



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	
Manuscript Number:	
Title of the Manuscript:	
Type of Article:	

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>There is no answer to the original purpose at the end of the study. Additional analysis is needed for this.</p> <p>In order to determine the tendency to ON, the percentage of students exceeding the cut-off point must be found. This must be performed for both gender. And also percent of student exceeding cut-off point of EAT 40.</p> <p>Correlations of age and BMI with EAT-40 and Ortho 11 points might be useful.</p> <p>According to all results of the study author must conclude what messages they want to give to readers. It is not necessary to give general comments of the literature.</p> <p>Some typing and meaning errors need to be corrected. Expressions and language should be checked by reviewing the whole article</p> <p>I made some corrections and comment on the manuscript</p>	<p>Our original purpose was learn to knowledge level for university and we determined our purpose. We added conclusion part.</p> <p>The ORTHO-15 scale has a cut-off point, while the ORTHO-11 scale does not. We use ORTHO-11 scale. A cutoff score of 35 correctly classified 100% of those in the orthorexia group for both gender. he cut-off score of the EAT-40 scale for the present study was determined to be 30. A score of 30 or above is commonly used as the cut-off score of the EAT-40 scale to identify individuals with eating disorders. We added cut off points in result section.</p> <p>We don 't have correlations of age and BMI with EAT-40 and Ortho 11 points.</p> <p>We revised the discussion part. Results of thisi study was concluded. Manuscript was checked and some typing and meaning errors were corrected. Language of manuscript was checked from linguists</p> <p>Thank you for corrections. All corrections specified yellow colour.</p>