

**HEALTH RISK ANALYSIS OF HEAVY METALS (Cr, Fe, Hg & Ni) IN
EDIBLE VEGETABLES IN YALA URBAN AREA OF CROSS RIVER
STATE, NIGERIA.**

ABSTRACT

Aim: The aim of the study was to determine concentration of heavy metals in the soil and edible vegetables planted consumed Yala Urban Area of Cross River State, Nigeria, ascertained the level of metals contamination and the possible health risk or implication. **Sampling:** Forty eight (48) soil and edible vegetable samples (6 of each kind of the 8 vegetables) were collected randomly from Yala Urban Area of Cross River State. The eight vegetables considered for the study were *Amaranthus spp.*, *Corchorus olitorius*, *Murraya koenigii*, *Ocimum grattissimum*, *Solanum melongena*, *Talinum triangulare*, *Telferia occidentalis* and *Vernonia amygdalina*. They were collected between January and March for dry season, and July and September for rainy season of the year. **Methodology:** The samples were digested and analyzed for the Cr, Fe, Hg and Ni (heavy metals) concentration using Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (AAS) in the Chemistry Laboratory, University of Calabar. **Results:** The results showed that the mean concentration of the metals in the soil in mgkg^{-1} ranged from (0.063 - 0.108) and (0.049 - 0.104) in rainy and dry seasons respectively

23 for Cr, and (0.026 - 0.124) and (0.013 - 0.119) in rainy and dry season
24 respectively for Fe. The mean concentration accumulated by the vegetables
25 ranged from (0.037- 0.063) and (0.029 - 0.066) in rainy and dry season
26 respectively for Cr and (0.012- 0.071) and (0.008- 0.086) in rainy and dry
27 season respectively. Hg and Ni were neither detected in the soil nor in the
28 vegetables. The trend of the metals in both the soil and vegetable was in the
29 order: Cr > Fe> Hg> Ni. The Target Hazard Quotients were all less than 1,
30 indicating no health risk. **Conclusion:** These results suggest that there is no
31 significant difference between the amount of metals in the soil or that
32 accumulated by the vegetables in rainy and dry seasons of the year. Also the
33 amount of metals accumulated by most of the vegetables was directly
34 proportional to the amount present in the soil where they are planted. These
35 results indicate that the concentration of Cr, Fe, Hg and Ni in the soil and
36 vegetables were still low and within the permissible limits of WHO/FAO. Thus,
37 the consumption of the vegetables in the area may not pose any risk at the
38 moment.

39 **Keywords:** Health risk analysis, Heavy metals, Consumption, Vegetables,
40 Yala.

41 **Introduction**

42 A heavy metal has been described as a member of a loosely defined
43 subset of elements that exhibit metallic properties (Wikipedia free

44 encyclopedia). Examples of these elements are transition metals, some
45 metalloids, lanthanides and actinides. According to Hardy [1], a heavy metal
46 has a specific gravity of 5.0 or greater and is usually poisonous. The term heavy
47 metal however, is often widely applied to include other potentially toxic
48 elements even if they do not meet up with the apt chemical definition [1].
49 Chromium (Cr), Iron (Fe), Mercury (Hg) and Nickel (Ni) belong to this group
50 of elements. Based on their toxic or poisonous effect at high doses and their
51 contamination of food plants and animals when present in the soil or water
52 environments, they have recently attracted the attention of many researchers
53 worldwide as food safety and quality is a matter of public interest. Hence,
54 several researchers have been carried out on heavy metals by researchers like
55 Kanake [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 & 8] etc. most of which were within the acceptable
56 limits in their various localities to ascertain their food and environment
57 quality/safety. Heavy metals are the major contaminating agents of our food and
58 a problem of our environment [9].

59 Moreover, Khan [10] opined that the consumption of contaminated
60 vegetables constitutes an important route for animal and human exposure to
61 heavy metals. Halwell [11] earlier stated that the nutritional value of vegetables
62 depends on the growing method and the quality of the soil because when
63 vegetables are grown in contaminated soils, like those polluted with heavy
64 metals; their nutritional value will be reduced as pollutants from the soil will be

65 accumulated by the vegetables. Thus, vegetables should not be planted on soils
66 contaminated with hazardous waste like heavy metals because they are
67 nutritionally and medicinally valuable. Besides, the health of humans can be
68 affected negatively when they consume these vegetables and accumulate these
69 poisonous substances in high doses. Consequently, the aim of this study is to
70 determine the concentration of some heavy metals (Cr, Fe, Hg and Ni) in the
71 soil and edible vegetables in the study area (Yala) and ascertain the soil and
72 vegetable quality with respect to heavy metal pollution.

