

**Insect pest profile of leaf amaranth (*Amaranthus hybridus* L) in a single organic cropping system and prevention of damage herbivory using oil-based extracts of *Alium sativum* L, *Xylopiya aethiopica* Dunal and *Eucalyptus globolus* L**

**ABSTRACT**

Pest profile of *Amaranthus hybridus* was recorded in a single organic agro-ecosystem in Southwestern Nigeria between November-December in 2016 and January-February 2017, during the dry season. Activities of different pests were monitored to identify those responsible for the most significant damage. OilVegetable oil-based extracts of *Alium sativum*, *Xylopiya aethiopica* and *Eucalyptus globolus* were prepared and applied on *A. hybridus* as protectants against herbivory by phytophagous insects and damage to foliage ~~was were~~ assessed. Thereafter, the extracts were rated based on the mean percentage damage (MPD) recorded in different plots in relation to the treatments. A total of nine pests were recorded from three insect Orders namely, Orthoptera (6362.5%), Coleoptera (1312.5%) and Lepidoptera (25%) (Calculation not 100%) and they were grouped into Major, Minor or Occassional pests based on their activities. Two lepidopterans, *Spoladea recurvalis* and *Psara basalis* (Family: Crambidae) were responsible for the most significant damage. All the extracts reduced damage with statistically significantly difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) compared with the control. The MPD in *X. aethiopica*-, *A. sativum*- and *E. globolus*-treated plots and the control plots were 10.9%, 8%, 14%

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Underline

Formatted: Underline

Formatted: Underline

24 and 31.2% respectively, when the amaranth was due for harvest in the first trial. The  
25 MPD to the amaranth in the treated plots during the second trial was between 13.6%  
26 and 16.3% when harvest was due while the MPD in the control was 54.9%. The  
27 performances of *E. globolus* and *X. aethiopica* were comparable and they were  
28 relatively more effective in protecting *A. hybridus* against phytophagous pest attacks.

29 **Keywords:** *Amaranthus hybridus*, Herbivory, Phytophagous insects, Damage, plant extracts

## 30 INTRODUCTION

31 *Amaranthus hybridus* is widely cultivated in Nigeria for its edible leaf which contains  
32 significant amounts of dietary proteins, vitamins and minerals (Akubugwo et al.,  
33 2007). It is well adaptable to the climatic conditions in different agro-ecological zones  
34 in Nigeria. In the South West, which is the major production hub, dry season  
35 amaranth cultivations are restricted to wetland areas or locations with proximity to  
36 water for irrigation. It is a rapid source of income for subsistent and poor-resource  
37 farmers because of its relatively short production cycle (14-21 days), simple method  
38 of cultivation and high market demands. During the dry season, supply of leaf  
39 amaranth often falls short of the demand, the price becomes relatively high  
40 (Emokare et al., 2007) and there are periods when amaranth is completely  
41 unavailable in the market.

42 Insect pests seriously undermine vegetable production in Nigeria, particularly when  
43 they are cultivated for their foliage. Pest density is often high and attacks are severe  
44 in the dry season due to relative scarcity of alternative hosts. Amaranth is attacked  
45 by a myriad of insect pests in a succession that depends on how long the crop is left  
46 in the field (cultivated for leaf or seed) before harvesting. The insect pests that are  
47 responsible for the most economic damage to leaf amaranth in the Southwest belong

48 to Lepidoptera and Orthoptera Orders (Joseph et al., 2016; Borisade and Uwaidem,  
49 2017a). When leaf amaranth foliage has fully developed, sometimes losses of up to  
50 100% can be incurred within one week in pest endemic areas if appropriate pest  
51 control action is not initiated.

