

Control of some phytopathogenic fungi using clove essential oil (*Syzygium aromaticum* L.)

ABSTRACT

Aims: This study evaluates the inhibitory potential of the clove essential oil (*Syzygium aromaticum* L.) on phytopathogenic fungi *in vitro* and on maize seeds.

Study design: The experiments comprised completely randomized designs: Seven treatments with five replicates on *in vitro* test; and four treatments with five replicates each, on *in vivo* test.

Place and Duration of Study: The work was carried out at the Center for Agrifood Science and Technology of the Federal University of Campina Grande, Pombal, Brazil, from April to May 2018.

Methodology: In the *in vitro* test, the essential oil was incorporated into the PDA (Potato-Dextrose-Agar) culture medium. The treatments comprised five concentrations of the oil (0.0125, 0.025, 0.05, 0.1, and 0.2%), a negative control (0.0%), and a positive control (Tiram). Plates were inoculated with the tested fungi, *Fusarium verticillioides*, *Macrophomina phaseolina*, and *Macrophomina pseudophaseolina*, then incubated for seven days at 27±2°C. The percentage of mycelial growth inhibition (PGI) and mycelial growth rate index (MGRI) were estimated. In the *in vivo* test, maize seeds (AG1051 hybrid) were treated with the essential oil on concentrations equal or superior to the minimum inhibitory concentration found in the *in vitro* test, besides the negative and positive controls. The artificial inoculation was carried out in fungi colonies for 32 hours and the seed sanity test was performed. The percentage of seeds infected by the fungus was evaluated after seven days.

Results: *In vitro* conditions, clove oil totally inhibited the mycelial growth of *F. verticillioides*, *M. phaseolina* and *M. pseudophaseolina* at concentrations of 0.05, 0.1 and 0.1%, respectively. At 0.2% concentration significantly reduced the incidence of colonies of fungi *M. phaseolina* and *M. pseudophaseolina* in hybrid corn seeds AG 1051.

Conclusion: The clove essential oil had a fungitoxic effect on the phytopathogens evaluated, under *in vitro* and in the treatment of maize seeds.

Keywords: alternative control, fungitoxic effect, mycelial growth, plant diseases, *Zea mays*.

1. INTRODUCTION

Corn (*Zea mays* L.) is a cereal widely used in human and animal food, cultivated and consumed practically all over the planet [1]. In addition, it offers versatility of use in production systems, with a wide range of uses, from human and animal consumption *in natura* to the production of by-products by large industries in several areas, such as: pharmaceuticals, chemicals, beverages, fuel and which renders the grain into margarine, starch, flour, corn meal, bran, oil, glucose syrup and flakes for breakfast cereals [2,3,4].

Brazil is the third largest producer and exporter of maize according to the 11th USDA survey for the world corn crop 2018/2019, accounting for 94.5 million tons of world production, after the United States and China, with production values of 366.3 and 257.3 million tons, respectively. Also, Brazil's maize exports increased by 14.2% between 2017/18 and 2018/19, with about of 29.0 million tons, next the United States and Argentina, with export values of 60.3 and 30.0 million tons, respectively [5].

Corn seeds are susceptible to several phytopathogens that cause damage during the production stages, especially in the establishment period of the crop. The presence of pathogens causes the weakening of seedlings and reduces the population of plants during the initial stages of development [6]. Many seed-borne diseases are caused by fungi, for example: stem rot and pink ear rot are caused by *Fusarium verticillioides* [7] and dry rot by *Macrophomina phaseolina* and *Macrophomina pseudophaseolina* [8,9].

In addition to that, seeds can act as vectors, transmitting disease from contaminated plantations to non-contaminated plantations [10]. These fungi survive on crop residues and on seeds in the form of mycelia, conidia and sclerotia, which later cause disease in the crop [11]. In Brazil, 100% of maize seeds are treated with fungicides and 85% with insecticides, and the use of high-quality seeds is also recommended, reducing the incidence and spread of pathogens both in the field and in storage [12,13].