73 Yala urban area is characterized with low land, plains and hills. The soil
74 is well drain sandy loam in texture, which makes it suitable for agriculture. It
75 has population of over 15 thousand people. Besides, the **people are engaged** in
76 subsistence and commercial farming, growing rice, cassava, yam, cocoa in large
77 quantities as well as vegetables for consumption as food and medicine. This
78 often results in the use of insecticides, herbicides and other agrochemicals. By
79 its location, it is a major link to the eastern and northern part of the country and
80 most times experience heavy vehicular traffic. In addition, its major urban
81 centre; Okpoma and the adjoining Okuku where Cross River University of
82 Technology mini-campus is located have business centres, State and Local
83 Government institutions among other urban features. Moreover, the inhabitants
84 of the area practice rotational waste dump sites around their premises and later
85 plant vegetables in old waste dump sites with a view to tap the compost manure

86 for good yield even though wastes were disposed there indiscriminately. All
87 these characteristics/features together with erosion during the rainy season make
88 heavy metal contamination of the area inevitable. Hence, there is need to
89 ascertain the edible vegetables and soil quality with respect to heavy metals
90 pollution, and also evaluate the possible health risk associated their
91 consumption.

92 **Materials and Methods**

93 **Sampling and sample pre-treatment:** forty eight soil samples and
94 vegetables (with 6 of each vegetable) were collected randomly at
95 different locations within Yala urban area at a distance of about 1km
96 apart. The soil samples were collected at the root level of the vegetables
97 at the depth of about 12 to 15 cm, using a hand trowel. At the same time,
98 a handful of the edible vegetables were collected and wrapped separately
99 with identification labels, and taken to the laboratory for further analysis.
100 The edible vegetables considered for this study include: *Amaranthus spp*
101 (Green vegetable), *Corchorus olitorius* (Ewedu), *Murraya koeningii*
102 (Curry leaf), *Ocimum grattissimum* (scent leaf), *Solanum melongena*
103 (egg plant leaf), *Telfairia occidentalis* (pumpkin), *Talinum triangulare*
104 (water leaf) and *Vernonia amygdalina* (Bitter leaf). They are commonly
105 used for food and medicinal purposes in the area. The samples were
106 collected between January and March for the dry season and between
107 July and September for the rainy season of the year. The vegetable
108 samples were washed with distilled water and oven-dried at 80-85 °c for
109 about 2 hours. Each dried sample was ground into powder, sieved with a
110 0.3 mm sieve and stored in a labeled plastic jar with cap. The soil
111 sampled was also oven-dried, ground into powder and homogenized

112 using pestle and mortar, sieved and stored in labeled plastic jars
113 separately.

114 **Digestion of samples:** vegetable samples were digested following the
115 procedure of one of the methods of the Association of Official Analytical
116 Chemists (AOAC) as reported by Sobukola [12] thus: 1.0 g of each sample was
117 put in a beaker and placed in a fume cupboard, 20 mL of concentrated (HCl), 10
118 mL of concentrated HNO₃ and 5 mL of H₂SO₄ were added. After digestion was
119 complete, the beaker was heated in a fume cupboard for about 30 minutes. The
120 digested sample was removed and allowed to cool.

121 De-ionized water was added to the digest and made up to 100 mL in a
122 volumetric flask. The solution was stirred and filtered to obtain the supernatant
123 liquid ready for heavy metals analysis. Similarly the soil samples were digested
124 following the procedure of one of the methods of the Association of Official
125 Analytical Chemists (AOAC) as reported by Akan [13] thus: 2.0 g of each soil
126 sample powder was weighed into an acid washed beaker. 20 mL of aqua regia
127 (mixture of HCl and HNO₃, in the ratio 3:1) was added to the sample in the
128 beaker. The beaker was covered with a clean dry watch glass and heated at 90%
129 for about 2 hours; the beaker was removed, allowed to cool, washed together
130 with the watch glass using de-ionized water into a volumetric flask and made-up
131 to 100 mL solution. The solution was filtered and supernatant liquid solution
132 was used for heavy metal analysis.

