



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_47901
Title of the Manuscript:	Determining Orthorexia Nervosa Tendency Among the Students of Health Sciences Faculty: The Case of Artvin Coruh University
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There is no answer to the original purpose at the end of the study. Additional analysis is needed for this. In order to determine the tendency to ON, the percentage of students exceeding the cut-off point must be found. This must be performed for both gender. And also percent of student exceeding cut- off point of EAT 40. Correlations of age and BMI with EAT-40 and Ortho 11 points might be useful. According to all results of the study author must conclude what messages they want to give to readers. It is not necessary to give general comments of the literature.	The manuscript was revised.
Minor REVISION comments	Some typing and meaning errors need to be corrected. Expressions and language should be checked by reviewing the whole article	Language was checked.
Optional/General comments	I made some corrections and comment on the manuscript	Thank you for your corrections and comments

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	