52 The major Lepidoptera pests of amaranth, *Spoladea recurvalis* and *Psara basal*  
53 their eggs on the abaxial parts of early foliage at night, about one week after the  
54 appearance of the first foliage, thereby concealing infestation or potential  
55 development of pests on the amaranth (James et al., 2010). The eggs hatch into the  
56 larvae in about seven to fourteen days, which feed voraciously on the foliage. Major  
57 damage often occur between 15-21 days after sowing, although earlier attacks are  
58 possible. Apart from the feeding activities that 'skeletonize' the leaves, bulk of the  
59 produce is often contaminated with frass and excrements that further reduce quality.  
60 *Psara basal* especially produce characteristic webbings on the leaves, which  
61 makes the crop completely unmarketable (Borisade and Uwaidem, 2017a).  
62 Grasshoppers and Katydid and many other phytophagous insects that move into  
63 the field are also responsible for damage.

64 The use of chemical insecticides in vegetable pest management and the unsafe  
65 levels of pesticide residues that are left in fresh vegetables are of a serious concern  
66 (Akan, et al., 2013). Increasingly and from time to time, chemical pesticides are  
67 being reviewed and unregistered for use in the management of vegetable pests,  
68 considering their toxicity to non-targets and levels of persistence in the environment.  
69 Chemical pesticides may be especially unsafe for pest management in the Nigerian  
70 leaf amaranth production system, where the production cycle of 14-21 days is far  
71 less than the half-life of the active ingredients in majority of the pesticides in use.  
72 Chemical pesticides of the Organochloride groups and those containing DDT, which

73 are forbidden in the management of pests in food crops are found in agrochemical  
74 retail outlets in Nigeria, and they are being used in the management of vegetable  
75 pests by subsistent farmers. Thus, there is the need to reduce dependence on  
76 inorganic chemicals in the control of leaf amaranth pests by seeking alternative  
77 environment-friendly options.

78 Plants contain organic chemical constituents that protect them against herbivory and  
79 disease pathogens and many of these constituents have great potentials for pest  
80 management. Garlic (*Alium sativum*), *Xylopiya aethiopica* and *Eucalyptus globolus*  
81 are widely distributed tropical plants containing extractable bioactive compounds,  
82 which have been employed in pest control in different studies (*Ebadollahi*, et al.,  
83 2017; Moshi and Matoju, 2017). *Alium sativum* contains alicin, which is repellent or  
84 toxic to eggs, developmental stages, and adults of many economic pests (Huang et  
85 al., 2017). *Xylopiya aethiopica* and *E. globolus* are also known to contain essential  
86 oils reported to show repellency, ovitoxicity and adulticidal effects against insect  
87 pests (Kouninki et. al., 2007). However, many of the promising evaluations on the  
88 use of extracts of these plants for crop protection were limited to store pests in *invitro*  
89 bioassays. Efficacy of botanical extracts in field pest management is expected to  
90 vary under variable interacting abiotic environmental factors: temperature and  
91 relative humidity, often encountered under field conditions. In the field, pests are not  
92 confined by limited space, a factor which may become a challenge against plant  
93 extracts that are relatively slow in action.

94 Thus, the aim of this study was to record occurrence of pests on *Amaranthus*  
95 *hybridus* within a single organic agro-ecosystem in South-Western Nigeria and  
96 evaluate the propensity of oil extracts of *A. sativum*, *X. aethiopica* and *E. globolus* to  
97 prevent damage.

98 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

99 **Description of experimental site**

100 The study was carried out at Ekiti State University Teaching and Research Farms,  
101 Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria (7.6124° N and 5.2731° E), from November to December 2016  
102 and repeated between January and February 2017 during the dry season under  
103 irrigation system. The study area has an average temperature of 25 °C with wide  
104 fluctuations between day and night. The wet season is usually from April –October,  
105 with bimodal rainfall pattern which peaks in June and October, while the dry season  
106 is from November to March. The study area has a history of severe attacks on dry  
107 season amaranth.