133 **Element Analysis:** the soil and vegetable samples were analyzed for Cr,
134 Fe, Hg and Ni using a VGP 210 BUCK Scientific Model of flame Atomic
135 Absorption Spectrometer (AAS) at the following wavelengths: Cr (357.0 nm),
136 Fe (248.0 nm), Hg (253.7 nm) and Ni (232.0 nm).

137 **Calculations:** the Target Hazard Quotient which is the ratio of the body intake
138 dose of a pollutant to the reference dose was calculated thus:

$$THQ = \frac{DIV \times Cm}{RfD \times B}$$

139 Where DIV is the daily intake of vegetable in kg/day, Cm is the concentration
140 of pollutant (heavy metal) in the vegetable in mgkg^{-1} , B is the average body
141 weight of humans in kg, while RfD is the oral reference dose of the pollutant
142 permissible and it is fixed by United States Environmental Protection Agency
143 (US-EPA). **Note:** B is assumed by US-EPA to be 70kg for adult males and 60kg
144 for adult females. For this study, 65kg (the average of 70kg and 60kg) was used
145 for all adults, while the DIV was assumed to be 100g (0.1kg/day) per day. In
146 some countries or places, up to 150 or 200g per day has been assumed
147 especially for vegetarians. From the formula, THQ is a dimensionless parameter
148 or ratio. According to US-EPA through Integrated Risk Information System-
149 database IRIS [14], if THQ is less than 1 ($THQ < 1$), it shows that there is no
150 potential health risk associated with the pollutant. But if $THQ > 1$, there is a
151 health risk associated with the pollutant (heavy metal) at that moment. The RfD
152 values for Cr, Fe, Hg and Ni from IRIS are 0.0003, 0.007, 0.001 and 0.01 mgkg^{-1}
153 respectively [14].

154 **Statistical Analysis:** The data collected was analyzed using SPSS version 20.
155 The data were expressed in terms of descriptive statistics and figures were
156 presented with mean values of triplicates. Significance test was also computed
157 using paired t-test at $P < 0.05$ for dry and rainy season data in order to check
158 whether there was any significant difference.

159 **Results:** The mean heavy metal concentration in mgkg^{-1} (dry weight) in the soil
160 and vegetables during the rainy and dry season have been presented in Tables 1
161 and 2 respectively, while the Target Hazard Quotients of the vegetables have
162 been presented in Tables 4 and 5 for the both seasons. WHO/FAO permissible
163 limits for the metals in soil, vegetables and medicinal plants are presented in
164 Table 3.

166 **Table 1:** Mean concentration of Cd, Co, Cr, & Fe in mgkg^{-1} (dry weight) in the
 167 soil and vegetables during the rainy season in Obudu.

Vegetable	Cr	Fe	Hg	Ni
<i>Amarathus spp</i>	0.042±0.003	0.022±0.005	ND	ND
Soil	0.069±0.011	0.033±0.004	ND	ND
<i>Corchorus olitorius</i>	0.052±0.008	0.038±0.010	ND	ND
Soil	0.069±0.017	0.075±0.019	ND	ND
<i>Murraya koenigii</i>	0.054±0.004	0.017±0.003	ND	ND
Soil	0.063±0.014	0.027±0.004	ND	ND
<i>Ocimumgratissimum</i>	0.040±0.006	0.029±0.011	ND	ND
Soil	0.071±0.012	0.056±0.014	ND	ND
<i>Solanum melongena</i>	0.050±0.009	0.019±0.003	ND	ND
Soil	0.064±0.004	0.026±0.004	ND	ND
<i>Talinum triangulare</i>	0.037±0.007	0.071±0.019	ND	ND
Soil	0.084±0.027	0.124±0.014	ND	ND
<i>Telferia occidentalis</i>	0.063±0.022	0.029±0.011	ND	ND
Soil	0.108±0.004	0.056±0.012	ND	ND
<i>Vernoniaamygdalina</i>	0.072±0.012	0.012±0.002	ND	ND
Soil	0.105±0.036	0.026±0.004	ND	ND

168 Values reported in mean ± SD format with N=3, ND – Not Detected.

169 **Table 2:** Mean concentration of Cd, Co, Cr, & Fe in mgkg^{-1} (dry weight) in the
 170 soil and vegetables during the dry season in Obudu.

