

Editor's Comment:

The text requires corrections.

For authors:

Abstract and text:

Please use one name. I suggest *under* and change the *fruit* into *under*. The description must be precise. In one place is under biometry, in another fruit biometry. Please check and correct the description for other alternative names.

Line 42: You have to write what have been achieved. This is what we know in the results.

Line 72: Give accuracy; 0.01 g?

Line: 80: Did you weigh the samples. On what is the type of weight and with what accuracy. What mass had the sample. These percentages are not clear.

Line: 83: Why this procedure is described separately. After all, when determining the moisture content, you have the same date. Why do you enter the dry matter content in gram. It does not make sense. The dry mass is given in percent. It should be relative to the mass.

Line 105: And what do they express?

Line 111: I suggest you to a correlation analysis between the indicators.

Line 116: Is this an objective or subjective evaluation? The method should be described.

Line 142: Are all regression coefficients in the quadratic model statistically significant? I suggest that the functions for indicators should have specific designations, e.g. instead of everywhere y write a designation for length l; length seed - l_s, under length - l_p (correct the fruit for the pod), etc. for other physical quantities. For time (day) t. Constants in equations should have such accuracy, as is the accuracy of measurement, e.g. 2.8871 is too accurate, just 2.89. There is an error on the ordinate (C). Instead of (mm) it should be (cm). This is the method and it corresponds to reality. It's good in the text, see Line 125. Correct errors in length and thickness.

Line 165: If the dry mass is in percent, then please prepare one with two graphs. In Fig. (B), the ordinate has commas. Please, change to dots. This is decomposition in the form of dots. Please change in the system settings to draw such coordinates.

Line 178: How is the difference between these indicators? What the new explaining the second. Only one indicator is cleared.

Line 194: On the ordinate axes, replace the commas with dots as the decimal separator.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Aleksander Lisowski

Professor, Department Agricultural and Forestry Engineering, Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Poland.