108 **Land preparation and experimental design**

109 The land was cleared and plant debris were packed before the preparation of beds.  
110 The size of each bed was two square meter and a space of 0.5 m was left between  
111 the beds. The experiment was a ~~R~~randomized ~~C~~complete ~~B~~block ~~D~~design (RCBD)  
112 with three replications. Thus, the field consisted of nine blocks with three beds each,  
113 for the treatments and three additional blocks assigned to control. Three other  
114 separate blocks were created for the assessment of pest profiles. About 4 kg of  
115 poultry manure was spread on each bed and mixed with the top layer of the soil.  
116 Thereafter, the beds were irrigated, at least once in two days for a period of ten days  
117 to facilitate the decomposition of the poultry manure before sowing. Each block was  
118 about 10 m apart to eliminate the influence of a treatment over the other.

119 **Calculation of seed rate**

120 Crop Density, CD (=number of seeds to be sown per square meter) was determined  
121 by measuring the weight of seeds equivalent to an estimated value using the  
122 proposed formula for standardizing the seed rate of amaranth, Uwaidem and

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough

123 Borisade (2017b), here summarized.:  $E (g) = \frac{W}{NS} \times \frac{R}{1}$ , where  $E$  = Equivalent weight (g),  
124  $W$ =Weight of 1 g amaranth seed,  $NS$  = Counted number of amaranth seed  $g^{-1}$ ,  $R$ =  
125 Required number of plants per bed. One seed of the amaranth used in the current  
126 study weighed 0.000441g. Thus, considering an approximate plant density of 500  
127 stands  $m^{-2}$ , 0.22 g of the amaranth seeds were sown on each bed.

### 128 **Sowing and post-planting management**

129 Dry sand was passed through 0.5 mm mesh and 100 g of the fine sand was mixed  
130 with the seed for even seed distribution during sowing. A plastic container with a tight  
131 fitting lid (100 ml) was modified for sowing the seeds by creating pin-sized  
132 perforations (~ 0.5 mm) on the lid. The sand-seed mixture was poured into the  
133 plastic and used for broadcasting the seeds. The beds were watered as required  
134 using a Watering Can during afternoon periods until the amaranth was due for  
135 harvest.

### 136 **Preparation of vegetable oil-based plant extracts of plants.**

137 Five hundred grams of fresh bulbs of *A. sativum* and dry fruits of *X. aethiopica* were  
138 chopped manually using a knife and poured separately in one litre-glass jar with a  
139 tight fitting lid. Five hundred ml of vegetable oil was poured into each jar to submerge  
140 the contents and kept at -4°C for one hour. Thereafter, the contents of the jar: (*X.*  
141 *aethiopica* fruits + vegetable oil) or (*A. sativum* bulbs + vegetable oil) were blended  
142 to form an oily paste. Fresh *E. globulus* leaves (500 g) were harvested in the  
143 morning and shredded using a knife. The sliced leaves were poured into one litre-  
144 glass jar and 500 ml vegetable oil was poured to cover the leaves. The glass jars  
145 were transferred into Microwave (Model LG i-wave, MS2021F). Microwaving was  
146 done at the Medium-High Power in three 10 minute-sessions, followed by 25 minutes  
147 power-off after each session. The oil was separated by vacuum filtration at 4 °C and

148 stored in air tight bottles at 4°C. These were used as the stock plant extract in  
149 subsequent assays. This procedure is wrong for oil extraction.

Formatted: Not Strikethrough

#### 150 **Assessment of pest profile and nature of damage**

151 Visual survey of insect pests on the amaranth was commenced at six days after  
152 sowing and this continued until maturity. Scheduled daily visits to the field was done  
153 in the morning (6:00-9:00 am), afternoon (12:00 noon-3:00 pm) and evening (6:00  
154 pm-8:00 pm), to scout for insect pests. Insect samples were collected and brought  
155 into the Agricultural Entomology Laboratory of the Crop Protection Unit, Faculty of  
156 Agricultural Sciences, Ekiti State University, Nigeria for identification. The nature of  
157 damage and severity of the activities of the pests were visually assessed on the  
158 plant. Camera shot of damage to foliage was processed into a JPEG picture  
159 presented as a photographic data. The pests were classified into three groups:  
160 Major, Minor and occasional pests, based on their occurrence, density and severity  
161 of damage to the crop. This assessment should come after extract application.