Vegetable	Cr	Fe	Hg	Ni
<i>Amarathus spp</i>	0.035±0.005	0.019±0.001	ND	ND
Soil	0.066±0.013	0.030±0.002	ND	ND
<i>Corchorus olitorius</i>	0.048±0.008	0.036±0.010	ND	ND
Soil	0.070±0.019	0.072±0.019	ND	ND
<i>Murraya koenigii</i>	0.049±0.002	0.015±0.004	ND	ND
Soil	0.058±0.014	0.024±0.005	ND	ND
<i>Ocimum grattissimum</i>	0.037±0.005	0.025±0.009	ND	ND
Soil	0.066±0.011	0.052±0.007	ND	ND
<i>Solanum melongena</i>	0.046±0.008	0.015±0.004	ND	ND
Soil	0.060±0.012	0.027±0.003	ND	ND
<i>Talinum triangulare</i>	0.034±0.006	0.086±0.014	ND	ND
Soil	0.079±0.021	0.119±0.012	ND	ND
<i>Telferia occidentalis</i>	0.029±0.011	0.059±0.020	ND	ND
Soil	0.049±0.003	0.099±0.015	ND	ND
<i>Vernonia amygdalina</i>	0.066±0.011	0.008±0.002	ND	ND
Soil	0.104±0.026	0.013±0.005	ND	ND

171 ND- Not Detected, Values in mean ± SD format with N=3

172

173

174 **Table 3:** WHO permissible limits of heavy metals in soil, vegetables and
175 medicinal plants in mgkg^{-1} .

Metal	Soil	Vegetables	Med. plants
Cr	100	0.1-0.2	1.5
Fe	100	7.0	20
Hg	1.0	0.03	-
Ni	35	0.1	10

176 **Source:** [15, 16 & 17]

177

178

179

180

181

182

183 **Table 4:** Target Hazard Quotients (THQ) of heavy metals in edible vegetables
184 in Yala Urban area of Northern Cross River State in rainy season.

Vegetables	Cr	Fe	Hg	Ni
------------	----	----	----	----

<i>Amaranthus spp.</i>	0.215	0.005	ND	ND
<i>Corchorus olitorius</i>	0.267	0.008	ND	ND
<i>Solanum melongen</i>	0.277	0.004	ND	ND
<i>Murraya koenigii</i>	0.205	0.006	ND	ND
<i>Ocimumgrattissimum</i>	0.256	0.004	ND	ND
<i>Talinum triangulare</i>	0.190	0.016	ND	ND
<i>Telfairia occidentalis</i>	0.323	0.006	ND	ND
<i>Vernoniasamygdalina</i>	0.369	0.003	ND	ND

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192 **Table 5:** Target Hazard Quotients (THQ) of heavy metals in edible vegetables
 193 in Yala Urban area of Northern Cross River State in dry season

Vegetable	Cr	Fe	Hg	Ni
<i>Amaranthus spp.</i>	0.180	0.004	ND	ND

<i>Corchorus olitorius</i>	0.246	0.008	ND	ND
<i>Murraya koenigii</i>	0.251	0.003	ND	ND
<i>Ocimum grattissimum</i>	0.190	0.006	ND	ND
<i>Solanum melongena</i>	0.236	0.003	ND	ND
<i>Talinum triangulare</i>	0.174	0.019	ND	ND
<i>Telfairia occidentalis</i>	0.149	0.013	ND	ND
<i>Vernonia amygdalina</i>	0.339	0.003	ND	ND

