

QUANTIFICATION OF THE CONTRIBUTION OF RURAL WOMEN IN
SOCIOECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

ABSTRACT

In Bangladesh, rural women contribute in social development and they are good partners to economic development too. Rural women spend much of their time for addressing various rural socioeconomic issues. However, their contribution to the socioeconomic development by spending time in various ways has not been quantified yet. The study aimed to quantify the extent of contribution of rural women in socioeconomic development. The study was conducted at two villages named Sachibunia and Islamnagar of Batiaghata upazila under Khulna District of Bangladesh. Data were collected from purposively selected 120 respondents using a structured interview schedule. The selected characteristics of the respondents were age, educational qualification, family size, farm size, annual family income, organizational participation, extension media contact, training exposure, poverty status, number of performed daily activities, time spent in performed daily activities, involvement in income generating activities, role in decision making and problems faced by respondent for participating in income generating activities. The extent of contribution to socioeconomic development was the dependent variable. Spearman's rank order correlation co-efficient (ρ) was computed to ascertain the relationships between the selected characteristics and dependent variable. Rural women's performed different daily activities and spent time for those activities were converted into money. Through this way, the obtained quantified contribution to family income of the unemployed respondent was 26% and of employed respondent was 50%. Quantified contribution to family income by educated paid respondent was 48% and by highly educated paid respondent was 60%. Quantified contribution to family income by low educated unpaid respondent was 29% and by highly educated unpaid respondent was 23%. The average quantified contribution to family income made by rural women was 53.32% which had been ultimately used for better standards of living. Negative significant relationship was found between the faced problems and their contributions in socioeconomic development. Family is the smallest constituting unit of the society and the country as well. Thus, it could be concluded that the contribution made by women in socioeconomic development is more than its men counterpart at rural level. It might be recommended that, adequate support should be provided to rural women to nurture this contribution by ensuring due acknowledgement and honor for them.

Key words: Contribution, Rural women, Income generating activity, Participation, Socioeconomic development.

1. INTRODUCTION

In Bangladesh, women is about half of the total population [1] and have an important role in economic activities [2] which leads to the entire social development of the country. This scenario is same for urban and rural areas. Therefore, in order to achieve rural development, an attention to women that involves economic and other development activities with men is required. Rural women contribute in socioeconomic development as they are involved in different forms of economic activities for their families. Such economic activities include agriculture, trade, gardening, social forestry, food processing, hairdressing, weaving and so on. To explain the important roles played by women, the world conference on the "United Nations Decades for Women" stated that two-third of the total daily life works done by

43 women, especially by the rural women who live in rural communities with poor social amenities [3].
44 However, still the worth of the economic activities of rural women in the area of sustainable development
45 is not well recognized.

46 Bangladesh is basically an agricultural country. Agriculture contributes about 14.74% to country's total
47 GDP [4]. Sustainability in agricultural systems center on the need to develop technologies and practices,
48 which do not have adverse effects on environmental goods and services, which are accessible to and
49 effective for farmers, and which lead to improvements in food productivity. Rural women are inherently
50 involved in this sustainable form of agricultural production from the time immemorial [5]. As half of the
51 total population, women's participation and their role in economic structures is an indicator of the
52 modernization of the national economy and economic development [6]. Development strategies should be
53 based on a more active participation of rural women in economic activities. Providing the fact that women
54 make the half of the population in rural communities is considered the vital manufacturers of food and
55 income for rural households which are the important aspects of agricultural production as well as
56 socioeconomic development all over the world. Women in our rural area greatly contribute through their
57 household and agricultural work but their work has hardly been recognized. The women in rural areas of
58 Bangladesh usually start their works before dawn and continue working till dusk, sometimes even late at
59 night. They execute all household works, take care of the children and aged family members, shares
60 homestead-centered agricultural operations (e.g., postharvest activities, homestead gardening, cattle
61 rearing, etc.) with their husbands and so on. They do not care for any monetary return or formal
62 recognition. As a consequence valuation of their works has not been done, even simple appreciation has
63 not been made. However, now it is time for recognition of their immense contribution to every aspects of
64 family life which in the long run profoundly contribute to socioeconomic development of the country. The
65 present socioeconomic characteristics of the rural women also deserve to be described. Considering
66 these facts the researchers persuaded to conduct the present research with the following specific
67 objectives.

- 68 i. To diagnose and describe the personal socioeconomic characteristics of the rural women.
- 69 ii. To quantify the contribution of rural women in socioeconomic development.
- 70 iii. To explore the relationships between selected characteristics of rural women with their
71 contribution in socioeconomic development.

