

**Agronomic aptitude and quality of vinifera grapes in a non-traditional of culture region in the Agreste of Pernambuco States**

**ABSTRACT**

**This study** evaluate the agronomic and quality characteristics of grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) varieties in a non-traditional region of the Agreste of Pernambuco States. **Study design:** The experiment was conducted in a randomized block design with five replications and eight plants per plot. **Place and Duration of Study:** Was carried out in the municipality of Brejão, PE, at the Experimental Station of the Agronomic Institute of the Pernambuco. The vines were implanted on September, 2013, whose pruning was performed on August and harvesting began on December, 2016 to January, 2017. **Methodology:** Ten treatments represented by the varieties of European vines: Cabernet Sauvignon, Malbec, Merlot Noir, Petit Verdot, Pinot Noir and Syrah for producing of red wines and Chardonnay, Muscat Petit Grain, Sauvignon Blanc and Viognier for producing of white wines, grafted on the Paulsen 1103 rootstock were evaluated. The vineyard was conducted in espalier vine-tying system in double short pruning type, with spacing 3 m x 1 m. The characterization of the phenological stages was made using as reference the phenological scale. The thermal requirement of the crop per period was estimated. Agronomic characteristics were also evaluated, such as: fertility of gems, budding (%), production, productivity, number of bunches per plant, length and width of bunch, bunch weight, soluble solids, titratable acidity, hydrogen ionic potential, SS / TA ratio, volume of 100 berries, yield of must, mass of the husks and seeds. The data were submitted to two selection indices: Classic Index and Distance Genotype-Ideotype Index. **Results:** Sprouting varied from 13.68 % (Petit Verdot) to 81.6 % (Sauvignon Blanc) and the fertility of gems from 0.1 bunch.bud<sup>-1</sup> (Chardonnay) to 0.67 bunch.bud<sup>-1</sup> (Sauvignon Blanc). The pruning cycle and Day Degrees (DD) cumulated ranged from 133 days and 1,684 DD (Muscat Petit Grain) to 167 days and 2,070 DD (Merlot Noir). The number of bunches ranged from five (Merlot Noir) to 29 bunches.plant<sup>-1</sup> (Sauvignon Blanc). Muscat Petit Grain stood out for bunch weight, not differing from Syrah and Malbec. The varieties showed no difference in length and width of bunches. In the volume of 100 berries, Muscat Petit Grain (213.6 ml) and Malbec (216.0 ml) stood out. For the yield of must, Sauvignon Blanc (70.87 %) stood out, not differing from Malbec (64.31 %), Viognier (69.79 %), Muscat Petit Grain (70.22 %). Muscat Petit Grain, Sauvignon Blanc and Viognier (white wine), Cabernet Sauvignon, Malbec, Merlot Noir and Syrah obtained acceptable values for soluble solids (SS), titratable acidity (TA), SS/TA ratio and pH. From the selection index analyzes, the Muscat Petit Grain, Cabernet Sauvignon and Syrah varieties were indicated for the selection by the highest Mulamba and Mock index and by the Genotype-Ideotype distance index. **Conclusion:** The cycle of grapevine varieties evaluated in the Garanhuns, PE, Microregion is longer than that observed in the sub Medio of the São Francisco Valley, similar to those in the South Region of Brazil. In the evaluated cycle the varieties produced grapes with characteristics suitable for the production of quality fine wines, showing to be

promising for this non-traditional microregion in the production of fine grapes. From the selection index analyzes, the Muscat Petit Grain, Syrah and Cabernet Sauvignon varieties were indicated for selection by the highest Mulamba and Mock index and Genotype-Ideotype distance index.

15 **Keywords:** *Vitis vinifera* L., white wine, red wine, selection indexes.

16

17

## 1. INTRODUCTION

18

19

The *Vitis vinifera* L. is the most cultivated grape species in the world and is widely used in wine production. The main varieties used for the production of white wine are Chardonnay, Muscat Petit Grain, Sauvignon Blanc and Viognier, while the Cabernet Sauvignon, Malbec, Melot Noir, Petit Verdot, Pinot Noir and Syrah varieties stand out in the production of red wines. Together, these varieties occupy a prominent place in the world scenario in the elaboration of fine wines [1].

24

25

26

The vine is an exotic species, but increasingly representative in Brazilian fruit growing, since it is no longer exclusively cultivated in temperate zones and has become a promising alternative to fruit growing also in tropical regions [2].

28

29

30

The semi-arid region presents peculiar climatic conditions that differ from the other regions producing grapes. These climatic conditions favor the rapid evolution of elaborated wines, especially of the grapes harvested between October and January, in the sub Medio of the São Francisco Valley. This is due to the high temperatures exceeding 33-35°C, limiting temperatures to ensure the stability of phenolic compounds and aroma precursors [3].