194

195 **Discussions:** The results in Tables 1 and 2 showed that the mean concentration
196 of the metals in the soil in mgkg^{-1} ranged from (0.063-0.108) and (0.049-0.104)
197 in rainy and dry seasons respectively for Cr, and (0.026-0.124) and (0.013-
198 0.119) in rainy and dry season respectively for Fe. The mean concentration
199 accumulated by the vegetables ranged from (0.037-0.063) and (0.029-0.066) in
200 rainy and dry season respectively for Cr, and (0.012-0.071) and (0.008-0.086) in
201 rainy and dry season respectively. Hg and Ni were neither detected in the soil
202 nor in the vegetables in both seasons. The results also indicate that there is no
203 significant difference between the concentration of metals in the soil and that
204 accumulated by the vegetables in the rainy and dry season of the year. The
205 availability of heavy metals in the soil for plants accumulation depends on
206 several factors like pH , soil texture, the chemical form of the metal etc. It has
207 been proven by several researchers that the solubility of the cationic forms of

208 the metals in the soil solution increases as the soil P^H decreases, and they
209 become readily available for plants to accumulate [18, 19, 20, & 21]. Thus,
210 acidic soils favour the accumulation of metals by vegetables than neutral or
211 alkaline soils. The accumulation of Cr and Fe by plants is also higher in acidic
212 soils due to their availability in such soils among other factors [22]. An earlier
213 research in the study area by Free Library [23] has shown that the soil is quite
214 acidic and porous with a pH range of 4 to 6. However, the concentration of Cr
215 and Fe in the soil and that already accumulated by the edible vegetables is still
216 very low and within the permissible limits of WHO/FAO as shown in Table 3.
217 Besides, Hg and Ni were not detected in the soil or the vegetables. Therefore
218 efforts has to be made by relevant government agencies to maintain this low
219 concentration of the metals in the study area through public awareness of the
220 effects of pollution and a periodic environmental monitoring and assessment of
221 the metals concentration in the area.

222 **Target hazard quotients:** The results in Tables 3 and 4 reveals the Target
223 Hazard Quotients (THQ) of the heavy metals in the edible vegetables in the
224 study area (Yala) for the rainy and seasons respectively. These results indicate
225 that the THQ values for Cr and Fe which were detected in the vegetables are far
226 less than 1 for all vegetables in both seasons, especially Fe. This implies that the
227 heavy metals concentration in the edible vegetables is not posing any risk and
228 there is no potential health risk associated with their consumption for now.

229 According to US-EPA/IRIS [14], it is only THQ values greater than 1 that
230 shows there is potential health risk associated with the consumption of food or
231 vegetables contaminated with a certain pollutant or heavy metal. Thus, the THQ
232 values also agreed with the fact that the mean concentrations of these metals in
233 the vegetables are still low and within the permissible limits of WHO/FAO.

234 **CONCLUSION**

235 The results of this study have shown that there is some level of Cr and Fe
236 in the soil, which have been accumulated by the edible vegetables in the area.
237 The concentrations of Hg and Ni were not detected in the area and seem
238 negligible at the moment. The level of the metals present in the soil and
239 vegetable are still very low and within the permissible limits of WHO/FAO.
240 Thus, the concentration of these metals in the edible vegetables may not pose
241 any health risk at the moment.

242

243

REFERENCES

244

245 1. Hardy, D.H., Myers, J. & Strokes, J. Heavy metals in North Carolina soils:
246 Occurrence and significance. North Carolina, USA, Agronomy Division,
247 Dept. of Agriculture and Consumer Service, 2008.

248

249 2. Kanake, T., Wansapala,, J. & Gunarate, A. Heavy metal contamination
250 in green leafy vegetables collected from selected Market sites of
251 Piliyandala Area, Colombo District, Sri Lanka. American Journal of
252 Food Science and Technology, 2014; 2(5):139-144.

253

254 3. Buteh, D.S., Chindo, I.Y., Ekanem, E.O., & Williams, E.M. Impact
255 Assessment of Contamination pattern of solid waste dumpsites soil: A
256 comparative study of Bauchi metropolis. World Journal of Analytical
257 Chemistry, 2013; 1(4):59-62.

258

259 4. Harmanescu, M., Alda, L.M., Bordean, D.M., Gogosa, I. & Gergen, I.
260 Heavy metals health risk assessment for population via consumption of
261 vegetables grown in old mining area; a case study of Banat County,
262 Romania. Chemistry Central Journal, 2011; 5:64; doi: 18:1186/1752-
263 153x-5-64.

