

Implication of multivariate analysis in breeding to obtain desired plant type of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench)

ABSTRACT

Twenty diverse okra genotypes were evaluated to assess the genetic diversity based on quantitative morphological and qualitative biochemical traits in a randomized block design during 2015-16. On the basis of D^2 analysis, the 20 genotypes were clustered into five groups. Cluster I constituted the largest group (10 genotypes) followed by cluster II (7 genotypes). The cluster III, IV and V contains only 1 genotype in each cluster. Among phytochemical characters, carotenoids alone contribute highest percentage (39%) toward divergence, followed by chlorophyll a (31%) and anthocyanin (17%). The five principal components have accounted 81.19% of total variation and percent variation expected were 36.27% (PC1), 18.21% (PC2), 16.42% (PC3), 5.91% (PC4) and 4.38% (PC5), respectively. The PC1 has positive association with days to first flowering, followed by yield/plant, primary branches/plant, carotenoid and phenol contents. However, PC1 has negative association for fruits length, fruit diameter and ascorbic acid content. Therefore, the traits viz., days to first flowering, first flowering node and yield per plant should be given top priority in diverse parent selection for attempting high yielding along with important phytochemical properties in okra. Allocations of germplasm into different clusters were because of cumulative effect of number of characters.

Keywords: Genetic diversity; okra; principal component; yield; biochemical.

1. INTRODUCTION

Okra is a multipurpose and multifarious vegetable crop valued for its tender and delicious pods [1], rich in iodine and fibre which are cooked in curry and also in soup making. The dried seeds of okra are used as a coffee additives or substitutes and were reported to contain 18-20% oil and 20-25% crude protein [2]. It is cultivated in tropical, sub-tropical and mild temperate parts of the world and gaining importance in these regions of the world; especially in Egypt. The tender green pods have an average nutritive value of 3.21 which is higher than tomato, eggplant and most cucurbits except bitter gourd [3]. In addition to its usefulness as a vegetable, its fruits are also useful in curing ulcer and suppressing the pains and effects of haemorrhoid. Okra fruits have mucilage in latex form i.e. used as a

33 plasma replacement or blood volume expander [4]. It is photo insensitive in nature, short
34 duration and having high seed setting capacity which makes the crop ideal for genetical study
35 in crop improvement. In spite of its multipurpose use, it is being neglected because of the
36 non-availability of improved and high yielding locally adapted cultivars and reduction in
37 yield due to frequent attack of shoot and fruit borer and yellow vein mosaic virus. At present,
38 the major objective of okra breeding programs is improving yield and ensuring its
39 sustainability under adverse conditions through various techniques, hence, it is very
40 necessary to determine the factors or traits that influence fruit and seed yields of okra,
41 directly and indirectly or both. The major limiting factor which is responsible for reduction
42 of cultivation of okra is incidence of okra yellow vein mosaic virus and its vector whitefly
43 (*Bemisia tabaci* Gen.). It affects the quality of the fruit which ultimately cause heavy loss of
44 fruit yield [5]. Among the world India secure the first rank in collection of cultivated okra
45 (*Abelmoschus esculents* L. Moench) in the gene bank. Moreover, India share 72.9 % of the
46 world okra production and among Indian states, West Bengal (14% share) is leading in okra
47 producer followed by Bihar (12% share). The main reasons for wide genetic diversity are
48 geographically separation, genetic barriers to crossability, and different parents of evolution
49 [6]. Genetic diversity is a key factor for crop improvement from which useful characters can
50 be selected for developing broad-based populations to be used in hybridization programme
51 towards improvement [7] and [8]. Mahalanobis D^2 statistics which is based on multivariate
52 analysis is a powerful tool to estimate the degree of divergence among genotypes in the
53 population and nature of forces operating at different levels [9]. For selection of parent for
54 hybridization programme it is very essential to know the information of genetic diversity of
55 okra germplasm. It revealed rich genetic diversity for various growth, earliness and yield
56 associated traits in the germplasm offering a great scope for improvement of okra (Ghai and
57 co-workers [10], Kumari and Chaudhury [11], Singh and co-workers [12], Bendale and co-
58 workers [13]). Moreover, most frequent genetic diversity assessing methods are cluster
59 analysis and principal component analysis (PCA). The cluster analysis has been most
60 exploited for assessing family relationships [14]. The present investigation was therefore,
61 undertaken to assess the nature and genetic diversity available in a large germplasm and the
62 characters which play important role in genetic diversity of okra.