34

35

36

However, one alternative to solve these problems is the identification of micro regions with different climatic conditions and potential aptitude for the elaboration of quality wines in the Northeast region.

38

39

40

The Garanhuns Microregion where the town of Brejão, PE, is located is not traditional in the production of grapes, but it has similar climatic and altitude characteristics to those of the main regions producing European grapes. Preliminary studies indicate that the Garanhuns, PE, Microregion has a high potential for the production of grapes [4].

43

44

45

Therefore, it is important to study the behavior of these varieties under these edaphoclimatic conditions, characterizing the phenological behavior, the thermal demand and the quality parameters of the grape. This scientific knowledge contributes to improve cultural practices with the varieties, as well as, they allow to identify which varieties are more adapted to each region [5,6].

49

50

51

The analysis of production components, such as number of fruits and yield, is of great importance in the perennial plant breeding [7]. In addition, in grapes for winemaking, in addition to these characteristics, the quality of the fruit is also essential, being decisive in the production of a quality wine. When multiple characters are considered simultaneously, the selection indexes are presented as a great alternative to the selection gain prediction.

55

56

57

In view of the above, the objective was to evaluate agronomic and grape quality characteristics in *Vitis vinifera* L. varieties in a non-traditional region to identify varieties with potential for the production of fine wines, contributing to the development and strengthening of viticulture in the Brazilian Northeast region.

60

61

62 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

63

64 The experiment was carried out in the municipality of Brejão, PE, at the Experimental Station  
65 of the Agronomic Institute of the Pernambuco (IPA). The municipality is located in the  
66 Microregion of Garanhuns, PE, which comprises nineteen municipalities. Garanhuns is  
67 located at 234 Km from Recife, 08°58'S and 36°51'W with 823 m of altitude, being Brejão at  
68 approximately 24,7 Km from Garanhuns 08°53'S and 36°30'W, with an altitude of 788 m and  
69 temperatures average of 22.8 °C. Throughout the year, the temperature generally ranges  
70 from 15 °C to 31 °C and is rarely below 13 °C or above 33 °C. The average annual rainfall is  
71 1,273 mm, occurring in the period from March to August and, occasionally, in the months of  
72 December and January. The climate is classified as "As".

73

74 The work consisted of ten treatments represented by the varieties of European vines (*Vitis*  
75 *vinifera* L.): Cabernet Sauvignon, Malbec, Merlot Noir, Petit Verdot, Pinot Noir and Syrah for  
76 producing of red wines and Chardonnay, Muscat Petit Grain, Sauvignon Blanc and Viogner  
77 for producing of white wines, grafted on the Paulsen 1103 rootstock.

78

79 The experiment was conducted under a randomized block design with five replicates, each  
80 experimental plot consisting of eight plants. The vines were implanted on September 10,  
81 2013, whose pruning was performed on August 11 and harvesting began on December 22,  
82 2016 to January 25, 2017. The vineyard was conducted in espalier vine-tying system in  
83 double short pruning type, with spacing 3 m x 1 m and irrigated by micro sprinkler, being the  
84 cultural treatments used according to the recommendations for cultivation. In this work the  
85 second productive cycle of the plants was evaluated.

86

87 The characterization of each phenological stage of the different varieties was carried out  
88 through three weekly visits to the experimental area during five months. From these visits  
89 were established the dates of beginning of occurrence of the main stages of growth of the  
90 vine, using as reference the phenological scale proposed by Eichorn and Lorenz [8] and  
91 adapted by Coombe [9]: 4 - Green tip (first foliar tissues visible); 12 - Five to six separate  
92 leaves, visible inflorescence; 19 - Beginning of flowering (first open flowers); 23 - Full bloom  
93 (50 % of open flowers); 27 - Fruiting (growing berries); 31 - Berries size "pea"; 35 -  
94 Beginning of ripening (berries beginning to color and softening); 38 - Harvest (berries in full  
95 maturity).

96

97 The thermal requirement of the crop per period was calculated by the sum of the Degrees-  
98 Day (DD). To characterize the crop thermal requirement, the sum of DD from pruning to  
99 harvesting was used, as well as for each of the phenological subperiods, using the equation  
100 proposed by Villa Nova et al. [10] for mean temperature higher than the base temperature:

101

102 
$$DD = (T_m - T_b) + \frac{(T_M - T_m)}{2}$$

103

104 Where DD corresponds to the sum of Degrees-Day in each subperiod;  $T_b$  is the base  
105 temperature of the vine, equal to 10 °C [11];  $T_M$  is the daily maximum temperature (°C) and  
106  $T_m$  is the daily minimum temperature (°C).

107

108 In plants previously identified (two plants per plot), the number of production units and the  
109 number of remaining gems for pruning were recorded. The emergence and fertility of the  
110 gems were determined from the following formulas:

111

112 - Fertility of gems (bunch.bud<sup>-1</sup>) = (number of bunches / number of gems budded);

113

114 - Budding (%) = (number of gems budded / total number of gems.) X 100.