#### 162 **Application of extracts and assessment of damage**

163 The plant extracts were randomly assigned to different blocks and the blocks were  
164 labelled. At ten days after sowing, 50 ml of the extract of each plant was mixed with  
165 200 ml water. The resultant mixtures were emulsifiable without the addition of a  
166 surfactant. They were sprayed on the amaranth in each block using a hand operated  
167 Knapsack Sprayer (1 Liter capacity). state the volume ) until leaves were dripping.  
168 The control plots were sprayed with a mixture containing 50 ml vegetable oil + 200  
169 ml distilled water. The spraying was repeated after five days and damage  
170 assessment was conducted at 24 days after sowing, when the leaf amaranth had  
171 reached the acceptable maturity standard for local market sales. Sampling to assess  
172 damage was done with a quadrat (Area = 20 cm<sup>2</sup>) thrown randomly at five different

173 positions on each bed and the total number of stands of amaranth within the quadrat  
174 area as well as the damaged were counted. The criteria used for damage  
175 assessment was based on the local consumers acceptable quality standards for leaf  
176 amaranth and the reasons for rejection (Borisade and Uwaidem, 2017a). These were  
177 summarized: (a) amaranth stands showing 2-3 skeletonized leaves (b) the presence  
178 of insect faecal contamination or frass (c) signs of webbings and folded leaves. The  
179 recorded number of damaged amaranth stands within the quadrats were averaged  
180 and multiplied by the total area of the block. Thereafter, the value was expressed as  
181 a percentage of the total number of plants in a block:

182 
$$\text{Percentage damage per block} = \frac{\text{Number of damaged amaranth stands}}{\text{Estimated total number of amaranth per block}} \times \frac{100}{1}$$



## 183 RESULTS

### 184 Pest profile of *Amaranthus hybridus*

185 The pest profile of leaf amaranth within the single organic agro-ecosystem is shown  
186 in **Table 1**. Nine pests from three Orders: Orthoptera, Coleoptera and Lepidoptera  
187 were recorded during the first and the second amaranth production cycles. Only the  
188 adults of the majority of the Orthopterans, such as the Burrowing cricket  
189 (*Velarifictorus micado*), Slant-faced grasshopper (*Orphulella speciosa*), Variegated  
190 grasshopper (*Zonocerus variegatus*) and the Green-striped grasshopper  
191 (*Chortophaga viridifasciata*) occurred on the amaranth. Their frequency of  
192 occurrence was relatively low and they were few in number. Thus, they were  
193 classified as occasional pests, causing non-economically important damage in the  
194 current evaluation. The nymphs and adults of the Angle-winged katydid  
195 (*Microcentrum rhombifolium*), occurred frequently on the amaranth and they were  
196 found voraciously feeding on the leaves causing potentially economic damage. It  
197 was therefore classified as a major pest. Actively flying adults of two types of moth,  
198 *Psara basalís* and the beet webworm moth (*Spoladea recurvalís*) as well as their  
199 larvae occurred at all the sampling periods. The adults of these lepidopterans  
200 occurred most frequently in the evening while a few was found resting under the  
201 leaves during the day. The larvae were voracious feeders and they were responsible  
202 for the most significant damage to the leaves (**figure 1**).

### 203 Assessment of damage and performance rating of extracts.

204 **Table 2**. shows the mean percentage damage (MPD) to the leafs of *A. hybridus*  
205 sprayed with emulsifiable oil extracts of *X. aethiopica*, *A. sativum* and *E. globolus* at  
206 5, 10 and 15 days after application. There were significant variabilities ( $P=0.014$ ) in

207 **Table 1. Pest profile of *Amaranthus hybridus* within a single organic system in Southwestern Nigeria**