63 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

64 The present investigation was carried out using 16 okra genotypes viz., Azad Bhindi-
65 1, VROB-159, VROB-178, VRO-106, VRO-109, SB-2, Pusa Sawani, Punjab-8, BO-13,

66 Kashi Satdhar, Pusa Makhmali, Kashi Mohini, IBS-02, 307-10-1, IC-14909, CO-3, and 4
67 checks i.e. Kashi Pragati (VRO-6), Kashi Kranti (VRO-22), Kashi Lalima and Arka Anamika
68 procured from different national institutes, ICAR-Indian Institute of Vegetable Research
69 (IIVR), Varanasi, India and ICAR-Indian Institute of Horticulture Research (IIHR),
70 Bengaluru. This trial was performed in randomize block design with three replications and
71 germplasm evaluated at research farm of the Department of Horticulture (Vegetable &
72 Floriculture), Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur (Bihar) during Rainy season
73 of 2015-16. The soil of the plot was sandy loam in texture having good fertility properly
74 levelled and well drained. The rainfall of this region is mainly distributed between middle of
75 June to middle of October. The total rainfall received during the crop period was 282.57 mm.
76 The maximum temperature ranged from 23.9°C - 35°C during the plant growth and
77 development phase. All the agronomic package and practices were adopted to raise the
78 healthy crop. Observations were recorded on 22 economically important traits viz., 13
79 quantitative traits i.e. Days to first flowering, days to 50% flowering, first flowering node,
80 plant canopy width (cm), number of primary branches per plant, plant height (cm), fruit
81 length (cm), fruit diameter (cm), number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight (g), number
82 of seeds per pod, yield per plant (kg), fruit yield (q/ha) and 7 biochemical characters i.e.
83 Chlorophyll a, Chlorophyll b, total Chlorophyll, Carotenoids, anthocyanin, ascorbic acid,
84 phenols, crude fibre and moisture. The genetic divergence among the okra genotypes was
85 estimated by using D^2 statistics [15]. All genotypes were clustered into different groups
86 accomplished by Tocher's method [16]. The average distance between the cluster and within
87 the cluster was calculated by the statistical procedure given by Singh and Choudhary [17].

88 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

89 3.1 Grouping of genotypes into different cluster

90 Based on Mahalanobis D^2 statistics, clustering of all 20 okra genotypes for 22
91 quantitative traits was done into five different groups (Table 1). The maximum number of
92 genotypes (10) were grouped into cluster I followed by cluster II (7 genotypes). The cluster
93 III, IV and V each contains only 1 genotype. The genotypes present in a single group were
94 genetically similar for most of the traits. However, they have different geographical area of
95 origin, for example cluster II consists of Arka Anamika (from IIHR, Bangalore) and Azad
96 Bhindi-1 (from CSAUA&T, Kanpur), in cluster I Pusa Sawani (from IARI, New Delhi) and
97 Kashi Mohini (from IIVR, Varanasi) which were having different source of origin. Hence,
98 geographical origins do not decide the grouping of genotypes in a group [18]. Oriyo [19] also

99 has given the same statement. Therefore, the reason behind occurrence of genetic diversity
 100 among the genotypes might be some other factors like different genetic architecture of the
 101 population, heterogeneity, selection history, and genetic drift. The cause for greater diversity
 102 in genotypes may due to genetic drift and selection in different environments than the
 103 geographical distance [18]. It described that the geographically isolated genotypes in okra
 104 need not to show genetic diversity [20]. Shantha kumar and Salimath [21], Kumar and co-
 105 workers [22], Solankey and Singh [23] also conveyed the similar results. Ramya and
 106 Senthilkumar [24] advocated dearth of clear relationship between geographical as well as
 107 genetic diversity in okra.