115 The following characteristics were evaluated: production (PROD), in kg.plant<sup>-1</sup>; productivity  
116 (PRODOT), in t.ha<sup>-1</sup>; number of bunches.plant<sup>-1</sup> (NB); length (LE) and width of bunch (WB),  
117 in centimeters; bunch weight (BW), in grams; soluble solids (SS), expressed in °Brix,  
118 determined by direct reading in a manual refractometer; titratable acidity (TA), determined  
119 using 0.1 N NaOH, with 1 % phenolphthalein as the indicator, the result being expressed as  
120 a percentage of tartaric acid; hydrogen ionic potential (pH), from direct reading in previously  
121 calibrated pH meter; SS / TA ratio; volume of 100 berries (BV), in ml and yield of must (YM),  
122 in %.

123

124 The selection indexes analyzed were: Classic Index of Mulamba and Mock [12] and  
125 Distance Index Genotype-Ideotype [13]. The variables in which variability were found were  
126 submitted to the two selection indices, using the most relevant characteristics for wine  
127 grapes: NB, MC, TA, pH and YM.

128

129 Estimates of selection gain prediction, using selection indices, were obtained based on the  
130 means of the experiment, with a selection percentage of 30 %, and the two best varieties  
131 were selected in each index.

132

133 The averages of the genotypes selected for each trait were compared by the Tukey test, at 5  
134 % probability. The obtained data were analyzed with the computational resources of the  
135 Genes software [14].

136

### 137 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

138

139 There was higher percentage of vegetative shoots than fertility of gems for the ten varieties  
140 (Table 1). The averages obtained for sprout and fertility of gems of the grapevines showed  
141 that the varieties presented differentiated responses, with the lowest fertility values being  
142 0.10, 0.12 and 0.18 bunch.buds<sup>-1</sup> found for Chardonnay, Petit Verdot and Pinot Noir  
143 respectively, which was reflected in the very low production for these varieties, making it  
144 impossible to do the rest of the analyzes for them.

145

146 **Table 1.** Analysis of sprout and fertility of gems of grape varieties  
147 in the Garanhuns Microregion, PE, 2017

148

149 <b>Treatments</b>	150 <b>Sprouting (%)</b>	151 <b>Fertility of gems</b> 152 <b>(bunch.bud<sup>-1</sup>)</b>
153 Muscat Petit Grain	43.36cde	0.46abc
154 Merlot Noir	26.64ef	0.36bcde
155 Chardonnay	67.68ab	0.10e
156 Syrah	66.20abc	0.52ab
157 Cabernet Sauvignon	41.10de	0.59ab
158 Petit Verdot	13.68f	0.12de
159 Pinot Noir	57.68bcd	0.18cde
160 Malbec	35.62def	0.44abc
161 Viognier	76.98ab	0.40abcd
162 Sauvignon Blanc	81.60a	0.67a
163 Coefficient of variation (%)	21.12	34.21

164

Averages followed by the same letter in the column do not differ by the  
Tukey test at 5% probability.

165

166 The Petit Verdot variety presents low vigor in the sub Medio of the São Francisco Valley,  
167 reflecting at low sprouting index, associated with low fertility of gems [15]. In the first cycle of

168 the same experiment (2015/2016), there was also a lower fertility of gems for Pinot Noir and  
 169 Chardonnay, reflecting at the low yield that made it impossible continuity of the analyzes for  
 170 these varieties [4].

171  
 172 Several factors may influence the fertility of the gems and the sprouting percentage in  
 173 vineyards, such as: hormonal balance, genetic characteristics, adaptability, branch vigor,  
 174 ambient conditions, water availability, mineral nutrition and cultural practices. However,  
 175 since these are initial studies in a non-traditional region in grapevine cultivation, more  
 176 studies are needed on the causes of this issue. Such studies are in development and it is  
 177 hoped to provide such results in the literature very soon.

178  
 179 In the evaluation of cycle from the pruning to harvest, the varieties presented cycle duration  
 180 ranging from 133 days (Muscat Petit Grain) to 167 days (Merlot Noir) (Table 2). According to  
 181 the classification of Leão et al. [16], early varieties have a cycle duration of 100 days or less,  
 182 while medium varieties have a cycle length of 101 to 120 days and late varieties have a  
 183 cycle length of more than 121 days. So all the cultivars under study were classified as late.