In the field, phytosanitary problems are minimized through the conventional system of agricultural production [14]. This model of agriculture is based on the use of highly toxic chemical fertilizers and pesticides that cause a series of environmental damages such as the accumulation of harmful substances in soil and water, causing biological and ecological hazards [15], as well as its effect on human health [16]. The indiscriminate use of these products can also favor the emergence of resistant pathogens, requiring the progressive application of stronger agrochemicals that will cause even more significant damages [17].

In this context, many researchers have been engaged in the search for natural products with fungitoxic properties and that can be applied crop pathogens and have minor effects on the environment and human health. Among the natural products with these characteristics are the essential oils, which are complex compounds generated from secondary metabolites of the plants [18]. Several of these oils have low toxicity to humans and can be used with relative safety [19,20].

The properties of clove (*Syzygium aromaticum* L.) essential oil been studied by several investigators and their biological activities, including antifungal activity, have been documented on literature. Its main constituents are eugenol (70-90%), eugenol acetate (5-15%) and β -caryophyllene (up to 2.1%) [21, 22]. Many studies documented their effective use in the control of *Rhizoctonia solani* [23] and *Fusarium* spp. [24] at low concentrations.

The use of essential oils can reduce the using of chemical pesticides, generating benefits for the environment as well as for the health of producers and consumers of agricultural products. This work aims to evaluate the fungitoxic effect of clove essential oil on the mycelial growth of fungi *F. verticillioides*, *M. phaseolina* and *M. pseudophaseolina*, as well as the efficiency of this oil in the maintenance of sanitary quality of maize seeds.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Place of experiments

The experiments were conducted in the Laboratory of Phytopatology at the Center of Science and Technology Agrifood (CCTA) of the Federal University of Campina Grande (UFCG), between April and May of 2018.

2.2 Sampling

Fungal strains, *Fusarium verticillioides* 3434, *Macrophomina phaseolina* 2726 and *Macrophomina pseudophaseolina* 2709 were used. They have been provided by Prof. Maria Menezes of the Federal Rural University of Pernambuco (UFRPE) from the collection of phytopathogenic fungi. The fungi were preserved in distilled water by the Castellani method until the assay [25].

The pure essential oil of clove (*Syzygium aromaticum* L.) was purchased at a local store specialized in natural products. Hybrid corn seeds AG1051 were purchased at a commercial house in the city of Pombal, with a minimum purity of 98% and a minimum germination of 85%.

2.3 Screening of the antifungal activity of clove essential oil *in vitro*

Seven treatments were used, 5 oil concentrations (0.0125, 0.025, 0.05, 0.1 and 0.2%), negative control (without essential oil supplementation=0.0%) and the positive control (supplemented with 1 mL L⁻¹ of the fungicide Tiram, which is the dosage indicated by the manufacturer's). Five replicates of each treatment were arranged in completely randomized design (CRD).

To check the antifungal activity of clove essential oil on the linear growth against the tested fungi poisoned food (PF) technique was used [26]. The different treatments incorporated into PDA (Potato Dextrose Agar) culture medium just before pouring in sterilized Petri dishes. After solidification, one-centimeter mycelial discs were taken from the margins of 7 days old culture and placed to the center of each plate containing the treatments. The plates were then wrapped in plastic film and incubated in a BOD (Biochemical Oxygen Demand) at a temperature of 27±2°C.

The concentrations were chosen from an initial concentration based on the literature [27] and then gradually reduced until the addition of oil to the medium was no longer able to prevent the fungal growth. To obtain the final concentrations, we used the direct dilution procedure in a culture medium [28].

Colony growth was measured daily until the colony covers the entire surface of the culture medium in one of the plates or within a maximum period of 7 days. The evaluation of the mycelial growth consisted of daily measurements of the diameter of the colonies obtained through the average of two perpendicular measurements, using digital caliper, resulting in the average daily growth for each repetition of each treatment. With this result, the percentage of mycelial growth inhibition (PGI; [29]) and the index of mycelial growth speed (IMGS [30]) could be calculated according to the formulas (1) and (2):

$$PGI = \frac{[(negative\ control\ growth - treatment\ growth)] \times 100}{negative\ control\ growth} \quad (1)$$

$$IMGS = \sum \frac{current\ mycelial\ growth - previous\ mycelial\ growth}{number\ of\ days\ of\ incubation} \quad (2)$$

2.4 Screening of the antifungal activity of clove essential oil *in vivo* (on maize seeds)

The experiments comprised completely randomized designs. The treatments consisted of sterilized distilled water solutions supplemented with different concentrations of clove essential oil; a negative control (without essential oil supplementation=0.0%); and a positive control (supplemented with 1 mL L⁻¹ of the fungicide Tiram, which is the dosage indicated by the manufacture's). To emulsify the oil in the water Tween 80 (1 mL L⁻¹) was used [31].