208

Common name	Scientific name	Order	Family	Recorded life stage responsible for damage	Pest status (Based on occurrence, numbers & crop damage activities)
Angle-Wing Katydid	<i>Microcentrum rhombifolium</i>	Orthoptera	Tettigoniidae	Adult and Nymph	Potential/Major
Crickets	<i>Velarifictorus micado</i>	Orthoptera	Gryllidae	Adult	Occasional/Minor
green stripped grasshopper	<i>Chortophaga viridifasciata</i>	Orthoptera	Acrididae	Adult	Occasional/Minor
Slant-faced grasshopper	<i>Orphulella speciosa</i>	Orthoptera	Acrididae	Adult	Minor
Variogated grasshopper	<i>Zonocerus variagatus</i>	Orthoptera	Pyrgomorphidae	Adult	Occasional/Minor
Darkling beetle	<i>Lagria villosa</i>	Coleoptera	Lagriidae	Adult	Occasional/Minor
Moth	<i>Psara basalis</i>	Lepidoptera	Crambidae	Larvae	Major
Beet web worm	<i>Spoladea recurvalis</i>	Lepidoptera	Crambidae	Larvae	Major

209

210 **Table 2. Mean percentage damage to *Amaranthus hybridus* treated with oil**  
 211 **extracts of *X. aethiopica*, *A. sativum* and *E. globolus***

FIRST TRIAL				
Days after treatment	<i>X. aethiopica</i>	<i>A. sativum</i>	<i>E. globolus</i>	Control (Vegetable oil)
5	4.28 <sup>a</sup>	1.19 <sup>a</sup>	1.23 <sup>a</sup>	25.37 <sup>b</sup>
10	9.59 <sup>a,b</sup>	25.26 <sup>a,c</sup>	3.94 <sup>b</sup>	41.27 <sup>c</sup>
15	10.19 <sup>a,b</sup>	8.01 <sup>a</sup>	14.00 <sup>a,b</sup>	31.42 <sup>b</sup>
SECOND TRIAL				
Days after treatment	<i>X. aethiopica</i>	<i>A. sativum</i>	<i>E. globolus</i>	Control (Vegetable oil)
5	2.38 <sup>a</sup>	4.76 <sup>a</sup>	3.51 <sup>a</sup>	30.69 <sup>b</sup>
10	6.80 <sup>a</sup>	35.00 <sup>b</sup>	10.32 <sup>a</sup>	32.24 <sup>b</sup>
15	16.30 <sup>a</sup>	18.75 <sup>a</sup>	13.57 <sup>a</sup>	54.88 <sup>b</sup>

212  
 213 Values in the same row and sub-table not sharing the same superscript are significantly different at p< .05 in the two-sided test of equality  
 214 for column means. Cells with no subscript are not included in the test. Tests assume equal variances. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise  
 215 comparisons within a row of each innermost sub-table using the Bonferroni correction



216

217 **Figure 1. Characteristic damage caused by Lepidopteran pests of *Amaranth*,**

218 ***Psara basalís* and *Spoladea recurvalis***

219 the MPD in relation to the extracts as well as the sampling periods. The MPD in the  
220 control was significantly the highest in the first and the second trials. At 5 days post-  
221 treatment during the first field trial, the MPD recorded in the *X. aethiopica*-, *A.*  
222 *sativum*- and *E. globolus*-treated plots were not significantly different, being 4.28%,  
223 1.19% and 1.23% respectively, while the MPD in the control plot was 25.37%. The  
224 effect of these extracts were also not significantly different in the second trial at five  
225 days post treatment (MPD in treatment, 8.49-19.5%; MPD in control=30.69%). At 10  
226 days and 15 days post-treatment, the MPD in the *X. aethiopica*-treated plots were  
227 not significantly higher, 9.59 % and 10.19% respectively in the first trial. The lowest  
228 MPD were recorded in the *X. aethiopica*- and *A. sativum*- treated plots at 15 days in  
229 the first trial being, 10.19% and 8.01% respectively and without statistically  
230 significant difference. However, significantly higher MPD were recorded in the control  
231 at these sampling periods and the values were 31.42% and 54.88% respectively.