108 **Table 1. Clustering pattern of diverse okra genotypes**

Clusters	Number of Genotypes	Name of genotypes
I	10	VROB-159, SB-2, VRO-6, Pusa Sawani, Punjab-8, BO-13, Kashi Mohini, VRO-109, Kashi Satdhar and Pusa Makhmali
II	7	Arka Anamika, Azad Bhindi-1, IBS-02, 307-10-1, IC-14909, CO-3 and VROB-178
III	1	VRO-106
IV	1	Kashi Kranti
V	1	Kashi Lalima


109

110 The average D^2 values of intra and inter cluster are present in Table 2. The results
 111 showed that intra cluster distances varies from 221.525 (cluster II) to 624.030 (cluster V)
 112 with the highest inter cluster value between cluster II and V (8393.597). It was followed by
 113 cluster I and V (5708.096), cluster II and IV (3807.119), cluster III and V (3223.140), cluster
 114 II and III (1593.631).

115 **Table 2. Intra and inter cluster values (Euclidean² cluster distance) for 20 okra genotypes**

Cluster	I	II	III	IV	V
I	446.443	793.737	758.924	2184.159	5708.096
II		221.525	1593.631	3807.119	8393.597
II			288.685	888.870	3223.140
IV				282.383	1443.396
V					624.030

116 Fig. 1. Dendrogram (Tocher's method) showing clustering pattern among 20 okra genotypes



132 The cluster mean of 20 genotypes is presented in Table 3. The table values showed
 133 that cluster IV having only one entry (Kashi Kranti) but having highest contribution in mean
 134 of carotenoid, moisture content (91.891) and yield per plant (253.247) mainly due to highest
 135 fruit length, fruits per plant and high average fruit weight and less mean performance for days
 136 to first flowering, first flowering node, plant canopy width, plant height. Cluster V also
 137 contain one entry i.e. Kashi Lalima (red pod colour) were found highest mean performance
 138 for days to first flowering, days to 50% flowering, ascorbic acid and anthocyanin content
 139 mainly due to red colour of pod and less mean performance for chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b
 140 and total chlorophyll.

141


142 **Table 3. Cluster means by using Tocher's method**

Characters	Clusters				
	I	II	III	IV	V
Days to First Flowering	43.067	43.571	44.000	42.000	44.000
Days to 50 % Flowering	45.933	46.143	46.667	46.667	47.000
First Flowering Node	6.233	6.881	6.667	5.800	6.333
Plant Canopy Width (cm)	65.232	88.178	101.103	52.883	69.437
Primary Branches/ Plant	3.097	3.067	3.767	2.733	2.700
Plant Height (cm)	75.033	85.429	101.333	60.667	71.333
Fruit Length (cm)	9.718	9.896	9.767	10.833	10.533
Fruit Diameter (cm)	1.596	1.514	1.600	1.473	1.557
Fruits/ Plant	14.961	16.190	13.510	18.580	17.783
Average Fruit Weight (g)	11.821	10.489	9.303	13.627	10.723
Number of Seeds Per Pod	49.333	43.857	41.000	45.333	33.667
Yield/ Plant (g)	177.241	169.456	125.753	253.247	191.057
Fruit Yield (q/ha)	177.241	169.456	125.753	253.247	191.057
Chlorophyll a	0.452	1.631	4.841	3.847	0.000
Chlorophyll b	0.308	0.811	2.670	1.904	0.000
Total Chlorophyll	0.759	2.442	7.511	5.751	0.000
Carotenoids	1.518	1.833	2.558	5.705	0.742
Anthocyanin	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.142
Ascorbic Acid	12.385	14.171	13.847	18.367	19.671
Phenols	45.975	47.173	41.982	43.620	41.866
Crude Fiber (%)	0.290	0.265	0.230	0.210	0.127
Moisture (%)	86.958	87.978	87.419	91.891	81.851