For *F. verticillioides*, 5 treatments were applied: The clove essential oil on concentrations 0.05, 0.1 and 0.2%; a negative control; and a positive control. For *M. phaseolina* and *M. pseudophaseolina*, 4 treatments were applied: The clove essential oil on concentrations 0.1 and 0.2%; a negative control; and a positive control. The concentrations used were defined based on the *in vitro* test results.

The seeds were disinfected in 2.0% sodium hypochlorite solution for five minutes, washed with sterile distilled water twice and dried at room temperature. Afterwards they were immersed for five minutes in different solutions (treatments). After drying at room temperature, the artificial inoculation was performed.

The inoculation was done depositing the corn seeds on the colonies of *F. verticillioides*, *M. phaseolina* and *M. pseudophaseolina* with 7 days of age. The contact time between seeds and fungal colonies was 32 hours on BOD at 27±2 °C, with a 12-hour photoperiod [6].

After the treatment and inoculation, the samples were submitted to the sanity test, which was performed by the filter paper method with freezing [32]. One hundred seeds were used per treatment, distributed on Petri dishes of 14 cm in diameter.

In this method, ten seeds were placed at equal distances on each plate on triple layer of filter paper previously moistened in sterile distilled water and incubated initially for 24 hours on BOD at 27±2 °C, with a 12-hour photoperiod. After this period, they were subjected to freezing (-20 °C) for 24 hours, and then returned to the incubator for another five days.

After incubation, the seeds were examined individually, using a stereoscopic microscope, for the quantification of seeds infected by *Fusarium verticillioides*, *Macrophomina phaseolina* and *M. pseudophaseolina*, through the morphological characteristics of their structures. The results were expressed as percentage of seed infected by each fungus.

2.5 Statistical analysis

To verify the effect of the different concentrations of the essential oil on the growth of fungi, we used quadratic-plateau regressions model on the *in vitro* experiment data. To test the difference between treatments with the essential oil and the treatment containing the fungicide on the *in vitro* and *in vivo* tests Mann-Whitney (Tukey non-parametric) multiple comparisons were applied. Non-parametric tests were used because of the lack of variance in the results of some treatments. Differences with a probability values below 5% were considered significant. The regressions were performed in the program R Core Team 3.5.1 [33].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 *In vitro* antifungal assay

3.1.1 Effects of clove essential oil on tested phytopathogenic fungi

All tested concentration of clove essential oil reduced the mycelial growth and the growth rate of tested phytopathogens. The inhibition percentages increased significantly as the oil concentration increased until PGI reaches 100% at higher concentrations (figure 1).




Fig 1. Inhibition percentage of clove essential oil against tested phytopathogens.

Three asterisks after equation terms indicate a level of significance below 0.1% ($***P < 0.001$).

The total inhibition of mycelial growth *F. verticillioides* was obtained with the concentration of 0.05%, while the growth of *M. phaseolina* and *M. pseudophaseolina* was precluded with 0.1% of the oil. These were the lowest concentrations tested capable of totally inhibiting the growth of the evaluated phytopathogens. Below, we will refer to them as observed minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICobs; Table 1).

Table 1. Minimum inhibitory concentration of clove essential oil against different phytopatogens.

Phytopatogens	MICobs ¹	MICest ²
<i>Fusarium verticillioides</i>	0.05	0.039
<i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i>	0.1	0.082
<i>Macrophomina pseudophaseolina</i>	0.1	0.093

¹Minimum inhibitory concentration determined on in vitro test;

²Minimum inhibitory concentration estimated by the regression analysis on quadratic-plateau model.

