueller, 2006).

The analysis of several chlorophylls a fluorescence parameter of plants treated with fungicides (Xia et al., 2006), 14, (Mueller, 2006; Deising et al., 2008) demonstrated that light reactions of photosynthesis are also sensitive to fungicide exposure. Bader and Abdel-Basset showed, for the first time, that fungicides of the triforine type (a systemic and contact fungicide) strongly inhibit electron-transport reactions of chloroplasts. Moreover, the application of systemic fungicides, benzimidazoles and triazole, and a dithiocarbamate contact fungicide affected the effective quantum yield of PSII as well as the maximal quantum efficiency of PSII (Fv/Fm). This reduction was attributed to the decrease in photochemical quenching (qP) (Xia et al., 2006), (Deising et al., 2008). In Glycine max, strobilurin fungicides application reduced the ratio of Fv/Fm. Strobilurin fungicides seem to block the transport of electrons between PSII and PSI by binding to the Qi site of the chloroplast cytochrome b f complex (Mueller, 2006).

Benimidazole formulations were among the first systemic fungicides to appear on the market. Benimidazole derivatives are effective against diseases of vegetative organs, as well as a complex of phytopathogens transmitted between seeds, so they find wide application as seed disinfectants (Cameron, 2016). The narrow selectivity of the action contributes to a sufficiently rapid selection of resistant genotypes and the formation of a resistant population after a systematic (within 3-4 years) use of substantive of this group (Pablo et al., 2003). Several reports show a decrease in biomass production in fungicide-treated plants: benomyl, a systemic fungicide, reduced the growth of Gossypium hirsutum, Helianthus annuus, Cucumis sativus, Lactuca sativa, and Pinus taeda (Hunsche et al., 2007). Moreover, the application of carbendazim (systemic benzimidazole fungicide) in Nicotiana tabacum affected negatively plant biomass (Pablo et al., 2003).

Pigment biosynthesis is reported by Ahmed et al. (Hunsche et al., 2007) to be inhibited by benomyl. This fungicide induces a considerable reduction on the chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, carotenoids, and the content of the total pigment of Helianthus annuus plants (Hunsche et al., 2007). Similarly, the treatment of Vitis vinifera with fludioxonil and Nicotiana tabacum with carbendazim also decreases the chlorophyll and carotenoid content (Pablo et al., 2003; Saladin et al., 2003). Van Iersel.
Changju epoxiconazole Triticum aestivum - Mycosphaerella graminicola biosynthesis, and assimilation in fungicide Lycopersicon and in instant strains, through effects; residues monitoring programme: Quarter 1 2017 et al., 2003)

2007) due to stomatal closure) et al., 2003) phytoalexin synthesis and cell wall lignification or stimulate enzymes involved in, (Saladin 2003) Celosia plumosa are a class of low Blanke, 2004) Saladin Untiedt and Blanke, 2004) et al., 2017) fied in the study of two major pathogens (Lamberth, 2012) However, es do not differ from et al., 2012) The reason for this phenomenon may be that a there is a negative cross (Indian Council Of Agricultural Research, 2011) d, - (Petit War meters, these substances (Petit 2003) -hybrid, and - (systemic fungicide) application in et al., 2003) but require higher application rates. Despite the slow development of resistant strains, there is a potential for dimethomorph to develop resistant strains of pathogens that do not have cross-resistance to phenylamides.

There are cases of phytotoxicity with substances from other chemical classes. In study Yuba R. Kandela, Daren S. Mueller and etc. (Kandel et al., 2018) says that preemergence herbicides and seed treatment fluopyram each has led to increased phytotoxicity in the VC-V1 growth stage in soybean compared to the untreated control. Physiological studies after fungicide application on several species reported modifications of both photosynthetic activity and chlorophyll a fluorescence [(Saladin Gaëlle et al., 2003). Decreased CO₂ assimilation in fungicide-treated plants is attributed to both stomatal (due to stomatal closure) (Xia et al., 2006) and nonstomatal effects due to a disruption in the capacity of RuBisCO carboxylation, decrease of RuBisCO content, and/or reduction of the ribulose 1.5 bisphosphate regeneration (Petit et al., 2008; Mueller, 2006).