232 Based on the pooled values of MPD recorded at the three sampling periods in the  
233 two successive trials, the extracts were grouped according to their overall  
234 performance using Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) (Table 3). The  
235 performances of *E. globolus* and *X. aethiopica* were comparable and they were  
236 relatively more effective in protecting *A. hybridus* against phytophagous pest attacks.

237

238 **Table 3. Ranking of oil extracts of *X. aethiopica*, *A. sativum* and *E. globolus***  
 239 **based on the mean percentage damage recorded on treated *Amaranthus***  
 240 ***hybridus*.**

Tukey HSD <sup>a,b</sup>		Subset		
Plant Extracts	N	1	2	3
<i>E. globolus</i>	18	7.7617		
<i>X. aethiopica</i>	18	8.2556		
<i>A. Sativum</i>	18		15.4956	
Control	18			35.9778
Sig.		.997	1.000	1.000

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed based on observed means. The error term is Mean Square (Error) = 52.881.

a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 18.000.

b. Alpha = .05.

241

242 **DISCUSSION**

243 The study has described the pest profile of leaf amaranth within a single organic  
 244 agro-ecosystem, where 63% of the recorded pests were Orthopterans, 25% were  
 245 Lepidopterans belonging to the Family Crambidae and 13% Coleoptera. The range  
 246 of pests being reported are among those described in earlier studies in other parts of  
 247 Southwestern Nigeria (Ezeh *et al.*, 2015; Oke *et al.*, 2015) except the Darkling beetle  
 248 which has not been widely associated with leaf amaranth. Leaf amaranth pests  
 249 within a single organic agro-ecological region was evaluated in this study and it is  
 250 expected that the pest profile of crops in agro-ecological regions that share  
 251 resemblances in temperature, humidity, vegetation patterns and cropping systems  
 252 would be similar.

253 Biodiversity of insects pests associated with indigenous leaf amaranth species in  
 254 Nigeria is increasing (Oke *et al.*, 2015). It is therefore useful to update data on

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Red

255 profiles of major vegetable crops from time to time, in order to identify new pests  
256 which are getting adapted to new crops. Such data would be clearly necessary in the  
257 development of pro-active pest response systems to militate against an outbreak. In  
258 many earlier studies, insects were recorded as pests on amaranth by virtue of their  
259 occurrence, while the levels of damage caused by each pest was often ignored  
260 (Banjo *et al.*, 2003; Oke *et al.*, 2015). The presence of an insect pest on a crop may  
261 not adequately indicate its status and justify its classification as economically  
262 important under a given cropping condition. The recorded pests in this report were  
263 classified into Occasional, Minor, Potential and Major pests using characteristics of  
264 damage on the crop (feeding patterns) to identify activities of individual pests or  
265 groups and visual evaluation of the levels of damage to establish the severity of  
266 attack. It is useful to identify the specific economically important pests that could be  
267 potential targets of a pest control programme. However, the status of a given pest  
268 may change under different cropping systems, climate and human related  
269 environmental perturbations.

270 Incidentally, the insects classified as major pests in this study comprised those  
271 breeding on the amaranth. The results suggested that economically important pests  
272 of amaranth are essentially those that are capable of breeding on the crop or at a  
273 proximity to the crop and capable of completing their life cycle or reaching their  
274 pestiferous life-stage before the host plant is due for harvest, except where migrant  
275 pests are probably involved. Attack on crops can be much severe when more than  
276 one of the life-stages of the pest are responsible for damage, such as the Katydid or  
277 when the habit of the pest inflict qualitative damage in addition to quantitative losses  
278 caused by their direct feeding. For example, contamination of leaves with frass,  
279 webbings and excrements was peculiar to *S. recurvalis* and *P. basalis*. Different

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Red

280 instar larvae and adults of the two Lepidopterans-*P. basalis* and *S. recurvalis* were  
281 present, indicating their breeding on the amaranth and they were responsible for the  
282 most significant damage whereas, mainly the adults of the Orthopterans and the  
283 Coleopteran were found on the amaranth. Similar reports on the pest status of *P.*  
284 *basalis* and *S. recurvalis* showed they are serious pests of leaf amaranth in different  
285 agro-ecological regions in Nigeria and other parts of West Africa (James et al.,  
286 2010).