On the other hand, using the equations generated by the regressions with the quadratic-plateau model, the estimated minimum concentrations (MICest; Table 1) were obtained, which were slightly different from MICobs. The estimate suggested that total inhibition of mycelial growth could be achieved applying lower concentrations than MICobs.

A decrease in the growth rate was observed with the essential oil concentration increased until the growth of phytopathogens was stopped when submitted to their respective MICobs

(See table 1), there was a significant difference in the negative controls that showed the highest growth rates of the fungi (Table 2).

Table 2. Means of the index of mycelial growth speed (cm day⁻¹ ± SD) of phytopathogenic fungi in the minimum inhibitory concentration observed of clove essential oil and the control treatments.

Phytopatogens	Negative control	Oil on MIC ¹	Tiram
<i>Fusarium verticillioides</i>	0.85 ± 0.02 a**	0.00 ± 0.00 b	0.02 ± 0.01 c
<i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i>	2.17 ± 0.00 a	0.00 ± 0.00 b	0.00 ± 0.00 b
<i>Macrophomina pseudophaseolina</i>	1.23 ± 0.49 a	0.00 ± 0.00 b	0.00 ± 0.00 b

¹Minimum inhibitory concentration determined on *in vitro* test;

**Letters can be compared in rows; averages with the same letter have no statistically significant difference (Mann-Whitney test, $P > 0.05$).

According to literature, the phenolic compound Eugenol is the major constituent of clove essential oil, in addition to other chemical compounds such as β -caryophyllene, α -humulene, caryophyllene oxide and eugenyl acetate in lower concentrations [21, 22, 34, 35]. The fungitoxicity of eugenol and other compounds has been reported in some studies [26, 35, 36, 37]. The mechanism of action of clove essential oil is associated with its hydrophobicity, which provides interaction with the wall and lipids of the cell membrane and mitochondria, altering cellular permeability and causing disturbances in its structures.

Also, many authors obtained inhibitory results using clove essential oil at concentrations higher or equal to the concentrations used in this experiment. For example, under *in vitro* conditions, Costa et al. [23] obtained total inhibition of *Fusarium oxysporum*, *F. solani* and *Rhizoctonia solani* at concentration 0.15%. While in the control of *Sclerotium rolfsii*, Abdel-Kader et al. [38] reached maximum inhibition from the concentration 2.0%. In addition to confirming the antifungal potential of clove essential oil, the results obtained by these studies suggest that the concentration required for inhibition of mycelial growth under *in vitro* conditions will depend on the microorganism evaluated, justifying the investigation of the minimum concentration in other phytopathogens of economic importance.

Using the essential oil of other plant species on control of *F. verticillioides* and *Macrophomina* spp., other authors obtained significant inhibition results. For example, the total inhibition of *F. verticillioides* was achieved by França et al. [39], Yamamoto-Ribeiro et al. [40] and Bonfin et al. [41] using the Palmarosa (*Cymbopogon martinii*), Ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) and Rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis* L.) essential oils at concentrations of 0.2%, 2.500 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ (0.25%) and 150 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ (0.015%), respectively. On control of *M. phaseolina*, Khaledi et al. [42] and Ugolino et al. [43] found maximum inhibition using Peppermint (*Mentha piperita* L.) and Alecrim-da-chapada (*Lippia gracilis*) at concentrations of 2.000 ppm (0.2%) and 0.4 to 1.0%, respectively.

To understand the potential of clove essential oil as a fungicide on *F. verticillioides* and *Macrophomina* spp. we compared its fungitoxic effect with that obtained by a commercial synthetic fungicide. We observed strong inhibition effect of the oil concerning the fungicide at concentrations of 0.05% for *F. verticillioides* and 0.1% for *Macrophomina* spp (Figure 2). This result suggests that under *in vitro* conditions, the fungicide could be replaced by the essential oil.




Fig 2. Effect of different treatments (clove essential oil and the control treatments) on the mycelial growth inhibition of phytopathogenic fungi.

Superscript concentrations with the same letter were not significantly different from each other by the Mann-Whitney test ($P > 0.05$)

The microbial control promoted by the essential oils is due to its high chemical complexity, from several constituents [44]. These constituents act through different mechanisms of action in diverse targets simultaneously [38]. These characteristics confer advantage over the use of synthetic fungicide, reducing the possibility of resistance in phytopathogens [45].

