



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_44142
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDIES ON THE EFFECT OF BOILING AND FERMENTATION ON CALABASH GOURD MELON (LAGENARIA SICERARIA) SEEDS
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Discussion should be distinct from the results. The discussion section is purely what you inferred from the results. Please add references for determining most of your parameters.	Most of the parameters has been supplied with references for their determination.
Minor REVISION comments	It would have better if the fermented and boiled seeds were subjected to air-dried.	A mild oven temperature of 60 ⁰ was used which was considered not to have affected the minerals, vitamins, antioxidant and some other volatile contents of the melon. Sun dried or air dried melon may attract dust or some other contaminants before it is dried to the required weight.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	