264

265 5. Suruchi, S. & Khanna, P. Assessment of heavy metals contamination
266 in different vegetables grown in and around urban areas. Research
267 Journal of Environment and Toxicology, 2011; 5:162-179.

268

269 6. Zhuang, P., McBride, M.B., Xia, H., Li, N. & Li, Z. Health risk from
270 heavy metals via consumption of food crops in the vicinity of Daboashan
271 mine, South China. Science of the Total Environment, 2009;
272 407(5):1551-1561.

273

- 274 7. Sanayei, U., Ismail, N. & Talebi, S.M. Determination of heavy metals in
275 Zayandeh Road River, Isfahan-Iran. World Applied Science Journal,
276 2009; 6(9):1209-1214.
- 277 8. Yakubu, A., Tanko, U.M. & Dangoggo, S.M. The impact of man's activities
278 on the heavy metals level in soils in Wuse District, Abuja, Nigeria. De
279 Chemica Sinica, 2011; 2(6):181-184.
- 280 9. Abdollatiff, G., Aralan, A. M. Ahmad, M. T., Hoseni, A. M. & Karimaian, N.
281 Solubility test in some phosphate rocks and their potential for direct
282 application in soil. World Applied Science Journal, 2009; 6(2):182-192.
- 283 10. Khan, S. Cao, Q. Y. Z., Huang, Y. Z. & Zhu, Y. G. Health risk of heavy
284 metals in contaminated soil and food crops, irrigated with waste water in
285 Beijing, China. Environmental pollution, 2009; 124(3): 686-693.
- 286 11. Halwell, B. *Critical Issue Report: still no free lunch*. The organic center,
287 2007; Accessed July, 2014. Available at: www.organi-center.org
- 288 12. Sobukola, O. P., Adeniran, O. P., Odedara, A. A. & Kajihansa, O. E. Heavy
289 metals level of some fruit and leafy vegetables from selected markets in
290 Lagos, Nigeria. African Journal of Food Science, 2010; 4(2):389-393.
- 291 13. Akan, J.C., Abdulrahaman, F. I., Sodipo, O. A. & Lange, A. G.
292 Physicochemical parameter in soil and vegetable samples from Gongalon
293 agricultural site, Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria. Journal of American
294 Science, 2010; 12:78-88.
- 295 14. IRIS. Integrated Risk Information System –database. US Environmental
296 Protection Agency (USEPA), 2011.
- 297 15. World Health Organization. Permissible limits of heavy metals in soil and
298 plants. Geneva, Switzerland, 1996.
- 299 16. World Health Organization. Quality control methods for medicinal plants.
300 Geneva, Switzerland, 1998.
- 301 17. World Health Organization. Permissible limits of heavy metals in
302 vegetables. Geneva, Switzerland, 2005.
- 303 18. Gray, C.W., McLaren, R.G., Roberts, A.H. & Condron, L.M. Sorption and
304 desorption of cadmium from some New Zealand soils; Effect of pH and
305 contact time. Australian Journal of Soil Research, 1998; 36: 199-216.
- 306 19. Salam, A.K. & Helmke, P.A. The pH dependence of free ionic activities and
307 total dissolved concentrations of copper and cadmium in soil solution.
308 Geoderma, 1998; 83: 281-291.

309 20. Chlopecka, A., Bacon, J.R., Wilson, M.J. & Kay, J. Forms of cadmium, lead
310 and zinc in contaminated soils in South west Poland. *Journal of*
311 *Environmental Quality*, 1996; 25:69-79.

312 21. Singh, B.R., Narwai, R.P., Jeng, A.S. & Ahmas, A. Crop uptake and
313 extractability of cadmium in soils naturally high in metals at different pH
314 levels. *Communications of Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 1995; 26:
315 2133-2142.

316 22. Ngole, V.M. Using soil heavy metal enrichment and mobility to determine
317 potential uptake vegetables. *Plant soil environment*, 2011; 57:75-80.

318 23. Free Library. *Mineralogy and geochemical properties of some upland soils*
319 *from different sedimentary formations in south-eastern Nigeria*, 2014;
320 Accessed July, 2015. Available at: www.thefreelibrary.com

321

322