184

**Table 2.** Analysis of the phenological phases and Day Degrees of grape varieties in the Garanhuns Microregion, PE, 2017

Treatments	Phenological Phases								Total
	4	12	19	23	27	31	35	38	
Muscat Petit G.	18a	7b	23bc	2b	7a	10ab	35c	31d	133b
Merlot Noir	20a	5b	21c	4a	3b	8b	41a	65a	167a
Syrah	15c	10a	23bc	2b	3b	11a	35c	60ab	159a
Cabernet S.	15bc	5b	26a	4a	3b	8b	41a	58b	160a
Malbec	18ab	7b	18d	3ab	7a	8b	34c	65a	160a
Viognier	13c	5b	23b	2b	7a	11a	38b	39c	138b
Sauvignon B.	15c	5b	26a	2b	2b	11a	38b	39c	138b
CV (%)	8.05	2.05	5.12	18.93	13.01	11.45	2.92	5.43	2.96

  

Treatments	Degrees-day in the phenological phases								Total
	4	12	19	23	27	31	35	38	
Muscat Petit G.	178b	86a	237a	41a	43b	147a	443ab	509b	1.684b
Merlot Noir	198a	52b	241a	39a	36b	145a	458ab	901a	2.070a
Syrah	137c	69ab	238a	36a	50ab	153a	456ab	859a	1.998a
Cabernet S.	161b	54b	252a	37a	50ab	125a	504a	831a	2.014a
Malbec	172b	60b	236a	37a	54ab	125a	433b	901a	2.018a
Viognier	129c	57b	251a	34a	71a	140a	473ab	536b	1.691b
Sauvignon B.	137c	65ab	250a	33a	45b	140a	456ab	574b	1.700b
CV (%)	6.06	19.59	10.90	27.89	23.07	13.46	7.15	6.38	2.81

Averages followed by the same letter in the column do not differ by the Tukey test at 5% probability.

185

186 Values close to those obtained in this work were observed in regions traditionally producing  
 187 grapes, indicating areas of cultivation with characteristics similar to those of the Garanhuns,  
 188 PE, Microregion.

189

190 In the Campanha-RS region, the Cabernet Sauvignon, Merlot and Sauvignon Blanc varieties  
 191 demanded 174, 161 and 147 days respectively to complete the cycle [17]; 160 days for

192 Cabernet Sauvignon in Guarapuava, PR [18]; in the south-east of Belgrade in Serbia, the  
193 Muscat Petit Grain variety was classified as medium-late cycle [19]; in Parma, Italy, Malbec,  
194 Syrah and Cabernet Sauvignon respectively required 161, 157 and 155 days [20].  
195

196 Likewise, values close to the obtained at this work were found in the first productive cycle of  
197 this same experiment (2015/2016), in which 130, 155, 148, 158, 147, 132 and 144 days  
198 were demanded from the pruning to harvest for Muscat Petit Grain, Merlot Noir, Syrah,  
199 Cabernet Sauvignon, Malbec, Viognier and Sauvignon Blanc, respectively [4].  
200

201 This variation to the conclusion of the cycle might be attributed to the intrinsic characteristics  
202 of each variety, due to its origin and to the climatic conditions that the plants are submitted  
203 during the all productive cycle [21,22].  
204

205 Regarding the thermal demand in day degrees, it ranged among 1.684 DD (Muscat Petit  
206 Grain) and 2.070 DD (Merlot Noir) (Table 2). These values are directly related to maturation  
207 of the bunches and date of harvest.  
208

209 In São Joaquim, SC, a thermal demand of 1.694, 1,430 and 1,402 DD was required for the  
210 varieties Sauvignon Blanc, Cabernet Sauvignon and Merlot, respectively [6]. Values close to  
211 those verified in this work also were reported by Radünz et al. [17], in the region of  
212 Campana, RS, with a thermal need of 2,084 and 1,759 DD for the Cabernet Sauvignon and  
213 Sauvignon Blanc varieties, respectively. This values were close to those found in the first  
214 cycle of this same experiment (2015/2016), where there was a thermal need of 1.485, 1.804,  
215 1.721, 1.794, 1.731, 1.451 and 1.666 DD for Muscat Petit Grain, Merlot Noir, Syrah,  
216 Cabernet Sauvignon, Malbec, Viognier and Sauvignon Blanc respectively [4].  
217

218 It was observed that the first six phenological phases, as well as the thermal demand in  
219 these phases, provided less variation among the varieties, being the final phenological  
220 phases (35 and 38) responsible for presenting a longer duration and greater accumulation of  
221 DD, thus contributing with a higher number of days for the total phenological cycle and  
222 subsequent classification of varieties as early, medium and late (Table 2). In these  
223 maturation phases changes in grape metabolism, sugar concentrations, organic acids,  
224 amino acids, aromatic compounds and phenolic composition occur, which are very important  
225 components in the elaboration and quality of the wine [23].  
226

227 These values reflect what Radünz et al. [17] affirms about the phenological behavior, which  
228 is influenced by the variety, but also by the evaluated harvest, being verified greater thermal  
229 need in the development and fruit maturation phases and the less need in the flowering  
230 phase.  
231

232 The modern viticulture requires knowledge of the duration of the phenological phases,  
233 helping to decide the most appropriate time to carry out the cultural treatments and  
234 scheduling the probable dates of harvest, making possible the rationalization of  
235 phytosanitary treatments and the optimization of the workforce [24].  
236

