



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_44142
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDIES ON THE EFFECT OF BOILING AND FERMENTATION ON CALABASH GOURD MELON (LAGENARIA SICERARIA) SEEDS
Type of the Article	Full Length Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 52: Heiser <i>et al.</i> , 1979 is not corresponding to line 498 in reference Line 68: what is antibilous Lines 71-73: should be supported with reference (citation) Line 74: Duke <i>et al.</i> , 1985 is not corresponding to line 483 (Duke and Ayensu, 1985) in reference section Line 120: Replaced can be with 'was' Line 168: Uematsu 2000 is not reflecting in reference section Line 232: El-Olemy <i>et al.</i> , 1994 is missing in reference section Line 307: separate of from 0.5% Line 324: Separate about from 0.98 Line 332: Insert (McDonald <i>et al.</i> , 2001) Line 337: remove N in Soni N <i>et al.</i> , 2014 Line 391: Ikechukwu <i>et al.</i> , 2015 is missing in reference section Line 398: Ikenebomeh 2008 is missing in reference section. Line 539: Sani <i>et al.</i> , 2014 is not cited in text. Lines 353, 355, 358 of Tables 2, 3 and 4 should have unit of measurements Line 365, 365 should explain the differences in results obtained in Crude protein	Line 52 corrected corresponding with the reference line 498 Antibilous in line 68 is deleted Reference is provided for line 71 – 73 Duke and Ayensu, 1985 replace for Duke <i>et al.</i> , 1985 in line 74 Line 120 is corrected Uematsu <i>et al.</i> , 2000 placed in the reference replaced Uematsu 2000 in the content. El-Olemy <i>et al.</i> , 1994 is included in reference section line 232 Line 307: of is separated from 0.5% Line 332: (McDonald <i>et al.</i> , 2001) is Inserted Line 337: N is removed in Soni N <i>et al.</i> , 2014 Peter-Ikechukwu <i>et al.</i> , 2015 inserted into the reference section Esenwah and Ikenebomeh (2008) Reference is provided in the reference section Sani <i>et al.</i> , 2014 is removed from the reference section Unit of measurement as foot note against each table
Minor REVISION comments	Table 3 and 4 should be introduced in the result section as explained in table 1 result. Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2000 is not cited in text but reflects in reference section	Table 3 and 4 has been introduced Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2000 is deleted from the reference section
Optional/General comments	Line 88: delete 'further' Line 403: be deleted Line 412: Delete 'also' Line 423-424 be backed up with reference citation.	Line 88: further is deleted Line 403: deleted Line 412: also is deleted Reference is provided for line 423 – 424

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) No ethical issues is involved	The author agreed with the reviewer in line with corrections pointed out and as corrected by the author.