3.2 In vivo antifungal assay

3.2.1 Effects of clove essential oil on maize seed infected with tested phytopathogenic fungi

The treated maize seeds (AG1051) with clove essential oil at concentration 0.2% exerted an inhibitory effect against *M. phaseolina* and *M. pseudophaseolina*, with a significant reduction in the percentage of infected seeds (Figure 3). On the other hand, clove essential oil did not promote significant effect against *F. verticillioides*.




Fig 3. Effect of different treatments (clove essential oil and control treatments) on the percentage of seed infection by tested phytopathogenic fungi.

Superscript concentrations with the same letter were not significantly different from each other by the Mann-Whitney test ($P > 0.05$)

Using essential oils from other plant species, has been documented by many researchers. For example, the effect of citronella (*Cymbopogon nardus*) and eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus citriodora*) oils on microflora associated with XGN5320 maize seeds, Brito et al. [46] reached significant inhibition of *Fusarium* sp. at the concentration of 0.15%. Using 'Al Bandeirante' corn seeds treated with the essential oils of Lemon-scented eucalyptus (*Corymbia citriodora*) and eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus camaldulensis*), Domene et al. [47] reported that the oils reduced the incidence of *Fusarium* sp.

The present study showed that concentrations of essential oil used in seed treatment were not enough to significantly reduce the percentage of seeds infected by *F. verticillioides*. This result agreed with França et al. [39] who used the essential oil of Palmarosa (*Cymbopogon martinii*) on *F. verticillioides* *in vitro* and in the treatment of AG1051 maize seeds. Under *in vitro* conditions, the total inhibition was achieved from the 0.2% concentration, on the other hand, in the treatment of seeds there was significant reduction in the percentage of seeds infected by the fungus from the 3.0% concentration. The authors estimated that the total inhibition could occur when using the essential oil in the concentration of 7.12%.

Fandohan et al. [48] also obtained significant results in the control of *F. verticillioides* in maize seeds. Under *in vitro* conditions, total inhibition of the fungus was obtained from the concentration of 1.3 $\mu\text{L mL}^{-1}$ (0.13%), whereas in seed treatment an increase in concentration was required to reduce the percentage of infected seeds. These results indicate that in the treatment of seeds the increase in the concentration of the oil concerning the *in vitro* experiment becomes required. Possibly we would achieve a greater reduction in the percentage of seeds infected with the increase of the tested concentrations.

The clove essential oil at concentration above 0.1% (0.1%) was more efficient in reducing seed infection by *F. verticillioides* than the fungicide Thiram (Figure 3). While, in case of infection by *M. phaseolina* and *M. pseudophaseolina*, clove essential oil had a similar effect to that obtained by the fungicide.

Due to the presence of bioactive components with antimicrobial activity, the use of essential oils and plant extracts has become a promising alternative in the control of phytopathogenic fungi [49]. Among the benefits of the use of natural product-based pesticides, that have less persistence in the environment [50]. Depending on the plant species used, the essential oil may have low toxicity and, so, there is a possibility to minimize risks to human health and the environment.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In the present study, the growth of *F. verticillioides* and *Macrophomina* spp. was paralyzed at concentrations of 0.05 and 0.1%, respectively. In addition, the inhibitions obtained at lower concentrations (0.025 and 0.05%) were quite significant, with a percentage of inhibition higher than 90%. From the obtained results, it is found that in the treatment of seeds, the essential oil had an effect similar or superior to that obtained by commercial fungicide Tiram.