143 **3.2 Contribution of traits toward genetic diversity**

144 The contribution percent towards genetic divergence showed that only nine yield and
145 its contributing traits along with qualitative characters shares almost 100 % in genetic
146 divergence (Fig. 2). Among these nine traits, highest contribution made by qualitative traits
147 (carotenoids content, chlorophyll a and Anthocyanin content with percent share of 39%, 31%
148 and 17%, respectively) followed by quantitative traits such as plant canopy width (4%).
149 Whereas, the traits like ascorbic acid, crude fibre (3%), phenols (2%), chlorophyll b and
150 number of seeds per pod (1%) also having significant contribution towards divergence. It
151 specifies that carotenoids content would be the important parameter for selecting diverse okra
152 genotypes for nutritional purpose.

153 **Fig. 2. Graphical representation of proportionate contribution of studied major traits**
 154 **(in parentheses value) towards genetic divergence in okra**



155
 156
 157 **Fig. 3. Scattered diagram by using two dimensional ordinations of 20 okra genotypes**
 158 **based on PC (principal component) axis 1 and 2**



178 3.3 Principal component analysis

179 The principal component analyses (PCA) of 22 traits in 20 okra genotypes are
180 mentioned in Table 4 & Fig. 3. The results displays the Eigen values for five principal
181 components (PCs) viz., PC 1, PC 2, PC 3, PC 4 and PC 5 were 7.980, 4.007, 3.614, 1.302 and
182 0.964, respectively, which contributes about 81.19% of total genetic variation. These similar
183 results were also reported by Yonas and co-workers [25]. The maximum variations were
184 contributed by PC 1 and PC 2 of about 36.27% and 18.21% of total variations. The two-
185 dimensional ordinations of 20 okra genotypes on PC axis 1 and 2 (Fig. 3), revealed scattered
186 diagram of genotypic distribution pattern on axis. The scattered diagram showed that 81.21%
187 of cumulative total variations were contributed by first 5 principal components, collectively.
188 The parameters like days to first flowering (0.113), first flowering node (0.175), plant canopy
189 width (0.329), primary branches per plant (0.307), plant height (0.323), yield per plant
190 (0.285), chlorophyll a (0.168), total chlorophyll (0.129), carotenoids (0.066), anthocyanin
191 (0.034) and phenols content showed positive association with PC1 whereas traits such as fruit
192 length (-0.152), fruit diameter (-0.328), number of fruits per plant (-0.245), average fruit
193 weight (-0.225), number of seeds per pod (-0.321), chlorophyll b (-0.087), crude fibre (-
194 0.215), moisture (-0.039) and ascorbic acid (-0.272) content having negative association for
195 the same. The component PC2 displayed the positive association for days to first flowering
196 (0.119), days to 50% flowering (0.277), number of fruits per plant (0.183), yield per plant and
197 phenol content (0.332) while, plant height (-0.122), average fruit weight (-0.027),
198 anthocyanin content (-0.077), crude fibre (-0.066) have negative association. The third PC
199 has positive association with days to first flowering (0.174), days to 50% flowering (0.085),
200 number of fruits per plant (0.186) and phenol content (0.163) while, negative association with
201 primary branches per plant (-0.123), average fruit weight (-0.106) and crude fibre (-0.387)
202 content. PC IV positively associated with days to first flowering (0.616), phenols (0.068),
203 crude fibre (0.114) and moisture (0.288) content while, negative association with number of
204 fruits per plant (-0.106), yield per plant (-0.275) and ascorbic acid (-0.054) content. The chief
205 role in genetic divergence analysis demonstrated by positively associated characters for
206 different PCs. The above results are in conformity with the works done by Singh and co-
207 workers [26], Koundinya and co-workers [27], Kumar and co-workers [22] and Solankey and
208 Singh [23].