72

73

74 2. METHODOLOGY

75 The design of the study was a descriptive and diagnostic survey research, keeping the vision of
76 ascertaining the relationships between selected characteristics of rural women with their contribution in
77 socioeconomic development. The study was designed to describe the contribution of rural women in
78 socioeconomic development through quantification process by converting time into money. Data were
79 collected by conducting a face-to-face interview with respondents using a structured interview schedule
80 containing quantitative and qualitative questions of both open and close types. The study was conducted
81 in two villages namely Sachibunia and Islamnagar under Batiaghata upazila of Khulna district in
82 Bangladesh. A list of women performing different productive activities related to socioeconomic
83 development were collected with the help of field level officers (Upazila Agriculture Officer (UAO) and the
84 concerned Sub Assistant Agriculture Officers (SAAOs)) of the Department of Agricultural Extension
85 (DAE). The total number of women were considered as the population of the study and among them
86 sample were selected purposively. Irrespective of size of population of the selected two villages, the
87 researcher selected 120 women taking 60 from each of the villages. In order to collect relevant

88 information from respondents, an interview schedule was carefully prepared focusing the objectives of the
 89 study. The interview schedule differed from questionnaire as the enumerating researcher directly visited
 90 the respondent and wrote the furnished responses and opinions of both quantitative and qualitative types
 91 by himself. Both open and close form as well as simple and direct questions were included in the
 92 interview schedule. The questions were systematically arranged to help the respondents to understand
 93 the consequence easily. Appropriate scales were used for collecting information required for measuring
 94 the selected characteristics. Before finalization, the interview schedule was pre-tested with 10 women of
 95 the study area who were excluded from the sample. On the basis of the pre-test experiences, corrections,
 96 modifications and alterations were made before finalizing the interview schedule for final data collection.
 97 During modification of the schedule, valuable suggestions were received from the relevant experts. Data
 98 were collected from the respondents during January to February, 2019. During this time the researcher
 99 had to made several visits for collecting valid and reliable data from the respondents. After completion of
 100 the data collection, collected data were summarized and inspected thoroughly. A master sheet was
 101 prepared to tabulate the data by transferring all the individual variables in order to meet the objectives of
 102 the study. During data processing, appropriate scoring technique was followed to convert the data into
 103 quantitative form in case of qualitative data. Local units of measurements were converted into standard
 104 units. In case of some variables, proper indices and scales were made through the simple accumulation
 105 of score assigned to individual attributes. All personal characteristics were categorized and arranged in
 106 simple tables for interpretation and discussion. (independent variables) of the respondents were age,
 107 educational qualification, family size, farm size, annual family income, organizational participation,
 108 extension media contact, training exposure, poverty status, number of performed daily activities, time
 109 spent in performed daily activities, involvement in income generating activities, role in decision making
 110 and problems faced by respondent for participating in income generating activities. The extent of
 111 contribution to socioeconomic development was the dependent variable.

112 Contribution in terms of money (time spent for performing different daily activities converted into money)
 113 constituted dependent variable of the study. Time spent for performing different daily activities was
 114 converted into money by using formula. For example, a woman performing different types of daily
 115 activities was asked how many hours per day she had spent for those activities. Suppose, she had spent
 116 8 hours. A standard working day = 8 hours, and 8 hours working a day equivalent to 500 BDT (general
 117 monetary payment for a worker). For 8 hours per day, earning money = 500 BDT. Women usually
 118 perform different types of activities every day without any weekly leave. Thus, monthly earning =
 119 (500×30) BDT= 15,000 BDT. Similarly, yearly earning = (500×365) BDT = 1,82,500 BDT. This conversion
 120 was established through experts' discussion held in Agrotechnology Discipline of Khulna University,
 121 Bangladesh.

122 Contribution to family income (%) by respondent was quantified by using the following formula:

$$123 \text{ Quantified contribution to family income by respondent (\%)} = \frac{\text{Annual Income by respondent}}{\text{Total annual family Income}} \times 100$$

124 Role in decision making regarding different family affairs by women was also measured. Decision score
 125 (DS) and Decision Index (DI) were calculated by using the following formulae:

$$126 \text{ DS} = (N_1 \times 0) + (N_2 \times 1) + (N_3 \times 2) + (N_4 \times 3)$$

127 Where,

$$128 \text{ DS} = \text{Decision Score}$$

129 N_1 = No. of respondents played no role

130 N₂= No. of respondents played rare role

131 N₃= No. of respondents played passive role

132 N₄= No. of respondents played active role

133
$$\text{Decision Index} = \frac{\text{Observed decision score}}{\text{Possible highest decision score}} \times 100$$

134 Following the above mentioned similar way, the Problem Score (PS) and Problem Index (PI) was
135 calculated.

136 Various statistical measures such as number, mean, standard deviation, minimum, maximum and
137 percentage were used in describing the independent and dependent variables of the study. For clarity of
138 understanding, tables were used to present the data. Spearman's rank order correlation co-efficient (ρ) (a
139 nonparametric measure of the strength and direction of association that exists between two variables
140 measured on at least on an ordinal type data) was computed for exploring the relationships between the
141 selected characteristics of the respondents and their contribution in socioeconomic development. Data
142 were analyzed by using the Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS).