237 Production and productivity ranged from 3.198 kg.plant<sup>-1</sup> and 10.9 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> to 0.506 kg.plant<sup>-1</sup>  
238 and 1.8 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> for Sauvignon Blanc and Merlot Noir, respectively (Table 3). Cabernet  
239 Sauvignon, Sauvignon Blanc and Syrah presented approximate values to that of the sub  
240 Medio of the São Francisco Valley [25] and Merlot Noir and Cabernet Sauvignon to Rio  
241 Grande do Sul [26]. In this way the values obtained in this work are considered as  
242 interesting production in grapes for processing conducted in espalier, since it is the second  
243 productive cycle.  
244

**Table 3.** Characteristics analysis of production (PROD), productivity (PRODUCT), number of bunches.plant<sup>-1</sup> (NB), bunch weight (BW), length of bunch (LE), width of bunch (WB), volume of 100 berries (BV) and yield of must (YM) of grapes in the Microregion of Garanhuns, PE, 2017

<b>Treatments</b>	<b>PROD (Kg.planta<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	<b>PRODUCT (t.ha<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	<b>NB</b>	<b>BW (g)</b>
Muscat P.	1.762bc	5.7bc	11bcd	160.2a
Merlot Noir	0.506d	1.8d	5d	101.2b
Syrah	2.504ab	8.6ab	18b	139.1ab
Cabernet S.	1.378cd	4.3cd	12bcd	114.8b
Malbec	1.418cd	5.0c	10cd	141.6ab
Viognier	1.555bc	5.5bc	14bc	111.1b
Sauvignon	3.198a	10.9a	29a	110.3b
CV (%)	12.01	25.96	20.64	15.85
<b>Treatments</b>	<b>LE (cm)</b>	<b>WB (cm)</b>	<b>BV (ml)</b>	<b>YM (%)</b>
Muscat P.	15.37a	7.15a	213.6a	70.22ab
Merlot Noir	12.02a	7.75a	141.0b	60.09c
Syrah	12.09a	6.81a	146.3b	63.32bc
Cabernet S.	12.32a	7.64a	118.8b	61.01c
Malbec	13.44a	9.09a	216.0a	64.31abc
Viognier	12.92a	6.60a	141.0b	69.79ab
Sauvignon	11.08a	7.25a	133.9b	70.87a
CV (%)	18.42	22.31	9.57	5.53

Averages followed by the same letter in the column do not differ by the Tukey test at 5% probability.

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

For the number of bunches.plant<sup>-1</sup>, the Sauvignon Blanc variety stood out to the others (Table 3). This result was superior to that found in two productive cycles in São Joaquim city, SC, for this variety 18.4 and 17.4 bunches.plant<sup>-1</sup> in the first and second cycle, respectively. In contrast, in the sub Medio of the São Francisco Valley, Cabernet Sauvignon (19 and 24 bunches.plant<sup>-1</sup>), Syrah (17 and 39 bunches.plant<sup>-1</sup>) and Sauvignon Blanc (21 and 34 bunches.plant<sup>-1</sup>) varieties obtained higher averages in the first and second cycle, respectively [25]. For the average number of bunches per plant the values were considered interesting even some being smaller than those found in other works in Brazil, considering that this is a non-traditional region in the cultivation of grapes.

For the average bunch weight Muscat Petit Grain stood out, not differing from Syrah and Malbec (Table 3). The values obtained in this work were not very distant from those found in Uruguaiana, RS, and Quaraí, RS, for the Cabernet Sauvignon (210.0 g and 99.8 g) and Merlot (213.5 g and 105.1 g) varieties, respectively [26]. In the sub Medio of the San Francisco Valley the varieties Cabernet Sauvignon and Syrah presented 77.59 g and 102.42 g, 85.82 g and 156.53 g, and 94.84 g and 118.42 g, in two productive cycles, respectively [25]. These values were higher than those found in the first cycle (2015/2016) of this same experiment for the varieties Muscat Petit Grain (124.45 g), Merlot Noir (93.19 g), Syrah (43.77 g) Cabernet Sauvignon (108.44 g), Malbec (106.86 g), Viognier (62.17 g) and Sauvignon Blanc (66.92 g) [4].

The varieties did not present significant difference in length and width of bunches (Table 3). This results ware superior to those found in the first cycle (2015/2016) of this same experiment for the varieties Muscat Petit Grain (11.2 cm and 5.66 cm), Merlot Noir (11.6 cm

270 and 6.22 cm), Syrah (8.0 cm and 4.36 cm), Cabernet Sauvignon (12.8 cm and 6.02 cm),  
 271 Malbec (11.0 cm and 6.68 cm), Viognier (8.1 cm and 4.92 cm) and Sauvignon Blanc (8.1 cm  
 272 and 5.34 cm) for length and width of bunches, respectively [4].

