



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_47901
Title of the Manuscript:	Determining Orthorexia Nervosa Tendency Among the Students of Health Sciences Faculty: The Case of Artvin Coruh University
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Please, explain more about the study sample. From the given numbers I understand, that not all students from both Departments participated in the study. Were there any additional selection criteria?</p> <p>Why EAT-40 test was used as it is focused on anorexia nervosa, not orthorexia? Please explain more on this.</p> <p>Line 105 Please explain the acronym BMI</p> <p>Lines 126-129: Commonly used terms resulting from the BMI classification should be used, not "slim" or "slightly obese". Is there no statistical difference between BMI of male and female? The difference in mean values is quite large.</p> <p>Lines 135-137: Please, Please, re-edit the sentence " Orthorexia nervosa of the university students was analysed with respect to gender and the male and female students were compared in regard to having nervosa risk (Table 5)" because it is unclear.</p>	<p>Our study sample was composed two departments. The departments of Nursing with nutrition and dietetics. Some students want to participate this study and some students didn't want. We made this study who wants to participate.</p> <p>This version was used to investigate the relationship between orthorexia, and eating attitude and obsessive-compulsive symptoms. Pathological eating attitude and obsessive-compulsive symptoms were related to orthorexia. When we investigate the literature on orthorexia, studies used EAT-40 and ORTHO-11 tests. Therefore, we focused these tests.</p> <p>Body Mass Index (BMI). We revised line 105.</p> <p>Line 126-129 was revised. We used underweight and overweight terms. There were no statistical difference between BMI of male and female.</p> <p>Line 135-137 was revised.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>It is suggested to standardize the terms used (Orthorexia <u>Nervosa</u> not Orthorexia <u>Nervoza</u>).</p> <p>Lines 165-167: Error in quoting, please check and change.</p>	<p>Orthorexia <u>Nervoza</u> terms were corrected. Orthorexia <u>Nervosa</u> term was used.</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>I recommend using terms "male" and "female" in manuscript, as "girls" and "boys" usually refers to children.</p>	<p>Girls and boys terms were corrected. Male and female terms were used in the manuscript.</p> <p>Thank you for your comments.</p>



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	