Modifications of dark respiration were reported after mancozeb (contact fungicide) and flusilazol (systemic fungicide) application in Malus domestica. The increase in dark respiration can be explained by additional energy requirement, metabolic breakdown of the compound, and/or activation of the alternative, cyanide-insensitive, respiration. Curiously, the treatment with strobilurin fungicides induced different responses: while in Triticum aestivum and in Spinacia oleracea plants respiration was inhibited (Paranjape et al., 2014; Pantazopoulou and Diallinas, 2007) in Triticum aestivum dark respiration was reduced (Mueller, 2006).

The most crucial aspect of work of fungicides is their efficiency against fungal pathogens or their residues in crops (Report on the pesticide residues monitoring programme: Quarter 1 2017, 2017), (Saladin et al., 2003). Several reports found that some fungicides can improve plant defences through phytoalexin synthesis and cell wall lignification or stimulate enzymes involved in the synthesis of phenolic compounds (Saladin et al., 2003; War et al., 2012). Others describe the supposed protective role of fungicides for crops against various types of stress factors. Wu and Von Tiedemann (Petit et al., 2008; Untiedt and Blanke, 2004) described the protective function of triazoles in Hordeum vulgare and Arachis hypogaea against ozone exposure or salt stress by stimulating antioxidative enzymes. Furthermore, azoxytrobin and epoxiconazole were shown to retard senescence of Triticum aestivum primarily due to an expansion of the antioxidative potential protecting the plants from damage by active oxygen species (Untiedt and Blanke, 2004). Muthukumarasamy and Panneerselvam described the induction of the synthesis of photosynthetic pigments and proteins in treated plants (Indian Council Of Agricultural Research, 2011). However, only small number of studies have considered the question of whether these products boost or inhibit physiological and metabolic activities in the plant tissues (Pablo et al., 2003), and the negative impact of fungicides on photosynthesis, pigment content, growth, and alterations in the reproductive organs was poorly analyzed (Petit et al., 2008; Saladin et al., 2003).

The decrease in photosynthesis rate intensely influences plant biomass production and growth rates. Information about fungicide effects on plant physiology (especially on photosynthesis)
is decisive for the understanding of the primary regulatory mechanisms and the phytotoxicity of a given compound (Petit et al., 2008).

8. MYCORRHIZAL FUNGI RESPONSES

Fungicidal compositions for seeds containing a multi-ingredient system are targeted at multiple metabolic processes. And many researchers in this field are concerned with the question: can these fungicides inhibit inappropriate soil fungi, such as obligate plant symbiotic arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF).

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are symbionts of plants, which interrelate with approximately 80% of plant species (Cameron, 2016). For example, multilateral interactions between roots and mycorrhizal fungi can have a synergistic effect on the growth and systemic priming of wheat (Pérez-de-Luque et al., 2017). These symbionts often have a beneficial effect on the host plant, increasing nutrient intake and tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses, improving soil quality in cropping systems.

The study of Xue-Li He and his colleagues in the journal Huan Jing Ke Xue (He et al., 2012) says that in the treatment with benomyl, the content of K in the shoot and the Fe in the root decreased significantly in mycorrhizal plants; in the treatment with difenoconazole, the total N and K content in the shoot also decreased, Ca in the roots; mycorrhizal colonization, total P, K and Cu content in the shoot, the total amount of N, Ca, Zn and Fe in the root was significantly reduced with fluosilazole. The inhibitory effect of flusilazole on the colonization of Glomus mosseae and the growth of Scutellaria baicalensis were higher than with difenoconazole and benomyl (He et al., 2012).