273

274 For volume of 100 berries, Malbec stood out together with Muscat Petit Grain (Table 3). In  
 275 the yield of must, the varieties Sauvignon Blanc and Muscat Petit Grain stood out from the  
 276 others to reach the average yield in volume, considered as 70 % of must and 30 % of the  
 277 solid part [27]. Approximate values were found in the same experiment in the first cycle,  
 278 ranging from 122.2 ml to 197.2 ml and 62 % to 77 % for Cabernet Sauvignon, Malbec and  
 279 Muscat Petit Grain varieties, respectively [4].

280

281 For soluble solids there was no statistical difference among the varieties, as well for SS/TA  
 282 ratio (Table 4). All varieties obtained a greater accumulation of soluble solids, varying from  
 283 21.0 °Brix to 22.9 °Brix, which is considered satisfactory for vinification, without the need for  
 284 "chaptalization" for better conservation and quality of wine [28]. Table wines and fine wines  
 285 should be between 10 °GL and 14 °GL, through fermentation of yeasts [29].

286

287

**Table 4.** Physical-chemical and chemical analysis of grape varieties in  
 the Garanhuns Microregion, PE, 2017

Treatments	SS	TA	SS/TA	pH
Muscat Petit Grain	21.9a	0.60ab	36.68a	3.73bc
Merlot Noir	21.0a	0.59ab	39.42a	3.50d
Syrah	22.9a	0.54b	42.28a	3.86abc
Cabernet Sauvignon	22.3a	0.77a	29.31a	3.68cd
Malbec	22.2a	0.71ab	34.39a	3.75bc
Viognier	22.7a	0.64ab	35.48a	3.97a
Sauvignon Blanc	22.6a	0.57ab	40.29a	3.90ab
CV (%)	5.64	17.54	21.42	2.51

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

Averages followed by the same letter in the column do not differ by the Tukey  
 test at 5% probability. SS - Soluble Solids; TA - Titratable Acidity; SS / TA -  
 Soluble Solids/Titratable Acidity ratio; pH - hydrogen ionic potential.

304 The titratable acidity ranged from 0.54 % to 0.77 % of tartaric acid (Table 4). These values  
 305 are considered interesting for the most of varieties, for Conde et al. [30] report that the total  
 306 acidity considered ideal for grapes is in the range of 0.65 % to 0.85 %.

307

308 The relationship of the soluble solids content with the titratable acidity represents the  
 309 balance between the sweet and sour taste of the grape [31], being the most representative  
 310 measure of grape flavor, which is considered to be sweet over 20 [32].

311

312 As for pH (Table 4), all varieties presented relatively high averages, for, in wine grapes, the  
 313 recommended pH for the must is at most 3.30 [33]. High values were also observed for the  
 314 same varieties in the first cycle of the same experiment [4]. Very high levels of pH might  
 315 destabilize the wine both biologically and physic-chemically, making it more prone to  
 316 microbial oxidation and proliferation, and consequently compromising its useful life [33].  
 317 These values of pH, acidity and soluble solids may be adjusted by modifying the time  
 318 elapsed for harvesting.

319

320 From the value of the several characters considered simultaneously, it was possible to  
 321 predict the selection gains through the selection indexes. With the results of the genetic gain  
 322 estimates obtained by the use of the two combined indexes, it was verified that the



323 Genotype-Ideotype distance index [13] provided positive gains for NG, NB, MC and pH,  
 324 while the Mulamba and Mock [12] presented positive index only for MC and TA (Table 5).  
 325 Thus, the Genotype-Ideotype distance index [13] allowed to predict higher and balanced  
 326 gains among the characteristics when compared to the Mulamba and Mock index [12].  
 327

328 The Genotype-Ideotype distance index [13] has also been indicated in other studies, such as  
 329 the one that provided the best result for the selection of superior genotypes, as well as those  
 330 verified in varieties of popcorn corn (*Zea mays* L. everta) [34], and together with the index of  
 331 Mulamba and Mock [12] for sour passion fruit (*Passiflora edulis* Sims) [7] and alfalfa  
 332 (*Medicago sativa*) [35].

**Table 5.** Estimates of genetic gains predicted by the selection index proposed by Mulamba and Mock [12] and by the Genotype-Ideotype Distance Index [13], in the selection of grape varieties in the Garanhuns, PE, Microregion, 2017

Selection index	Selection gains (%)						Selected varieties
	PRODUCT	NB	BW	YM	TA	pH	
Mulamba and Mock	-15.5	-17.43	8.52	-0.01	5.79	-1.55	Muscat Petit Grain Cabernet Sauvignon
Genotype-Ideotype Distance	18.18	3.58	15.81	-0.87	-6.68	0.66	Muscat Petit Grain Syrah
Averages of varieties	1.34	-6.92	12.17	-0.44	-0.45	-0.45	

PRODUCT - Productivity (t.ha<sup>-1</sup>); NB - Number of Bunches.plant<sup>-1</sup>; BW - Bunch Weight (g); YM - yield of must (%); TA - Titratable Acidity (% of tartaric acid ); pH - hydrogen ionic potential.