REFERENCES

1. Santos AS, Oliveira LCL, Curado FF, Tavares ED, Dalmora E. Variedades crioulas de milho para a realidade da agricultura familiar no semiárido sergipano. Embrapa Tabuleiros Costeiros – Comunicado Técnico, Aracaju – S. 2016;190:1678-1937. Portuguese.
2. Melo TM, Wottrich I, Louzada JAE, Helfer F. Avaliação do atendimento da demanda hídrica da cultura do milho através da subirrigação. Revista Brasileira de Agricultura Irrigada, 2010;4(4):226-233. Portuguese.
3. Regitano-D'Arce MAB, Spoto MHF, Castellucci ACL. Processamento e industrialização do milho para alimentação humana. Visão Agrícola, 2015(13). Accessed 22 May 2019. Available: https://www.esalq.usp.br/visaoagricola/sites/default/files/VA_13_Industrializacao-artigo2.pdf Portuguese.
4. Silveira DC, Bonetti LP, Tragnago JL, Neto N, Monteiro V. Caracterização agromorfológica de variedades de milho crioulo (*Zea mays* L.) na região noroeste do Rio Grande do Sul. Revista Ciência e Tecnologia, 2015;1(1):01-11.
5. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE. World Agricultural Production. Circular Series WAP 3-19, March 2019. Disponível em: <https://apps.fas.usda.gov/psdonline/circulars/production.pdf>. Acesso em: 21 de março 2019.

6. Ramos DP, Barbosa RM, Torres BGLV, Panizzi RC, Vieira RD. Infecção por *Fusarium graminearum* e *Fusarium verticillioides* em sementes de milho. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Tropical*, 2014;44(1):24-31. Portuguese.
7. Amorim L, Rezende JAM, Bergamin Filho A. Manual de Fitopatologia. Volume 1 - Princípios e Conceitos. 4th ed. Editora Agronômica Ceres Ltda. São Paulo, 2011. Portuguese.
8. Embrapa. Doenças na Cultura do Milho. Circular Técnica - 83, EMBRAPA MILHO E SORGO, Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento, Sete Lagoas - MG, 2006;83(1). Accessed 27 March 2019. Available: <https://www.infoteca.cnptia.embrapa.br/infoteca/bitstream/doc/490415/1/Circ83.pdf> Portuguese.
9. Sarr MP, Ndiaye M, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW. Genetic diversity in *Macrophomina phaseolina*, the causal agent of charcoal rot. *Phytopathologia Mediterranea*, 2014;53:163-173.
10. Casa RT, Reis EM, Moreira EN. Transmissão de fungos em sementes de cereais de inverno e milho: implicações epidemiológicas. In: Zambolim L. (Ed.). Sementes: Qualidade Fitossanitária. Viosa: UFV; DFP, p. 55-71, 2005. Portuguese.
11. Embrapa. Ocorrência de podridão em espigas de milho em Sergipe. Circular Técnica - 55, Embrapa Tabuleiros Costeiros, Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento, Aracaju - SE, 2009;55(1). Accessed 20 March 2019. Available: http://www.cpatc.embrapa.br/publicacoes_2009/ct_55.pdf Portuguese.
12. Juliatti FC, Nascimento C, Rezende AA. Avaliação de diferentes pontas e volumes de pulverização na aplicação de fungicida na cultura do milho. *Summa Phytopathologica*, 2010;36(3):216-221. Portuguese.
13. Nunes, JCS. Tratamento de sementes profissional – equipamentos e processos. Informativo ABRATES, v. 20, n. 3, p. 57, 2010. Accessed 20 March 2019. Available: https://www.abrates.org.br/img/informations/088f65d7-4ade-4775-9d5c-6c24f8151283_IA%20v22%20n3.pdf Portuguese.
14. Mariani CM, Henkes JA. Agricultura orgânica x Agricultura convencional, soluções para minimizar o uso de insumos industrializados. *Revista Gestão e Sustentabilidade Ambiental*, 2015;3(2):315-338. Portuguese.
16. Sheahan M, Barrett CB, Goldvale C. Human health and pesticide use in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Agricultural Economics*, 2017;48(51):27-41.
17. Silva JS, Oliveira RC, Diniz SPSS. Óleo essencial de *Mentha arvensis* L. como agente no controle de fungos fitopatogênicos. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, 2012;17:99-100. Portuguese.
18. Bizzo HR, Hovell AMC, Rezende CM. Óleos essenciais no Brasil: Aspectos gerais, desenvolvimento e perspectivas. *Química Nova*, 2009;32(3):588-594, 2009. Portuguese.
19. Vigan M. Essential oils: renewal of interest and toxicity. *European Journal of Dermatology*, 2010;20(6):685-92.