143 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

144 3.1 Selected characteristics of the rural women

145 The results of selected characteristics have been shown in Table 1. It is observed in this Table that,
146 middle aged women (44.2%) were highly involved in socioeconomic development followed by young aged
147 (40%) and old aged (15.8%) women. About half (46.7%) of the respondents had secondary level of
148 education followed by primary level (30.8%), and illiterate (11.7%). 3.3% of the respondents had above
149 higher secondary level of education. Half (50.8%) of the respondents had medium sized family followed
150 by small sized family (35.8%) and large sized family (13.3%). About half (45.8%) of the respondents had
151 small sized farm and only 3.3% had large sized farm. However, 7.5% of the respondents had medium
152 sized farm and 30.8% of the respondents had marginal sized farm and only 12.5% of the respondents
153 were landless. Highest proportion (45.8%) of the respondents had medium family income followed by low
154 income (31.7%) extremely low income (11.7%), and high income (10.8%). 58.3% of the respondents had
155 medium participation, 30% had low participation and 3.3% high participation in any social organization.
156 Very few (8.3%) of the respondents had no participation in any social organization. Half of the rural
157 women (49.2%) had low extension contact followed by medium extension contact (47.5%). There were so
158 small amount of women (3.3%) who had higher extension contact. Most of the respondents (85.8%) had
159 attended low number of training followed by no training (8.3%). 4.2% of the respondents had attended
160 medium number of training opportunity followed by high number of training (1.7%). Most (81.7%) of the
161 respondents had low status in terms of poverty profile. About half (52.5%) of the respondents were
162 involved in medium number of daily activities followed by high number of daily activities (41.75), and 5.8%
163 were involved in low number of daily activities. About four-fifth (78.3%) of the respondents spent
164 moderate time in performing different types of daily activities whereas one-fifth (20%) of the respondents
165 spent long time. 43.8% of the respondents were involved in moderate number of days per month for
166 performing different types of income generating activities whereas 29.8% were involved in short number
167 of days and 25.6% were involved long number of days. About half of women (47.5%) had medium role in
168 decision making for different activities whereas 45.8% had low role and only 6.7% of had high role in
169 decision making for different activities. More than half of the respondents (54.2%) faced low problem in

170 taking part in income generating activities compared to high problem (27.5%) and medium problem
171 (18.3%). (Table 1)

172 **Table 1. Distribution of rural women according to their selected characteristics (N= 120)**



UNDER PEER REVIEW



UNDER PEER REVIEW



UNDER PEER REVIEW



UNDER PEER REVIEW



UNDER PEER REVIEW



UNDER PEER REVIEW



UNDER PEER REVIEW



UNDER PEER REVIEW



UNDER PEER REVIEW



UNDER PEER REVIEW



UNDER PEER REVIEW

UNDER PEER REVIEW

1
,
2
0
,
0
0
0
•
1
4
•
1
1
.
7
•
2
,
4
9
,
4
7
5
•
8
2
1
5
1
.
2
1
•
1
,
1
2
,
0
0
0
•
3
,
9
0
,
0
0
0
0
•
•
•
L
O
W

UNDER PEER REVIEW



UNDER PEER REVIEW



UNDER PEER REVIEW



UNDER PEER REVIEW



UNDER PEER REVIEW



UNDER PEER REVIEW

UNDER PEER REVIEW

i
n
u
e
:
P
a
r
a
m
e
t
e
r
•
C
a
t
e
g
o
r
y
•
S
c
o
r
e
•
R
e
s
p
o
n
d
e
n
t
s
(
N
=
1
2
0

UNDER PEER REVIEW

W
•
<
4
•
7
•
5
•
8
•
6
•
6
7
•
1
•
9
3
•
3
•
1
0
•
•
•
•
M
e
d
i
u
m
•
4
-
7
•
6
3
•
5
2
•
5
•
•

UNDER PEER REVIEW

UNDER PEER REVIEW

•
2
•
1
•
7
•
7
•
2
5
•
1
•
3
1
•
5
•
1
1
•
•
•
M
o
d
e
r
a
t
e
•
6
-
8
•
9
4
•
7
8
•
3
•
•
•
•

UNDER PEER REVIEW

e
a
r
n
i
n
g
a
c
t
i
v
i
t
y
(
D
a
y
s
p
e
r
m
o
n
t
h
)
•
S
h
o
r
t
•
<
1
5
•
3
6
•
2
9

UNDER PEER REVIEW

. 8
•
1
7
•
6
7
•
4
•
2
4
•
1
2
•
3
0
•
•
•
M
o
d
e
r
a
t
e
•
1
5
-
2
0
•
5
3
•
4
3
•
8
•
•

UNDER PEER REVIEW



UNDER PEER REVIEW

UNDER PEER REVIEW



UNDER PEER REVIEW

• S
D
=
S
t
a
n
d
a
r
d
d
e

UNDER PEER REVIEW

v
i
a
t
i
o
n
,
M
i
n
.
=
M
i
n
i
m
u
m
,
M
a
x
.
=
M

UNDER PEER REVIEW

a
x
i
m
u
m
;
B
D
T
=
T
K
=
B
a
n
g
l
a
d
e
s
h
i
T
a

UNDER PEER REVIEW