209

210 **Table 4. Principal component analysis for 22 traits in 20 okra genotypes**

Variables/ Characters	Eigen Vector				
	PC I	PC II	PC III	PC IV	PC V
Eigene Value (Root)	7.980	4.007	3.614	1.302	0.964
% Var. Exp.	36.273	18.212	16.426	5.917	4.383
Cum. Var. Exp.	36.273	54.485	70.911	76.828	81.212
Days to First Flowering	0.113	0.119	0.174	0.616	0.276
Days to 50 % Flowering	-0.122	0.277	0.085	-0.094	0.225
First Flowering Node	0.175	-0.175	-0.108	-0.092	-0.466
Plant Canopy Width (cm)	0.329	-0.104	-0.051	-0.065	0.064
Primary Branches/ Plant	0.307	-0.132	-0.123	-0.067	0.146
Plant Height (cm)	0.323	-0.122	-0.077	-0.055	0.007
Fruit Length (cm)	-0.152	0.136	0.178	-0.141	-0.536
Fruit Diameter (cm)	-0.328	0.072	0.039	0.107	0.122
Fruits/ Plant	-0.245	0.183	0.186	-0.106	-0.076
Average Fruit Weight (g)	-0.225	-0.027	-0.106	-0.471	0.316
Number of Seeds Per Pod	-0.321	0.043	-0.050	0.067	0.199
Yield/ Plant (g)	0.285	0.004	-0.100	-0.275	0.362
Fruit Yield (q/ha)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Chlorophyll a	0.168	0.405	-0.147	0.009	-0.058
Chlorophyll b	-0.087	-0.454	0.102	-0.058	0.158
Total Chlorophyll	0.129	0.382	-0.147	0.054	0.016
Carotenoids	0.066	0.362	-0.229	-0.341	0.018
Anthocyanin	0.034	-0.077	0.498	-0.148	0.114
Ascorbic Acid	-0.272	-0.030	-0.312	-0.054	0.000
Phenols	0.171	0.332	0.163	0.068	0.049
Crude Fiber (%)	-0.215	-0.066	-0.387	0.114	0.058
Moisture (%)	-0.039	-0.086	-0.455	0.288	-0.062

211 **4. CONCLUSION**

212 Desirable genetic diversity and principal component analysis were most reliable
 213 selection parameters for electing promising traits viz., days to first picking, first flowering
 214 node and days to first flowering in okra. These traits should be given top priority in okra
 215 breeding programme for diverse parent selection for attempting heterotic cross combination
 216 and development of high yielding and YVMV resistant hybrids/varieties in okra.