333  
 334 The Muscat Petit Grain variety was indicated to be selection together by the Mulamba and  
 335 Mock index [12] and the Genotype-Ideotype distance index [13]. The Syrah variety was  
 336 selected only by the Genotype-Ideotype distance index [13], and the Cabernet Sauvignon  
 337 variety only by the Mulamba and Mock index [12]. Thus, a group of three varieties to be  
 338 indicated were obtained, approximately 43 % of the group of evaluated varieties.  
 339

#### 340 4. CONCLUSION

341  
 342 The cycle of grapevine varieties evaluated in the Garanhuns, PE, Microregion is longer than  
 343 that observed in the sub Medio of the São Francisco Valley, similar to those in the South  
 344 Region of Brazil.  
 345

346 In the evaluated cycle the varieties produced grapes with characteristics suitable for the  
 347 production of quality fine wines, showing to be promising for this non-traditional microregion  
 348 in the production of fine grapes.  
 349

350 From the selection index analyzes, the Muscat Petit Grain, Syrah and Cabernet Sauvignon  
 351 varieties were indicated for selection by the highest Mulamba and Mock index and  
 352 Genotype-Ideotype distance index.  
 353

#### 354 5. REFERENCES

355  
 356 1. Camargo UA. AGEITEC - Árvore do conhecimento: uva para processamento. 2017.  
 357 Accessed 28 Juny 2019. Available:  
 358 [http://www.agencia.cnptia.embrapa.br/gestor/uva\\_para\\_processamento/Abertura.html](http://www.agencia.cnptia.embrapa.br/gestor/uva_para_processamento/Abertura.html).

- 359  
360 2. Camargo UA, Tonietto J, Hoffmann A. Advances in grape culture in Brazil. Revista  
361 brasileira de fruticultura. 2011;33(spe1):144-149.  
362  
363 3. Peynaud E. Connaissance et travail du vin. Paris: Editora Dunod; 1997.  
364  
365 4. Sousa RL. Aptidão de cultivares de videira para produção de vinhos finos na Microrregião  
366 de Garanhuns, PE: estudos iniciais. Master dissertation. Rural Federal University of  
367 Pernambuco. 2017;83.  
368  
369 5. Borghezán M, Gavioli O, Pit FA, Silva AL. Vegetative and productive behavior of  
370 grapevines and composition of grapes in São Joaquim, Santa Catarina, Brazil. Pesquisa  
371 agropecuária brasileira. 2011;46(4):398-405.  
372  
373 6. Brighenti AF, Brighenti E, Bonin V, Rufato L. Phenological characterization and thermic  
374 requirement of distinct grapevines varieties in São Joaquim, Santa Catarina – Brazil. Ciência  
375 rural. 2013;43(7):1162-1167.  
376  
377 7. Silva MGM, Viana AP. Alternatives of selection in a yellow passion fruit population under  
378 intrapopulation recurrent selection. Revista brasileira de fruticultura. 2012;34(2):525-531.  
379  
380 8. Eichhorn KW, Lorenz DH. Phaenologische entwicklungsstadien der Rede. European and  
381 Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization. 1984;14(2):295-298.  
382  
383 9. Coombe BG. Growth stages of the grapevine: Adaption of a system for identifying  
384 grapevine growth stages. Australian journal of grape and wine research. 1995;1(2):104-110.  
385  
386 10. Villa Nova NA, Pedro Júnior MJ, Pereira AR, Ometto JC. Estimativa de graus-dia  
387 acumulados acima de qualquer temperatura base em função das temperaturas máxima e  
388 mínima. Caderno de ciência da terra. 1972;30(1):1-8.  
389  
390 11. Mota FS. Meteorologia Agrícola. São Paulo: Nobel; 1979.  
391  
392 12. Mulamba NN, Mock JJ. Improvement of yield potential of the Eto Blanco maize (*Zea*  
393 *mays* L.) population by breeding for plant traits. Egyptian journal of genetics and cytology.  
394 1978;7(1):40-51.  
395  
396 13. Cruz CD. Programa Genes – Biometria. Viçosa: UFV; 2006.  
397  
398 14. Cruz CD. GENES - a software package for analysis in experimental statistics and  
399 quantitative genetics. Acta scientiarum. 2013;35(3):271-276.  
400  
401 15. Leão PCS. Principais cultivares. In: Soares JM, Leão PCS editors. A vitivinicultura no  
402 Semiárido brasileiro. Petrolina: Embrapa Semiárido; 2009.  
403  
404 16. Leão PCS, Silva SF, Soares EB, Santos JYB. Caracterização fenológica de acessos de  
405 uvas para processamento do Banco de Germoplasma da Embrapa Semiárido. Petrolina:  
406 Embrapa Semiárido; 2013.  
407  
408 17. Radünz AL, Schöffe ER, Borges CT, Malgarim MB, Pötter GH. Thermal requirement of  
409 vines in the Rio Grande do Sul region Campaign – Brazil. Ciência rural. 2015;45(4):626-632.  
410