20. Raut JS, Karuppaiyl SM. A status review on the medicinal properties of essential oils. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 2014;62:250-264.
21. Razafimamonjison G, Jahiel M, Duclos T, Ramanoelina P, Fawbush F, Danthu P. Bud, leaf and stem essential oil composition of *Syzygium aromaticum* from Madagascar, Indonesia and Zanzibar. *International Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 2014;3(3):224-233.
22. Cortes-Rojas DF, Souza CRF, Oliveira WP. Clove (*Syzygium aromaticum*): A precious spice. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*, 2014;4:90-96.
23. Costa ART, Amaral MFZJ, Martins PM, Paula JAM, Fiuza TS, Tresvenzol LMF, Paula JR, Bara MTF. Ação do óleo essencial de *Syzygium aromaticum* (L.) Merr. & L.M.Perry sobre as hifas de alguns fungos fitopatogênicos. *Revista Brasileira de Plantas Medicinai*s, 2011;13(2):240-245. Portuguese.
24. Ascensão VL, Mouchrek Filho VE. Extração, caracterização química e atividade antifúngica de óleo essencial *Syzygium aromaticum* (cravo-da-índia). *Cadernos de Pesquisa*, 2013;20: n. especial, 2013. Portuguese.
25. Castellani A. Maintenance and cultivation of common pathogenic fungi of man in sterile distilled water. Further Researches. *Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 1967;70:181-184.
26. Grover RK, Moore JD. Toxicometric studies of fungicides against brown rot organisms *Sclerotinia fructicola* and *S. laxa*. *Phytopathology*, 1962;52:876-880.
27. Santos LGM, Cardoso MG, Lima RK, Souza PE, Guimarães LGL, Andrade MA. Avaliação do potencial fungitóxico do óleo essencial de *Syzygium aromaticum* (L.) MERR & PERRY (cravo-da-índia). *TECNO-LÓGICA*, 2007;11(1):11-14. Portuguese.
28. Pereira MC, Vilela GR, Costa LMAS, Silva RF, Fernandes AF, Fonseca EWN, Picolli RH. et al. Inibição do desenvolvimento fúngico através da utilização de óleos essenciais de condimentos. *Ciência e Agrotecnologia*, 2006;30(4):731-738. Portuguese.
29. Bastos CN. Efeito do óleo de *Piper aduncum* sobre *Crinipelis pernicioso* e outros fungos fitopatogênicos. *Fitopatologia Brasileira*, 1997;22(3):441-443. Portuguese.
30. Oliveira JA. Efeito do tratamento fungicida em sementes e no controle de tombamento de plântulas de pepino (*Cucumis sativus* L.) e pimentão (*Capsicum annum* L.). Dissertation (Master in Agronomy/Phytosanitary) – Universidade Federal de Lavras, Lavras, MG, p. 111, 1991. Portuguese.
31. Santos, PL. Manejo de *Macrophomina phaseolina* (Tassi) Goid. em sementes de feijoeiro (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) com óleos essenciais e antagonistas. 2018. 76fls. Thesis (PhD in Agronomy) - Universidade Estadual Paulista, Botucatu-SP. 2018. Portuguese.
32. Limonard T. A modified blotter test for seed health. *Netherland Journal of Plant Pathology*, 1966;72(2):319-321.
33. R Core Team. A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria; 2018. Available at: <https://www.R-project.org/>.