217 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

218 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

219 **REFERENCES**

- 220 1. Chinatu LN, Okocha PI. The prospect of increased production of okra (*Abelmoschus*
 221 *esculentus* (L.) Moench through heterosis. Journal of Sustainable Tropical
 222 Agricultural Research. 2006; 17: 66-71.
- 223 2. Berry SK, Kalara CL, Sehgal RC, Kulkarni SG, Sharma BR. Quality characteristics of
 224 seeds of five okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) cultivars. Journal of Food Science and
 225 Technology. 1988; 25: 303-305.
- 226 3. Sharma BR. Genetic improvement of vegetable crops. Kalloo G, Bergh BO (eds.)
 227 Pergamon Press Oxford and New York. 1993; pp.751-769.
- 228 4. Siemonsma JS, Kouame C. Vegetable. Plant Resource of Tropical Africa 2.PROTA
 229 Foundation, Wageningen, Netherlands. 2004; pp.21-29
- 230 5. Solankey SS, Akhtar S, Kumar R, Verma RB, Sahajanand K. Seasonal response of
 231 okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench) genotypes for okra yellow vein mosaic
 232 virus incidence. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2014; 13(12):1336-1342.
- 233 6. Kumar A, Verma RB, Solankey SS, Adarsh A. Appraisal of okra (*Abelmoschus*
 234 *esculentus* L. Moench) genotypes for yield and yellow vein mosaic virus incidence.
 235 Indian Phytopathology. 2015; 68(2):201-206.
- 236 7. Lester RN, Jaeger PML, Bleijendaal SBHM, Holloway HLO. African eggplant-A
 237 review of collecting in West Africa. Plant Genetic Resources Newsletter. 1990;
 238 81(82): 17-28.
- 239 8. Hammond S, Charrier A. Large variations of okra collected in Benin and Togo. Plant
 240 Genetic Resources Newsletter. 1983; 50: 52-56.
- 241 9. Coelho CMM, Coimbra JLM, Souza C, Bogo A, Guidolin AF. Genetic diversity in
 242 common bean accessions. Ciencia-Rural. 2007; 37(5): 1241-1247.
- 243 10. Ghai TR, Arora D, Jindal SK, Singh P. Assessment of genetic divergence based on
 244 nutritional quality and agronomic traits in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.)
 245 Moench). Journal of Genetics and Breeding. 2005; 59(1): 1-6.
- 246 11. Kumari M, Chaudhury DN. Genetic divergence in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.)
 247 Moench). Vegetable Science. 2006; 33(1):71-72.
- 248 12. Singh AK, Ahmed N, Narayan R, Narayan S. Genetic divergence studies in okra
 249 under temperate conditions. Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences. 2007; 36(3/4):
 250 348-351.
- 251 13. Bendale VW, Bhare SG, Mehta JL, Pethe UB, Kadam SR. Genetic variability and
 252 correlation studies in okra. Orissa Journal of Horticulture. 2003; 31(2): 1-4.
- 253 14. Mellingers JS. Measures of genetic similarity and genetic distance studies in genetics.
 254 VII Univ. Tex. Pub. 1972; 27:145-53.
- 255 15. Mahalanobis PC. On generalized distance in statistics. Proceedings of National
 256 Institute of Science, India. 1936; 2: 49-55.
- 257 16. Rao CR. The concept of distance and the problem of group constellation. In: Advance
 258 Statistical Methods in Biometrical Research. John Willey and Sons. Inc. New York.
 259 USA. 1952; pp. 351.
- 260 17. Singh RK, Chaudhary BD. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis.
 261 Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, India. 1985; pp.318.

- 262 18. Kiran P, Pathak M. Genetic diversity and its relationship with heterosis in okra.
263 Vegetable Science. 2012; 39(2):140-143.
- 264 19. Oriyo OJ. Multivariate analysis and the choice of parents for hybridization in okra
265 [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 1987; 74:
266 361-363.
- 267 20. Sanwal SK, Singh B, Verma SS. Genetic divergence and its implication in breeding of
268 desired plant type in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). Indian Journal of Agricultural
269 Sciences. 2012; 82(3): 264-266.
- 270 21. Shanthakumar G, Salimath PM. Assessment of genetic diversity and identification of
271 early segregating lines in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). Indian Journal of
272 Agricultural Sciences. 2011; 81(4): 321–323.
- 273 22. Kumar A, Kumar R, Kumar A, Tyagi S, Solankey SS, Roy C, Verma RB. Studies on
274 the performance and morphological characterization of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*
275 L. Moench) genotypes for yield and yellow vein mosaic viruses. International Journal
276 of Current Microbiology and Applied Science. 2017; 6(7): 1102-1106.
- 277 23. Solankey SS, Singh AK. Genetic evaluation and bi-plot analysis in okra hybrids for
278 yield, quality components and YVMV resistance. Journal of AgriSearch. 2018; 5(4):
279 240-246.
- 280 24. Ramya K, Senthil NK. Genetic divergence, correlation and path analysis in okra
281 [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. Madras Agricultural Journal. 2009;
282 96(7):296-299.
- 283 25. Yonas M, Garede W, Debela A. Multivariate analysis among okra [*Abelmoschus*
284 *esculentus* (L.) Moench] collection in South Western Ethiopia. Journal of Plant
285 Science. 2014; DOI: 10.3923/jps.2014.
- 286 26. Singh B, Singh RS, Sanwal SK. Multivariate analysis in relation to breeding system
287 in okra. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 2012; 69(4): 536-539.
- 288 27. Koundinya, AVV, Dhankhar SK, Yadav AC. Genetic variability and divergence in
289 okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2013; 83(6):
290 685-688.
- 291
- 292
- 293
- 294