But in other studies, in the analysis of corn (Zea mays L.), soybean (Glycine max L.) and oats (Avena sativa L.) treated with azoxystrobin, fludioxonil, mekenoxane, trifloxystrobin, and pyraclostrobin, no found a significant effect on AM fungal colonization (Cameron et al., 2017). Fungicides were applied according to the recommended dosages. In small amounts, the following negative effects were observed. Corn treated by Cruiser Extreme had significantly lower (P < 0.05) colonization of AM fungi compared to the other two fungicides (Trilex, Stamina) and tended to decrease the colonization of AM corn roots as compared to controls (P = 0.08). The Cruiser Extreme consists of a locally systemic fungicide (azoxystrobin) inhibiting respiration, a systemic fungicide (mekenoxane) inhibiting the synthesis of nucleic acids, and a contact fungicide (fludioxonil), which prevents the transduction of cells (Dias, 2012).

However, in the analysis of soy, the same relation was not found. In oats, the results were lower than the rest, but not lower than the controls (Clayton et al., 2016). The differences in the colonization of AM fungal between fungicidal medication, apparently, are not related to a particular mode of action. There was no relationship between the treatment of fungicide and plant genotype during colonization of AM fungi or the content of plant nutrients (Doe, 2017). The plant genotype has a consistent effect on the colonization of AM fungi and the nutrient content of plants.

Schreiner and Bethlenfalvay have shown that a higher variety of AMF can better withstand the negative effects of fungicides (Schreiner & Bethlenfalvay, 1997). The essential role of fungicidal action on AMF can be played by their movement in the plant. As a rule, contact fungicides are less harmful than systemic fungicides when using seeds measured by sporulation, glomalin and biomass of the host plant (Hongyan et al., 2013).

Murillo-Williams and Pedersen found that fludioxonil in treated seed had a positive effect on the AMF colonization in soy (Glycine max L.) due to a decrease in competition with the aggressive pathogen Rhizoctonia spp. (Murillo-Williams and Pedersen, 2008). But in another case, fludioxonil had no significant effect on the colonization of AMF in onions (Hernández-Dorrego and Mestre-Parés, 2010). Thus, the potential negative effects of systemic and contact fungicides on non-targeted, useful AMF are not fully understood and studied (Hongyan et al., 2013). With the recent introduction of commercial modified AMF for large-scale crop production, understanding the effects of fungicides on these beneficial organisms can help minimize the unintentional interactions between fungicides and AMF.

7. CONCLUSION

Fungicides are widely used and have become the main means of inhibiting the growth of fungi and fungal spores due to their relatively low cost, high efficiency and ease of use.
However, despite the wide variety of existing products and various routes of use, the problem of the emergence of new fungicide-resistant strains of pathogens remains open. Available studies have demonstrated that fungicide application may impair photosynthesis, the synthesis of sterols, gibberellins, transpiration, reduce CO₂ assimilation and biomass, influence on the content of the total pigment. However, reports on phytotoxicity are generally based on a few physiological parameters using a large variety of plant species and different types and concentrations of fungicides, leading in some cases to contradictory results. This significantly jeopardizes a comprehensive knowledge of the primary effects of fungicides on the photosynthesis and certainly deserves further investigation.

It may be worthwhile to study in more detail methods for predicting the spread of diseases and testing theories during the development of fungicides using machine learning (i.e. artificial neural network). And as attractive aspects for further fungicide study are such aspects as cross-resistance and negative cross-resistance of different chemical classes fungicides. This knowledge would be extremely useful when developing new preparations.

Furthermore, the problem of the negative impact of fungicides on the environment due to their high toxicity still remains unresolved. However, the situation can be improved with the use of new technologies and a deeper understanding of the fungicides mechanism of action. Because of it allows to create preparations with a lower content of active substance, but not less effective. The solution to that problem will provide benefits not only for plants yield but also for the environment and human health.

Concerns about the non-targeted effects of fungicides on AMF are mainly focused on the potential impact on natural AMF in integrated management systems. However, understanding the compatibility of fungicides used for seeds, not only with natural but with modified useful AMF, is important if we want to maximize the benefits of both, obtained from sowing crops.
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