287 The oil extracts of the three plants significantly reduced vegetative damage to *A.*  
288 *hybridus* compared to the control and the results have demonstrated their potentials  
289 for use in the management of vegetable pests at the level of subsistent farming. The  
290 method of extraction described is simple, easily transferable and could be adopted  
291 by poor resource can farmers. The procedure can also be applied to other plants  
292 with volatile bioactive components. The three plant materials contain volatile  
293 bioactive substances, which may potentially be lost depending on the method of  
294 extraction used. Deep freezing of the plant materials before milling and reduction  
295 processes was done to minimize adverse effect of temperature during milling on loss  
296 of heat-labile, volatile constituents. Direct blending of the plant materials with  
297 vegetable oil was also done to trap oil-soluble volatiles during the milling process.

298 The levels of damage recorded at five days post-application of the three extracts  
299 were not significantly different statistically. However, between 5-10 days post-  
300 treatment, the MPD increased significantly where *A. sativum* extract was applied.  
301 Abiotic interactions (temperature, UV and relative humidity) (Kumar and Poehling,  
302 2006) are capable of influencing persistence of organic pesticides rapidly, through  
303 their effects on evaporation and chemical decomposition in the field, indirectly



304 affecting overall efficacy. This may be responsible for the increased damage  
305 recorded during sampling at 10 days post-treatment.

306 Insect pests are known to locate their hosts through visual and olfactory cues (Bruce  
307 et al., 2005) and plant extracts with strong odour may interfere with the capability of  
308 pests to accurately locate their targets. However, when the effect of the odour of the  
309 plant extracts subside, there are possibilities that more pests would successfully  
310 locate their food source. It may also be possible that the extracts were toxic to some  
311 of the pests or offered some antixenosis resistance to the plant- that probably  
312 diminished over time. More studies are needed in the development of stable  
313 formulations capable of yielding consistent results under a dynamic or marginal  
314 abiotic influences in the field.

315 The extracted plants; *X. aethiopica*, *A. sativum* and *E. globolus* have been applied  
316 into various uses in folk medicine, pharmacy as well as food components (Konning  
317 *et al.*, 2004; Tattelman, 2005). Although concentrations of these plant materials that  
318 may be toxic to humans are yet to be established and the amounts detectable on  
319 treated plants have not been evaluated, they are not expected to cause bio-toxicity  
320 or environmental contamination problems when applied on edible vegetables. They  
321 can be considered as relatively safe compared with inorganic pesticides.

## 322 **Conclusion**

323 This study compared the effect of the extracts at a single dose and the MPD to the  
324 treated plants over time was used to assess efficacy. More studies are needed to  
325 quantify the actual concentrations of bio-active constituents in the plant materials.  
326 The effects of the extracts against each of the identified pests need to be studied  
327 separately, to evaluate their modes of action, including repellency, toxicity to adults

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Red

328 and developmental stages and antixenosis effects. However, the current results are  
329 useful primary information in the design of further *invitro* and field studies.