- 411 18. Broetto D, Baumann Junior O, Sato AJ, Botelho RV. Development and occurrence of  
412 ground pearl in vitis grafted on 'VR 043-43' and 'Paulsen 1103' rootstock. Revista brasileira  
413 de fruticultura. 2011;33(spe1):404-410.  
414
- 415 19. Sivčev B, Petrović N. Phenological observation of white grape varieties in the grape  
416 growing area of Grocka. Journal of agricultural sciences. 2004;49(1):41-48.
- 417 20. Shellie, K.C. Viticultural Performance of Red and White Wine Grape Cultivars in  
418 Southwestern Idaho. HortTechnology. 2007;17(4):595-603.  
419
- 420 21. Leão PCS, Silva EEG. Phenological evaluation and thermal requirements of five  
421 seedless grapes in the San Francisco River Valley. Revista brasileira de fruticultura.  
422 2003;25(3):379-382.  
423
- 424 22. Brixner GF, Martins CR, Amaral U, Köpp LM, Oliveira DB. Phenological characterization  
425 and thermal requirements of vine *Vitis vinifera*, cultivated in Uruguaiiana, in the region  
426 frontier west – RS. Revista da Faculdade de Zootecnia Veterinária e Agronomia.  
427 2010;17(2):249-261.  
428
- 429 23. Pereira GE, Guerra CC, Manfroi L. Vitivinicultura e enologia. In: Soares JM, Leão PCS  
430 editor. A vitivinicultura no Semiárido brasileiro. Petrolina: Embrapa Semiárido; 2009.  
431
- 432 24. Radünz AL, Schöffel ED, Brixner GF, Hallal MO. Effects of the pruning period on the  
433 duration of the cycle and on the production of "Bordô" and "BRS Violeta" grapevines. Revista  
434 científica rural. 2012;14(2):213-224.  
435
- 436 25. Leão PCDS, Borges RME, Silva SF, Barbosa Júnior R. Avaliação agrônômica de  
437 genótipos de uvas para processamento do Banco de Germoplasma da Videira. Petrolina:  
438 Embrapa Semiárido; 2012.  
439
- 440 26. Amaral U, Martins CR, Coelho Filho R, Brixner GF, Bini DA. Phenological and productive  
441 characterization of grapevines *Vitis vinifera* L. cultivated in Uruguaiiana and Quaraí/RS.  
442 Revista da FZVA. 2009;16(1):22-31.  
443
- 444 27. Rizzon LA, Miele A, Meneguzzo J. Effect of different grape liquid and solid phase ratio  
445 on the chemical composition and on the sensory characteristic of Cabernet Franc wine.  
446 Ciência e tecnologia de alimentos. 1999;19(3):424-428.  
447
- 448 28. Guerra CC, Mandelli F, Tonietto J, Zanus MC, Camargo UA. Conhecendo o essencial  
449 sobre uvas e vinhos. Bento Gonçalves: Embrapa Uva e Vinho; 2009.  
450
- 451 29. Gava AJ, Silva CAB, Gava JRF. Tecnologia de alimentos: princípios e aplicações. São  
452 Paulo: Nobel; 2008.  
453
- 454 30. Conde C, Silva P, Fontes N, Dias ACP, Tavares RM, Sousa MJ, Agasse A, Delrot S,  
455 Gerós H. Biochemical changes throughout grape berry development and fruit and wine  
456 quality. Food. 2007;1(1):1-22.  
457
- 458 31. Rizzon LA, Link M. Composition of homemade grape juice from different varieties.  
459 Ciência rural. 2006;36(2):689-692.  
460
- 461 32. Chiarotti F. Fenologia e reguladores vegetais em videira 'Bordô' em Bocaiuva do Sul –  
462 PR. Masters dissertation. Federal University of Paraná. 2012;89.  
463

- 464 33. Rizzon LA, Miele A. Evaluation of the cv. Cabernet Sauvignon in the manufacture of red  
465 wine. 2003;22(2):192-198.  
466
- 467 34. Arnhold E, Silva RG. Efficiencies on the index of selection. Bioscience journal.  
468 2009;25(3):76-82.
- 469 35. Vasconcelos ES, Ferreira RP, Cruz CD, Moreira A, Rassini JB, Freitas AR. Estimates of  
470 genetic progress using different selection criteria in alfalfa genotypes. Revista Ceres.  
471 2010;57(2):205-210.

UNDER PEER REVIEW