34. Silvestri JDF, Paroul N, Czyewski E, Lerin L, Rotava I, Cansian RL, Mossi A, Toniazzo G, Oliveira D, Treichel H. Perfil da composição química e atividades antibacteriana e antioxidante do óleo essencial do cravo-da-índia (*Eugenia caryophyllata* Thunb.). *Revista Ceres*, 2010;57(5):589-594. Portuguese.
35. Affonso RS, Rennó MN, Slana GBCA, Franca TCC. Aspectos Químicos e Biológicos do Óleo Essencial de Cravo da Índia. *Revista Virtual Química*, 2012;4(2):146-161. Portuguese.
36. Ramos K, Andreani Junior R, Kozusny- Andreani DI. Óleos essenciais e vegetais no controle in vitro de *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. *Revista Brasileira de Plantas Mediciniais*, 2016;18(2):605-612. Portuguese.
37. Abd-Elsalam KA, Khorkhlov AR. Eugenol oil nanoemulsion: antifungal activity against *Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. vasinfectum* and phytotoxicity on cottonseeds. *Applied Nanoscience*, 2015;5:255-265.
38. Abdel-Kader MM, El-mougy NS, Lashin SM. Essential oils and *trichoderma harzianum* as an integrated control measure against faba bean root rot pathogens. *Journal of Plant Protection Research*, 2011;51(3):306-311.
39. França KRSF, Xavier ALS, Alves, FMF, Lima TS, Araújo IG, Nóbrega LP, Nascimento AHC, Mendonça Júnior AF, Rodrigues APMS, Almeida AF, Cardoso TA. Control of *Fusarium verticillioides* using Palmarosa essential oil (*Cymbopogon martinii*). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 2019;8(05):484-494.
40. Yamamoto-Ribeiro MMG, Grespan R, Kohiyama CY, Ferreira FD, Mossini SAG, Silva E L, Filho BAA, Mikcha JM, Machinski M. Effect of *Zingiber officinale* essential oil on *Fusarium verticillioides* and fumonisin production. *Food Chemistry*, 2013;141(3):3147-3152.
41. Bomfim NS, Nakassugi LP, Oliveira JFP, Kohiyama CY, Mossini SAG, Grespan R, Nerilo SB, Mallmann CA, Filho BAA, Junior MM. Antifungal activity and inhibition of fumonisin production by *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. essential oil in *Fusarium verticillioides* (Sacc.) Nirenberg. *Food Chemistry*, 2015;166:330-336.
42. Khaledi N; Taheri P, Tarighi S. Antifungal activity of various essential oils against *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Macrophomina phaseolina* as major bean pathogens. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 2015;118(3):704-717.
43. Ugulino ALN, Mendonça Júnior AF, Rodrigues APM, Santos AB, França KRS, Cardoso TAL, Prado Júnior LD. Inhibition effect of vegetable oils on the mycelial growth of *Macrophomina phaseolina* (Tassi.). *Goid. Journal of Agricultural Science*, 2018;10(6):49-56.
44. Russo M, Suraci F, Postorino S, Serra D, Roccotelli A, Agosteo GE. Essential oil chemical composition and antifungal effects on *Sclerotium cepivorum* of *Thymus capitatus* wild populations from Calabria, southern Italy. *Revista Brasileira de Farmacognosia*, 2013;23(2):239-248.
45. Feng W, Zheng X. Essential oils to control *Alternaria alternata* in vitro and in vivo. *Food Control*, 2007;18:1126-1130.
46. Brito DR, Ootani MA, Ramos ACC, Sertão WC, Aguiar RWS. Efeito dos óleos de citronela, eucalipto e composto citronelal sobre micoflora e desenvolvimento de plantas de milho. *Journal of Biotechnology and Biodiversity*, 2012;3(4):184-192. Portuguese.

47. Domene MP, Glória EM, Biagi JD, Benedetti BC, Martins L. Efeito do tratamento com óleos essenciais sobre a qualidade fisiológica e sanitária das sementes de milho (*Zea mays*). Arquivos do Instituto Biológico, 2016;83:1-6. Portuguese.

48. Fandohan, P, Gbenou JD, Gnonlonfin B, Hell K, Marasas WF, Wingfield MJ. Effect of essential oils on the growth of *Fusarium verticillioides* and fumonisin contamination in corn. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2004;52(22):6824-6829.

49. Camatti-Sartori V, Magrini FV, Crippa LB, Marchett C, Venturini L, Silva-Ribeiro RT. Avaliação *in vitro* de extratos vegetais para o controle de fungos patogênicos de flores. Revista Brasileira de Agroecologia, 2011;6(2):117-122. Portuguese.

50. Fokialakis CN, Cantrell CL, Duke SO, Skaltsounis AL, Wedge DE. Antifungal activity of thiophenes from *Echinops ritro*. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2006;54:1651-1655.

UNDER PEER REVIEW