330 References

- 331 | Akan, J. C., Jafiya, L., Mohammed, Z., & Abdulrahman, F. I. (2013). Organophosphorus  
332 pesticide residues in vegetables and soil samples from Alau Dam and Gongulong  
333 agricultural areas, Borno State, Nigeria. *ecosystems*, 3, 6.
- 334 | Akubugwo, I. E., Obasi, N. A., Chinyere, G. C., & Ugbogu, A. E. (2007). Nutritional and  
335 chemical value of *Amaranthus hybridus* L. leaves from Afikpo, Nigeria. *African*  
336 *Journal of Biotechnology*, 6(24).
- 337 Banjo, A. D., Lawal, O. A., Fapojuwu, O. E., & Songonuga, E. A. (2003). Farmer's  
338 knowledge and perception of horticultural insect pest problems in Southwestern  
339 Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 2(11), 434-437.
- 340 Bruce, T. J., Wadhams, L. J., & Woodcock, C. M. (2005). Insect host location: a volatile  
341 situation. *Trends in plant science*, 10(6), 269-274.
- 342 Ebadollahi, A., Sendi, J. J., Maroufpoor, M., & Rahimi-Nasrabadi, M. (2017). Acaricidal  
343 Potentials of the Terpene-rich Essential Oils of Two Iranian Eucalyptus Species  
344 against *Tetranychus urticae* Koch. *Journal of Oleo Science*, ess15258.
- 345 Emokaro, C. O., Ekunwe, P. A., & Osifo, A. (2007). Profitability and production constraints  
346 in dry season amaranth production in Edo South, Nigeria. *Journal of Food*  
347 *Agriculture and Environment*, 5(3/4), 281.
- 348 Ezeh, A. E., Ogedegbe, A. B. O., & Ogedegbe, S. A. (2015). Insect Pest occurrence on  
349 Cultivated Amaranthus Spp in Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria. *Journal of Applied*  
350 *Sciences and Environmental Management*, 19(2), 335-339.
- 351 Huang, Y., Chen, S. X., & Ho, S. H. (2000). Bioactivities of methyl allyl disulfide and diallyl  
352 trisulfide from essential oil of garlic to two species of stored-product pests,  
353 *Sitophilus zeamais* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and *Tribolium castaneum*  
354 (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). *Journal of economic entomology*, 93(2), 537-543.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.38"

355 James, B., Atcha-Ahowé, C., Godonou, I., Baimey, H., Goergen, H., Sikirou, R., & Toko, M.  
356 (2010). *Integrated pest management in vegetable production: A guide for*  
357 *extension workers in West Africa*. IITA.

358 James, B., Atcha-Ahowé, C., Godonou, I., Baimey, H., Goergen, H., Sikirou, R., & Toko, M.  
359 (2010). *Integrated pest management in vegetable production: A guide for*  
360 *extension workers in West Africa*. IITA.

361 Joseph, A., Ademiluyi, B. O., Aluko, P. A., & Alabeni, T. M. (2016). Effect of poultry  
362 manure treated and untreated with effective microorganisms on growth  
363 performance and insect pest infestation on *Amaranthus hybridus*. *African Journal*  
364 *of Plant Science*, 10(1), 10-15.

365 Konning, G. H., Agyare, C., & Ennison, B. (2004). Antimicrobial activity of some medicinal  
366 plants from Ghana. *Fitoterapia*, 75(1), 65-67.

367 Kouninki, H., Hance, T., Noudjou, F. A., Lognay, G., Malaisse, F., Ngassoum, M. B., ... &  
368 Haubruge, E. (2007). Toxicity of some terpenoids of essential oils of *Xylopi*  
369 *aethiopica* from Cameroon against *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky. *Journal of*  
370 *Applied Entomology*, 131(4), 269-274.

371 Kumar, P., & Poehling, H. M. (2006). Persistence of soil and foliar azadirachtin treatments to  
372 control sweetpotato whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* Gennadius (Homoptera:  
373 Aleyrodidae) on tomatoes under controlled (laboratory) and field (netted  
374 greenhouse) conditions in the humid tropics. *Journal of Pest Science*, 79(4), 189.

375 Moshi, A. P., & Matoju, I. (2017). The status of research on and application of biopesticides  
376 in Tanzania. Review. *Crop Protection*, 92, 16-28.

377 Oke, O. A., Odiyi, C. A., & Ofuya, T. I. (2015). Insects associated with underutilized crop:  
378 grain, leafy and ornamental amaranth in Ibadan, Nigeria. *J Agric Ecol Res Int*,  
379 2(2), 145-155.

- 380 Ormeno, E., Goldstein, A., & Niinemets, Ü. (2011). Extracting and trapping biogenic volatile  
381 organic compounds stored in plant species. *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry*,  
382 *30*(7), 978-989.
- 383 Tattelman, E. (2005). Health effects of garlic. *Am Fam Physician*, *72*(1), 103-106.